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## Executive Summary

## Study Overview

The Region of Peel has initiated a Schedule C Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed widening of Mississauga Road (Regional Road 1) from Financial Drive to Queen Street West (Regional Road 6), and to complete the required updates to technical studies to support amendments to the previously approved Schedule C Municipal Class EA for Mississauga Road from Queen Street West (Regional Road 6) to south of Bovaird Drive (Regional Road 107), in the City of Brampton.

This Transportation and Traffic Analysis Report has been prepared to address short and long term transportation needs related to planned growth to the year 2031 within the entire Mississauga Road corridor from Financial Drive to south of Bovaird Drive. Opportunities to better facilitate the movement of vehicles, transit, goods movement, walking and cycling were also reviewed.

## Planning Context

Numerous studies, projects and initiatives previously completed or currently underway by the Region and other public agencies provide the planning context for the Mississauga Road Class EA. The 2012 Peel Region Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) establishes the need and justification for the widening of Mississauga Road through the Study Area and served as the foundation for the Problem and Opportunity Statement for this Class EA study. Other notable documents include:

- GTA West Corridor Planning and Environmental Assessment Study;
- Halton-Peel Boundary Area Transportation Study (HPBATS);
- Official Plans and Transportation Plans for the Region of Peel and City of Brampton;
- Region of Peel Road Characterization Study, Strategic Goods Movement Network Study and Active Transportation Plan; and
- Other on-going Class EA studies near the Study Area.


## Existing Transportation Conditions

The study examined current traffic conditions, operational deficiencies, and constraints experienced by the public travelling on Mississauga Road within the Study Area. The analyses of existing (2015) transportation conditions illustrated that:

- Midblock traffic volumes are approaching or already exceed capacity at locations along Mississauga Road within the Study Area. Specific observations include:
- During the AM peak hour, the highest peak period v/c ratios occur between Queen Street West and Embleton Road and Embleton Road and Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway. The v/c ratio exceeds 1.0 for both segments, suggesting that this section of Mississauga Road is over capacity during the morning peak. The segment of Mississauga Road between Williams Parkway and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road exhibits v/c ratios between 0.83 and 0.88 , which infers that this section is approaching capacity during the morning peak.
- In the PM peak hour, the segment of Mississauga Road between Queen Street West and Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway again shows $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios exceeding 1.0, suggesting that this section is also over capacity during the afternoon peak. Similarly, the section of Mississauga Road between the signalized commercial driveway and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road exhibits v/c ratios of 0.89 and 0.90 , which infers that this section is also approaching capacity in the afternoon peak.
- All intersections within the Study Area operate with overall acceptable level of service during the AM and PM peak hours. Specific observations include:
- Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway - During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.95 .
- Mississauga Road at Commercial Driveway - The westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.68 during the AM peak hour and LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.59 during the PM peak hour. The delay is likely due to the length of green time provided to the higher volume northbound and southbound movements.
- Mississauga Road at Beacon Hill Drive - The westbound left turn operates with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.47 during the AM peak hour and LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.05 during the PM peak hour. The high delay is likely due to the high traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Boulevard - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound and westbound left turn movements operate with LOS F (v/c ratio of 0.20) and LOS E ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.19 ), respectively. During the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn movement operates with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.16 . The relatively poor LOS and low $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios indicate that the delay is likely caused by the high traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road - During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.99 , and the southbound through operates with LOS $E$ and a v/c ratio of 1.07. These two (2) movements effectively exceed capacity. In the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn movement operates at capacity with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.97 .
- Mississauga Road at Embleton Road - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement operates with LOS $E$ and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.84 . The southbound shared through-right turn movement is approaching capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.96 , but operates with LOS B. In the PM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement has reached capacity with a v/c ratio of 1.0 and operates at LOS F.
- Mississauga Road at Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway During the AM and PM peak hours, the eastbound and westbound movements experience significant delay, likely caused by the length of green time allocated to serve northbound and southbound traffic demand.
- Several individual intersection movements are approaching or exceeding their capacities. Optimizing the signal cycle and phase timings would improve operations during the AM and PM peak hours. However, the southbound through movement at the Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road intersection would still be approaching capacity.
- Potential safety hazards were noted, as follows, with countermeasures proposed:
- Discontinuities in the active transportation facilities exist;
- Pavement markings are not easily visible under dark and wet conditions;
- Small street name signs for minor roadways at unsignalized intersections, which are may be difficult to read for drivers on Mississauga Road before reaching the intersection;
- Unsignalized intersections allow all movements for minor road vehicles. Given the width of Mississauga Road, and the number of traffic lanes to cross for through and left turn movements, the number and duration of gaps may become insufficient, encouraging drivers to attempt unsafe manoeuvres during short gaps; and
- Various signage inadequacies were observed, in both the northbound and southbound directions.


## Future Transportation Conditions

Future traffic conditions to the year 2031, consistent with the horizon year of the 2012 Region of Peel Long Range Transportation Plan, were forecast to identify operational issues likely to be experienced by the public travelling on roads within the Study Area. The analyses of future transportation conditions illustrated that:

- Midblock traffic volumes are expected to exceed capacity at all locations along Mississauga Road within the Study Area by the year 2031. Even with six (6) lanes, Mississauga Road will be over capacity during the 2031 AM and PM peak hours.
- The intersection operations analyses reflect the forecasted northbound and southbound midblock capacity issues, with several intersections within the Study Area expected to operate with poor levels of service by the year 2031. Specific observations include:
- Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway - During the AM peak hour the westbound left turn is expected to operate over capacity with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. During the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn is expected to operate under capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.76 , but exhibit delay with LOS E.
- Mississauga Road at Commercial Driveway - The intersection will operate with overall satisfactory conditions during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions.
- Mississauga Road at Beacon Hill Drive - The intersection is expected to operate with overall satisfactory conditions during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions. However, the southbound and northbound through movements will exceed capacity during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.
- Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road - The eastbound and westbound movements are expected to operate with LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions due to the high northbound and southbound traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road - The westbound left turn movement is expected to operate over capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours. The southbound left turn movement is expected to operate with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.90 during the PM peak hour.
- Mississauga Road at Embleton Road - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement is expected to operate near capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.92 and LOS E. The southbound through-right movement is expected to operate over capacity with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. During the

PM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement is expected to operate with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. The northbound through movement is expected to operate with LOS E and a v/c ratio greater than 1.0.

- Mississauga Road at Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway During the AM and PM peak hours, the eastbound and westbound movements are expected to continue to experience delay caused by northbound and southbound traffic demand.
- The following modifications would improve the intersection operations for the 2031 future traffic conditions:
- Addition of a second westbound left turn lane at Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway; and
- Addition of a second westbound left turn lane and optimization of signal cycle length and phase timings to a three-phase operation for Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road.
- The operational effects of widening Mississauga Road to six (6) lanes, but retaining the current four (4) lane cross-section over the Credit River, were assessed through microsimulation analysis using VISSIM. The analyses indicated that traffic operational performance of Mississauga Road and the entire network would be significantly deteriorated if the current cross-section were retained. Specific observations from the modelling include:
- With four (4) lanes between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road, vehicles in the southbound (peak) direction are constrained at the Queen Street West/River Road intersection during the AM peak hour when Mississauga Road transitions from three (3) to two (2) lanes. The southbound queue at Queen Street West/River Road extends north on Mississauga Road to the limit of the model. This restricts the flow of traffic over the Credit River structure, which does not allow for use of available capacity to the extent possible. The addition of a roundabout at the intersection of Mississauga Road and Embleton Road would not improve the operation.
- With six (6) lanes between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road, vehicles in the southbound (peak) direction can travel somewhat unabated through the Queen Street West/River Road intersection during the AM peak hour. There is still congestion for southbound traffic due to the high forecasted volumes on Mississauga Road, but vehicles can utilize the full capacity of a six (6) lane structure over the Credit River.


## Recommendations

Based on the analyses completed for this study, it is recommended that Mississauga Road be widened to six (6) lanes between Financial Drive and south of Bovaird Drive, with the intersection and road safety improvements
as identified in this report. The study confirmed the recommendations of the 2012 Region of Peel Long Range Transportation Plan and reiterated the need and justification to widen the road.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

The Region of Peel has initiated a Schedule C Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed widening of Mississauga Road (Regional Road 1) from Financial Drive to Queen Street West (Regional Road 6), and to complete the required updates to technical studies to support amendments to the previously approved Schedule C Municipal Class EA for Mississauga Road from Queen Street West (Regional Road 6) to south of Bovaird Drive (Regional Road 107), in the City of Brampton.

This Transportation and Traffic Analysis Report has been prepared to address short and long term transportation needs related to planned growth to the year 2031 within the entire Mississauga Road corridor from Financial Drive to south of Bovaird Drive. Opportunities to better facilitate the movement of vehicles, transit, goods movement, walking and cycling were also reviewed.

Figure 1.1 illustrates the Study Area for this report.
It is noted that this report will not be finalized until the conclusion of Phase 3 of the Municipal Class EA process, as a component of the analysis examines the anticipated safety performance on the Preferred Alternative Design Concept, which will be further detailed through the preliminary design tasks in later stages of the study.

### 1.2 Traffic Operations Analysis Approach and Methodology

The transportation need and justification assessment was based on traffic operations analysis conducted for the midblock sections and intersections within the Study Area. The analyses were completed for both existing (2015) and future (2031) conditions during the weekday morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak hours to characterize operating conditions and identify locations requiring attention. The methodologies applied for the analyses are described as follows.

### 1.2.1 Midblock Analysis

For midblock sections, operational performance was characterized based on the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio for the link. The $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio provides a measure of traffic volume demand to available capacity, with an at-capacity condition represented by a v/c ratio of 1.00 (i.e. volume demand equals theoretical capacity). A v/c ratio of 0.90 or less was deemed acceptable operation for midblock locations, as road segments with volumes exceeding this threshold would typically be candidates for widening.
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## Study Area

The midblock v/c ratios were calculated by dividing the traffic link volume (existing or forecasted) by the theoretical capacity for the subject link (i.e. the maximum hourly rate at which vehicles can be expected reasonably to traverse the section of roadway within a given period, under prevailing roadway, traffic and control conditions). A theoretical capacity of 900 vehicles per hour per lane was assumed for Mississauga Road within the Study Area, as per the Region of Peel travel demand forecasting model.

This value reflects the intended function of the road, and accounts for factors such as: the type and number of local streets and private accesses provided; the presence of pedestrians and crossing locations; and typical driving characteristics for this type of facility.

### 1.2.2 Intersection Analysis

Intersection Level of Service (LOS) is estimated based on average delay per vehicle and includes deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. LOS is a qualitative measure that describes the operating conditions within an intersection, and the perception of those conditions by road users. There are six levels of service defined. Each level has a letter identification from $A$ to $F$ with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst. Table 1.1 summarizes the LOS criteria for signalized, stop controlled, and roundabout intersections per the 2000 and 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2000 and HCM 2010).

The operational analysis for the signalized and stop controlled intersections was conducted using Synchro Version 9.1, which implements the methods contained in HCM 2000 and HCM 2010. A Synchro network was developed specifically for this study and further refined through the analyses.

TABLE 1.1: INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA

| Level of <br> Service | Average Control Delay per Vehicle (sec/veh) <br> Signalzied <br> Intersections |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<=10$ | Stop Controlled <br> 2 and <br> Roundabouts $^{3}$ |
| B | $>10$ and $<=20$ | $<=10$ |
| C | $>20$ and $<=35$ | $>10$ and $<=15$ |
| D | $>35$ and $<=55$ | $>15$ and $<=25$ |
| E | $>55$ and $<=80$ | $>25$ and $<=35$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>35$ and $<=50$ |

Source: 1. Highway Capacity Manual, 4th Edition (HCM 2000), Transportation Research Board, Chapter 16: Signalzied Interseections, Exhibit 16-2
2. HCM 2000, Chapter 17: Unsignalized Intersection, Exhibit 17-2
3. HCM 2000, Chapter 21: Roundabouts, Exhibit 21-1

The operational performance of the signalized and stop controlled intersections within the Study Area was also assessed based on the v/c ratio. For this study, v/c ratios were calculated at each intersection for individual movements and the entire intersection, with a v/c ratio of 0.90 or less considered acceptable operation.

### 1.3 Report Organization

The remainder of the Transportation and Traffic Analysis Report is organized as follows:

- Section 2 provides the Planning Context, summarizing the findings of other studies, projects, and initiatives to be considered in completing the report;
- Section 3 presents the analysis of Existing Transportation Conditions for the 2015 base year;
- Section 4 summarizes the analysis of the Future Transportation Conditions for the 2031 horizon year; and
- Section 5 provides the Conclusions and Recommendations of this report.


## 2 Planning Context

The following studies, projects and initiatives provide a planning context for the Mississauga Road Class EA:

### 2.1 Provincial and Inter-Regional

### 2.1.1 Provincial Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe

The Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe - Places to Grow was adopted in June 2006 under the provisions of the Places to Grow Act, 2005. The plan provides the framework for implementing the Provincial government's vision for building stronger, prosperous communities by better managing growth to the year 2041 in the burgeoning Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA). Since implementation, the plan has been amended to address growth in the County of Simcoe (including the cities of Barrie and Orillia), and provide population and employment forecasts to the year 2041.

The Growth Plan contains specific policies and directives regarding transportation, infrastructure, land use planning, urban form, housing, natural heritage and resource protection to be considered by municipalities in their planning activities. Of interest, the Growth Plan provides direction around where growth can occur, the form of future development, and future population and employment forecasts. The plan, as amended, forecasts the population of the Region of Peel to grow to 1.77 million by 2031, 1.87 million by 2036, and 1.97 million by 2041, for an annual average growth rate of 1.1 per cent. For employment, Places to Grow forecasts the number of jobs in the Region to reach 880,000 by 2031, 920,000 by 2036, and 970,000 by 2041, for an annual average growth rate of 1.0 per cent.

The plan also offers guidance regarding transportation system development, envisioning an "integrated transportation network that will allow people choices for easy travel both within and between urban centers." While travel by automobile will remain a significant means of transport, other travel modes, including efficient, convenient and affordable public transit, and walking and cycling, will become more important elements of the urban transportation system.

### 2.1.2 Metrolinx "The Big Move" - Regional Transportation Plan for the GTHA

Pursuant to the Metrolinx Act, 2006, the Province created Metrolinx to develop, fund, coordinate and promote transportation within the GTHA municipalities. In 2008, Metrolinx released its Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) for the GTHA, entitled "The Big Move: Transforming Transportation in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area". The plan outlines a 25 -year vision for sustainable transportation in the GTHA, and the policies, programs and infrastructure investments required to achieve this vision of a seamless, integrated transportation network.

The Big Move is primarily focused on enhancing and expanding public transit. Near the Study Area, the RTP identifies a few rapid transit initiatives of relevance, including the expansion of Regional Rail on the Kitchener GO Rail line to full-day, two-way service and the provision of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) on Steeles Avenue in Brampton connecting Lisgar GO Station to Highway 427 along the southern limits of the Mississauga Road EA. The plan also includes policies related to goods movement, active transportation (AT) and transit to be considered in developing and improving infrastructure.

### 2.1.3 GTA West Transportation Corridor Planning and Environmental Assessment Study

The Ministry of Transportation (MTO) is conducting the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) West Transportation Corridor Planning and Environmental Assessment Study (the GTA West Study) to identify the preferred solution for providing better linkages between Urban Growth Centres in the west part of the GTHA, including Downtown Guelph, Downtown Milton, Brampton City Centre and Vaughan Corporate Centre.

The GTA West Transportation Development Strategy (TDS) released in November 2012 recommended a broad range of measures to address future needs in the northwest part of the GTHA, including a new transportation corridor from Highway 400 westerly to Highway 401 east of the Niagara Escarpment. The corridor is proposed to include a six (6) lane freeway (400series highway) along the north-south section near the Region of Peel and Region of Halton municipal boundary (once known as the Halton/Peel Freeway) and a four (4) lane facility for the east-west segment, with interchanges at major arterial roads. A transitway and potential goods movement priority features are also contemplated. It is noted that the TDS assumed the future widening of several roads near the Study Area as part of the overall transportation network solution, including Mississauga Road.

MTO initiated Stage 2 of the GTA West Study in early 2014. As part of this stage, route alternatives for the new transportation corridor were generated within the Route Planning Study Area shown in Figure 2.1. In May 2015, the Ministry released the Refined Short List of Route Alternatives and Potential Interchange Locations for the corridor. Figure 2.2 shows the routes and interchanges being considered near the Study Area. Potential interchange locations include:

- Winston Churchill Boulevard or Embleton Road;
- Bovaird Drive; and
- Mississauga Road or Mayfield Road.

In December 2015, MTO suspended work on the GTA West Study. As of August 2016, no information regarding the status of the study had been published.

Figure 2.1
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Given the uncertain timing of the GTA West transportation corridor, a sensitivity analysis comparing travel demand forecasts with and without the proposed freeway facility was completed. This cursory assessment indicated a nominal difference in peak direction traffic flows (southbound in the morning and northbound in the afternoon) between scenarios. This suggests that the highway would have limited (if any) influence on the need and justification for widening Mississauga Road. The presence of a GTA West transportation corridor could impact turning movement volumes at intersections, which would have a bearing on storage requirements and resulting auxiliary turn lane lengths. Given the magnitude and inherent uncertainty in future travel forecasts, however, these implications were not considered consequential and do not alter the recommendations of this study. Section 4.1.2 discusses the implications of the proposed transportation corridor further.

### 2.1.4 Halton-Peel Boundary Area Transportation Study

The Halton-Peel Boundary Area Transportation Study (HPBATS) was initiated in response to commitments made by the Region of Halton for the approval of Halton Regional Official Plan Amendment (ROPA) 25. HPBATS was conducted jointly by the Region of Peel, Region of Halton, City of Brampton, Town of Caledon and the Town of Halton Hills to identify a longterm (2021-2031) transportation network to serve future demands in the municipal boundary area. Growth projections from the Growth Plan served as the basis for the demand forecasts.

The HPBATS transportation strategy endorsed by Town, City and Regional Councils in May 2012 includes a range of measures designed to promote changes in travel behaviour in addition to essential infrastructure improvements. The strategy features enhancements to the transit, AT and road networks, and the introduction of Transportation Demand Management (TDM) initiatives.

Figure 2.3 illustrates the recommended transportation network for the Halton/Peel boundary area from HPBATS. The figure illustrates the following improvements near the Study Area. It is recognized that many of these network elements are now captured in the GTA West TDS and municipal transportation plans:

- New Halton/Peel Freeway at eight (8) lanes from Highway 401/407 ETR interchanges west of Ninth Line in Halton Region to Bovaird Drive or Mayfield Road, and potential new north-south connection between Halton/Peel Freeway and GTA West Corridor (north of Mayfield Road) ${ }^{1}$;
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Subject to future Environmental Assessment studies

HPBATS Recommended Road Network - 2031

- Proposed east/west connection from Bovaird Drive, west of the proposed Halton-Peel Freeway to Georgetown (subject to future EA studies);
- Widening of Mississauga Road from Highway 407 to Sandalwood Parkway West from two (2) to six (6) lanes;
- Widening of Bovaird Drive, east and west of Mississauga Road from two (2) to six (6) lanes;
- Widening of Heritage Road from Steeles Avenue to Mayfield Road from two (2) to four (4) lanes;
- Widening of Queen Street West on the east approach to Mississauga Road from two (2) to six (6) lanes;
- Widening and extension of Financial Drive through Mississauga Road to the west to four (4) lanes;
- Widening and extension of Williams Parkway from Creditview Road to the proposed Halton-Peel Freeway to four (4) lanes;
- Construction of Sandalwood Parkway West at four (4) lanes from Creditview Road to Winston Churchill Boulevard; and
- Construction of Bram West Parkway at four (4) lanes from Heritage Road to Highway 407 and six (6) lanes from Highway 407 to the proposed Halton-Peel Freeway.

There is also additional planned higher-order transit to be provided by 2031, including:

- Enhanced bus services on Mississauga Road, as well as Main Street/Hurontario Street, Bovaird Drive, Steeles Avenue and Queen Street West; and
- All-day, two-way rail service on the Georgetown GO Rail line.


### 2.2 Region of Peel

### 2.2.1 Region of Peel Official Plan

The Region of Peel Official Plan (PROP) guides Regional Council in managing growth and development through interpretation of the intent of Provincial legislation and policies, and providing a long term strategic policy framework. The PROP includes a transportation network and related polices to serve planned growth, including TDM policies and programs to foster travel options and reduce traffic congestion in the Region.

Schedule E (Major Road Network) of the PROP identifies Mississauga Road, Embleton Road, Queen Street West and Bovaird Drive as Major Roads. The plan also designates Mississauga Road and Queen Street West as Other Potential Rapid Transit Corridors on Schedule G (Rapid Transit Corridors). Schedule F (Regional Road Mid-Block Right-of-Way Requirements) denotes a planned road allowance width of 45 m for Mississauga Road in this
vicinity. However, a road allowance width of 50.5 m will be required between Queen Street West and Bovaird Drive due to the number and proximity of intersections, and up to 54 m needed within 245 m of any intersections with dual left turn lanes.

### 2.2.2 Region of Peel Long Range Transportation Plan

The LRTP provides strategies, policies and plans for roads, transit and TDM to respond to the Region's transportation challenges over the next 20 years. To address these challenges, the 2012 LRTP Update recommends the broad application of TDM strategies aimed at reducing reliance on SOV travel and sets a goal of 14 per cent reduction in congestion by the year 2031 (when compared with the no TDM measures scenario). Strategies outlined in the plan include AT facilities, Smart Commute programs, employer individualized marketing, a high school pilot program, Safe-Active Routes to School initiatives, among others. The LRTP also recommends that public transit be the priority in transportation infrastructure planning and major investments. The plan identifies higher-order transit improvements Mississauga Road, Bovaird Drive, Queen Street West and Steeles Avenue.

Even with these measures in place, road/highway expansion will be necessary to meet future transportation demands. The LRTP specifies a broad list of Regional Road network improvements, including the following road widenings within the Study Area, as referenced in Figures 4.15 and 4.16 of the plan:

- Widening of Mississauga Road between Mayfield Road and Queen Street West to four (4) lanes (2021);
- Widening of Mississauga Road between Queen Street West and Highway 407 to six (6) lanes (2021);
- Widening of Bovaird Drive, east of Mississauga Road to four (4) lanes (2021);
- Widening of Queen Street West east of Mississauga Road to four (4) lanes (2021);
- Widening of Bovaird Drive from 1 km west to east of Mississauga Road (2031);
- Widening of Mississauga Road from future Sandalwood Parkway West to Queen Street West (2031).

The Region is currently in the process of updating the LRTP to the 2041 horizon year, but has not released this work yet.

### 2.2.3 Region of Peel Road Characterization Study

The Road Characterization Study (RCS) completed in May 2013 provides guidance on how to better reflect the local context and accommodate a broader range of transportation modes and users in the planning and design of Regional Roads. The main objectives of the study were to:

- Improve integration between transportation and land use;
- Support the Region's multi-modal transportation system; and
- Protect and maximize the current/future functionality and efficiency of the Region's arterial roads.

The RCS provides a series of illustrative roadway cross sections to be employed when considering changes to a Regional Road right-of-way. The cross sections reflect different road typologies developed through a contextsensitive solutions approach that responds to current and envisioned future land uses. Recognizing the diverse land use contexts within the Region, the RCS includes a Road Character Matrix and Road Character Map that correlates land use character with associated right-of-way considerations. Using this matrix, the RCS classifies Mississauga Road as a Suburban Connector that supports primarily residential commuter traffic. Figure 2.4 shows the illustrative roadway cross section for a Suburban Connector.

### 2.2.4 Region of Peel Strategic Goods Movement Network Study

The Strategic Goods Movement Network (SGMN) Study completed in May 2013 developed a systematic, hierarchical truck route network throughout the Region of Peel based on existing truck route networks and volumes, land uses and planning policies, overall network connectivity, trucks origins/destinations, best practices, as well as stakeholder outreach. The study recommends implementing the SGMN through a phased, logical approach that balances the needs of goods movement with local community requirements. This phased strategy includes strengthening the Official Plan to further support goods movement, prioritizing operational management/ capital improvements to support the SGMN, implementing the supportive improvements, and assessing SGMN impacts with ongoing improvements as needed.

The study identifies Mississauga Road, as well as Bovaird Drive and Steeles Avenue just outside the Study Area, as Primary Truck Routes connecting goods manufacturers with destinations and highways.

### 2.2.5 Region of Peel Active Transportation Plan

The Active Transportation Plan (ATP) completed in November 2011 articulates a vision for AT within the Region of Peel aimed at creating a place where walking, cycling, and rolling are safe, convenient, appealing and accessible for all citizens, especially children, youth, older adults, persons with disabilities and other priority populations. The ATP sets outs policies to support walking and cycling, and recommends infrastructure improvements to expand the existing pedestrian and bike networks, based on the premise that AT facilities should be provided in all Regional Road corridors to facilitate access to adjacent land uses and promote connectivity with the transit system.


* paradigm Illustrative Roadway Cross-Section for $\begin{array}{r}\text { Suburban Connector }\end{array}$

Mississauga Road Class EA Study - Transportation and Traffic Analysis Report
151730

Near the Study Area, the ATP identifies the provision of:

- Multi-use trails and sidewalks along Mississauga Road, Bovaird Drive and Queen Street West;
- Sidewalks on both sides of Embleton Road; and

Segregated bike lanes along Mississauga Road from Bovaird Drive to Queen Street West, and on Queen Street West from Mississauga Road to Chinguacousy Road. The ATP does not specify which side of the road the multi-use trails and sidewalks should be located, leaving the specifics regarding type of facility and placement to the implementation phase.

### 2.2.6 Region of Peel Municipal Class EA Studies

The Region of Peel is conducting or has recently completed the following Class EA studies near the Study Area:

## Mississauga Road (Bovaird Drive to Mayfield Road)

The Region completed a Municipal Class EA in 2013 for improvements to Mississauga Road between Bovaird Drive and Mayfield Road. The study recommended:

- Widening of Mississauga Road from two (2) to six (6) lanes from Bovaird Drive to Sandalwood Parkway West, and from two (2) to four (4) lanes from Sandalwood Parkway West to Mayfield Road;
- A rail overpass and a 42 m clear span bridge over Huttonville Creek; and
- Intersection improvements, transit facilities, sidewalk and multi-use trail.

Construction is scheduled for 2019 in the Region's 2016 Ten Year Capital Plan.

The study assumed a 4 per cent per annum traffic growth rate to estimate future travel demand in horizon years 2018 and 2031.

## Bovaird Drive (Lake Louise Drive/Worthington Avenue to 1.45 km west of Heritage Road)

The Region completed a Schedule C Municipal Class EA in 2013 for improvements to Bovaird Drive from Lake Louise Drive/Worthington Avenue to 1.45 km west of Heritage Road. The study recommended:

- Widening of Bovaird Drive from two (2) to six (6) lanes east of the North-South Transportation Corridor (now part of the GTA West Transportation Corridor and assumed to cross Bovaird Drive, east of Heritage Road), and from two (2) to four (4) lanes west of the NorthSouth Transportation Corridor with full urbanization; and
- Multi-use trail on the north side of Bovaird Drive and sidewalk on the south, as well as intersection improvements and transit facilities.

Construction of the section east of Mississauga Road is scheduled for 20162017 in the Region's 2016 Ten Year Capital Plan. The section of Bovaird Drive, west of Mississauga Road is scheduled for construction in the 20212025 period.

The study applied traffic growth rates from the HPBATS 2031 EMME model to estimate future travel demand in horizon years 2021 and 2031. With other planned improvements, especially the GTA West Transportation Corridor, a 1 per cent per annum reduction in traffic volumes east of Mississauga Road and a 2 per cent per annum reduction in traffic volumes west of Mississauga Road were assumed.

## Mississauga Road (Queen Street West to Bovaird Drive)

Part of this assignment is to prepare an Addendum to the Municipal Class EA completed by the Region in 2006 for improvements to Mississauga Road between Queen Street West and Bovaird Drive. The original study recommended:

- Widening of Mississauga Road to a five (5) lane semi-urban crosssection by 2015, with six (6) or seven (7) lanes required beyond 2015;
- Intersection improvements to Queen Street West, Williams Parkway and Bovaird Drive; and
- Pedestrian multi-use trail on both sides of Mississauga Road.

Construction is scheduled in the 2021-2025 period in the Region's 2016 Ten Year Capital Plan, subject to the findings of this study.

### 2.3 City of Brampton

### 2.3.1 City of Brampton Official Plan (2006)

The City of Brampton's Official Plan (BOP) guides land use decisions in the City by providing goals, objectives, and policies based on the principles of sustainable development. Near the Study Area, the BOP defines the Northwest Brampton Urban Development Area as a mixed-use, transitoriented community centred on the Mount Pleasant GO Station/multi-modal transit node. This new area will be planned as a compact and complete community with higher density development, consistent with Places to Grow.

The BOP supports the development and protection of a North-South Higher Order Transportation Corridor in West Brampton (now part of the GTA West Transportation Corridor). This corridor is proposed to cross the Credit River
and connect Northwest Brampton with the Bram West Secondary Plan and Highway 407.

### 2.3.2 City of Brampton Transportation Master Plan Update (2015)

The City of Brampton completed the Transportation Master Plan Update (TMPU) in 2015. The TMPU provides sustainable transportation solutions to serve planned growth to the year 2041. The plan is founded on the following major transit improvements: Hurontario-Main Light Rail Transit; two-way, all day GO Rail service to three (3) main stations in Brampton; and expansion of the City's Züm Bus Rapid Transit network.

The TMPU identifies the following municipal road network improvements for horizon years 2021, 2031 and 2041 near the Study Area:

- New four (4) lane road extension of Williams Parkway from Mississauga Road to Heritage Road (2021);
- New four (4) lane road construction (Rivermont Road, formerly known as Riverview Heights) from Steeles Avenue to Winston Churchill Boulevard (2021);
- New six (6) lane road construction of Bram West Parkway from north of Embleton Road to Heritage Road (2021);
- New four (4) lane construction of Financial Drive from Heritage Road to Winston Churchill Boulevard (2021);
- Widening of Heritage Road from Wanless Drive to Steeles Avenue to four (4) lanes (2031);
- Widening of Creditview Road from Bovaird Drive to Mount Pleasant Transit Spine to six (6) lanes (2041); and
- New four (4) lane road construction from Mount Pleasant GO Station to Winston Churchill Boulevard (2041).

The plan identifies the following rapid transit improvements for horizon years 2021 and 2041 near the Study Area:

- Bovaird West Züm from Mount Pleasant GO Station to Queen Street West (implemented in Fall 2015);
- Steeles West Züm from Main Street to Lisgar GO Station (by 2021);
- Queen West Züm from Main Street to Mississauga Road. and to Mount Pleasant GO Station (by 2021);
- Mississauga Züm from Queen Street West to Steeles Avenue West (by 2041); and
- Steeles Bus Rapid Transit between Lisgar GO Station and Highway 427 (Humber College).

The plan identifies the existing and proposed cycling networks near the Study Area, including:

- Existing off-road trail on Mississauga Road from Williams Parkway to south of Steeles Avenue, and on Williams Parkway east of Mississauga Road;
- Proposed bike facilities within the road allowances for Mississauga Road from Williams Parkway to Bovaird Drive, Williams Parkway west of Mississauga Road, Queen Street West, and Embleton Road;
- Proposed off-road trail within the Mississauga Road corridor north of Williams Parkway, Bovaird Drive, Queen Street West, and along the branch of the Credit River that intersects with Mississauga Road in Huttonville.


### 2.3.3 City of Brampton Municipal Class EA Studies

The City of Brampton is conducting or has recently completed the following Class EA studies near the Study Area:

## Heritage Road (Steeles Avenue to Rivermont Road)

The City is assessing the need for improvements within the Heritage Road corridor from Steeles Avenue to future Rivermont Road (formerly Riverview Heights Road), approximately 460 m north of Embleton Road. The study, which is scheduled for completion later this year, is considering a combination of measures to address operational deficiencies and the need for additional north-south capacity, including:

- Widening of Heritage Road from two (2) to four (4) through lanes;
- Intersection improvements (auxiliary lanes, property access relocation, signalization, and/or roundabouts at intersections);
- Improvements at specific locations to improve transit service; and
- TDM measures, such as carpool areas, shuttle buses, and flexible work hours, to support Bus Rapid Transit on Steeles Avenue.

The study assessed future road network requirements based on a screenline analysis using volumes (and capacities) derived from the City of Brampton EMME model for horizon years 2021 and 2031. Screenlines north of Embleton Road, south of Embleton Road, and north of Steeles Avenue were examined.

The analyses showed that existing screenline volumes north and south of Embleton Road approach or meet capacity, while volumes just north of Steeles Avenue are less than capacity. However, in 2021, only the screenline north of Embleton Road is expected to approach capacity, with the other two (2) locations expected to be under capacity. These improved conditions at the screenlines south of Embleton Road are forecast due to added capacity at the following adjacent north-south arterials:

- Winston Churchill Boulevard (widening from two (2) to four (4) through lanes);
- Rivermont Road (new four (4) lane connection); and
- Mississauga Road (widening from four (4) to six (6) through lanes).

By 2031, conditions for all screenline locations are expected to approach or be at capacity again, even with the addition of Bram West Parkway (assuming six (6) through lanes - see below).

## Bram West Parkway (Heritage Road to Financial Drive) and Financial Drive (Heritage Road to Winston Churchill Boulevard)

The City is carrying out a Municipal Class EA for a new north-south arterial road, Bram West Parkway from Heritage Road to Financial Drive and the extension of Financial Drive from Heritage Road to Winston Churchill Boulevard. The study, scheduled for completion later in 2016, is recommending the following improvements:

- New Bram West Parkway with six (6) lanes north of Steeles Avenue to Heritage Road, and a partial interchange with Highway 407 at the south, providing access to and from the east. The new road is being planned to have a 55 m right-of-way, $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed, and signalized intersections at Heritage Road, Steeles Avenue and Financial Drive;
- Financial Drive extension with four (4) through lanes and a continuous two-way centre left turn lane from Heritage Road to Winston Churchill Boulevard. The road is being planned to have a 30 m right-of-way, $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed, and signalized intersections at Heritage Road and Winston Churchill Boulevard;
- Future Züm bus services on both Bram West Parkway and Financial Drive; and
- Sidewalk along the west side of Bram West Parkway and south side of Financial Drive, and multi-use trails along the east side of Bram West Parkway and north side of Financial Drive.


### 2.4 Implications for Mississauga Road Class EA

The previous sections have highlighted the considerable growth expected for northwest Brampton over the next 20+ years. Even with improvements to transit and active transportation, road network expansion will be necessary to meet anticipated travel demands.

The widening of Mississauga Road from four (4) to six (6) through lanes within the Study Area has been a common assumption for transportation planning studies completed in the northwest Brampton area over the past 10 years. Even with expansion of this corridor and other planned road improvements, traffic conditions are expected to approach or be at capacity by the year 2031. While it is acknowledged that the widening of Mississauga

Road must be assessed on its own merits pursuant to this Municipal Class EA study, deciding to not proceed with the project would have consequences for road network planning in this area. An alternative road expansion project would be needed to satisfy future demand.

## 3 Existing Transportation Conditions

This section documents current traffic conditions, operational deficiencies, and constraints experienced by the public travelling on the roads and at the intersections within the Study Area. The operational deficiencies and constraints identified at this stage will be fundamental to the process of defining future problems and opportunities, and establishing need and justification for any improvements in the corridor.

### 3.1 Road Network

The main roadways within the Study Area include:

- Mississauga Road (Peel Regional Road 1) is a north-south Regional Arterial Road that extends from Caledon in the north, through the City of Brampton, and to the City of Mississauga in the south. Within the Study Area, Mississauga Road has various speed limits (ranging from 50 to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ), features urban and rural crosssections, and provides one (1) to three (3) travel lanes per direction, with auxiliary lanes at many intersections.
- Williams Parkway is a four (4) lane, east-west City of Brampton Minor Arterial road. Its western terminus is at the signalized intersection with Mississauga Road. Within the Study Area, Williams Parkway has an urban cross-section and a posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The signalized intersection with Mississauga Road features exclusive left and right turn lanes, with an "open" lane to accommodate a through or an additional left turn lane in the future. A proposed extension west past Mississauga Road is planned beyond 2031.
- Queen Street West (Peel Regional Road 6) is a two (2) to four (4) lane, east-west Regional Arterial Road that runs east from Mississauga Road to Downtown Brampton. Within the Study Area, Queen Street West has a four (4) lane urban cross-section with a posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Queen Street West extends westerly from Mississauga Road as River Road, a local road providing access to the residential area situated west of the signalized intersection.
- Embleton Road (Peel Regional Road 6) is a two (2) lane Regional Arterial Road that runs west from Mississauga Road to the PeelHalton border and continues as $5^{\text {th }}$ Sideroad within Halton Region. Within the Study Area, Embleton Road has a two (2) lane rural crosssection and a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. At its signalized intersection with Mississauga Road, Embleton Road has a shared left-right turn lane and is constrained by a narrow road allowance.

There are also several local roads and driveways that intersect with Mississauga Road within the Study Area:

- Ostrander Boulevard and Adamsville Road intersect Mississauga Road at an unsignalized intersection north of the Queen Street West/ River Road intersection. These local roads provide access to the residential areas on either side of Mississauga Road.
- Beacon Hill Drive intersects with Mississauga Road further to the north at an unsignalized intersection. Beacon Hill Drive provides additional access to the residential lands.
- Royal West Drive will intersect with Mississauga Road north of Williams Parkway at an unsignalized intersection. Royal West Drive will be opening to traffic in the near term and will provide access to the new residential subdivision east of Mississauga Road.
- The Credit Ridge Commons commercial plaza has three (3) driveways to Mississauga Road south of Williams Parkway. One (1) driveway is signalized, while the other two (2) entrances are unsignalized.
- The Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway intersects with Mississauga Road south of Embleton Road at a signalized intersection. The west leg of the intersection currently serves as a construction access road to new residential lands and will provide a local road connection to this area soon.

Figure 3.1 illustrates the existing lane configuration and traffic control at the eight (8) primary intersections within the Study Area. As noted above, the intersections of Mississauga Road with Williams Parkway, the Credit Ridge Commons (commercial) driveway, Queen Street West/River Road, Embleton Road, and the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway are all signalized. The Royal West Drive, Beacon Hill Drive and Ostrander Boulevard/ Adamsville Road intersections with Mississauga Road feature two-way stop control.

Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 summarize the existing intersection and driveway spacing along the east and west sides of Mississauga Road, respectively. The intersection spacing is generally consistent with the Suburban Connector requirements set out in the Region of Peel Road Characterization Study (RCS).
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## TABLE 3.1: INTERSECTION AND DRIVEWAY SPACING FOR EAST SIDE OF MISSISSAUGA ROAD

| Location <br> (Cross Street / Driveway) <br> (m) | Access Type |  |  | Distance to Downstream Location (m) | Auxiliary Turn Lanes | Adequate Spacing per RCS? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full- <br> Access | Right-in <br> / RightOut | Left-in / <br> Right-in <br> / Right- <br> out |  |  |  |
| Bovaird Drive West | X |  |  | 60 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9980 Mississagua Road (Gas Station) | X |  |  | 40 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9980 Mississagua Road (Gas Station) | X |  |  | 210 |  | No |
| Driveway to Old Pro Driving Range Maintenance | X |  |  | 462 |  | Yes |
| Driveway to 9752 Mississauga Road (Residential / Farm) | X |  |  | 397 |  | Yes |
| Driveway to 9624 Mississauga Road (Farm) | X |  |  | 205 |  | Yes |
| Williams Parkway | X |  |  | 348 | SBL | Yes |
| Driveway to Credti Ridge Commons (Commercial Plaza) | X |  |  | 318 | SBL | Yes |
| Beacon Hill Drive | X |  |  | 18 | SBL | No |
| Driveway to 9330 Mississauga Road (Residential / Farm) | X |  |  | 214 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9264 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 23 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9234 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 68 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9234 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 19 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9220 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 38 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9210 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 19 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9178 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 90 |  | No |
| Ostrander Boulevard | X |  |  | 25 | SBL | No |
| Driveway to 9126 Mississauga Road (Unknown) |  | X |  | 410 |  | Yes |
| River Road Access |  | X |  | 98 | SBR | No |
| River Road | X |  |  | 430 | SBR, SBL | Yes |
| Driveway to 2100 Embleton Road (Unknown) | X |  |  | 28 |  | No |
| Embleton Road | X |  |  | 300 |  | Yes |
| Driveway to 8768 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 290 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8672 Mississauga Road (Terrace on the Green) | X |  |  | 63 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8646 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 18 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8636 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 183 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8586 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 50 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8568 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 25 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8562 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 27 |  | No |
| Driveway to Great Gulf Sales Presnetation Centre | X |  |  | 80 |  | No |
| Lionhead Golf Club Road | X |  |  | 380 | SBR, SBL | Yes |
| Financial Drive | X |  |  |  | SBL |  |

TABLE 3.2: INTERSECTION AND DRIVEWAY SPACING FOR WEST SIDE OF MISSISSAUGA ROAD

| Location (Cross Street / Driveway) (m) | Access Type |  |  | Distance to Downstream Location (m) | Auxiliary Turn Lanes | Adequate Spacing per RCS? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FullAccess | Right-in / RightOut | Left-in / <br> Right-in / <br> Right-out |  |  |  |
| Financial Drive | X |  |  | 218 | NBR | No |
| Unopened Access |  | X |  | 159 | NBR | Yes |
| Driveway to Lionhead Golf and Country Club | X |  |  | 235 | NBR | No |
| Driveway to 8601 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 53 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8619 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 26 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8627 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 30 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8649 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 34 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8651 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 476 |  | Yes |
| Driveway to 8811 Mississauga Road (Mahakali Madir Canada Society) | X |  |  | 74 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8827 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 32 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8837 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 72 |  | No |
| Embleton Road | X |  |  | 183 | NBL | No |
| Driveway to 8921 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 40 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8935 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 34 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8947 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 11 |  | No |
| Driveway to 8951 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 195 |  | No |
| Queen Street West | X |  |  | 544 | NBL,NBR | Yes |
| Adamsville Road | X |  |  | 487 | NBL,NBR | Yes |
| Beacon Hill Drive | X |  |  | 316 | NBR | Yes |
| Driveway to Credti Ridge Commons (Commercial Plaza) | X |  |  | 108 | NBR | No |
| Driveway to Credti Ridge Commons (Commercial Plaza) |  | X |  | 97 | NBR | No |
| Driveway to Credti Ridge Commons (Commercial Plaza) |  | X |  | 144 | NBR | No |
| Williams Parkway | X |  |  | 220 | NBR | Yes |
| Driveway to 9623 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 13 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9627 Mississauga Road (Residential) | X |  |  | 37 |  | No |
| Driveway to 9641 Mississauga Road (Brampton Georgetown Animal Hospital) | X |  |  | 360 |  | Yes |
| Royal West Drive | X |  |  | 736 |  | Yes |
| Bovaird Drive West | X |  |  |  | NBL | Yes |

### 3.2 Transit and Active Transportation Network

### 3.2.1 Transit Network

The City of Brampton (Brampton Transit) currently operates two (2) bus routes within the Study Area, Route 56 Springbrook and Route 60 Mississauga Road. Route 56 Springbrook provides service between the Downtown Brampton Transit Terminal and the Mount Pleasant GO Station. This route runs along Royal West Drive, parallel to Mississauga Road in the residential subdivision to the east, between Williams Parkway and Queen Street West. Service is provided seven (7) days per week as follows:

- Weekday service operates from approximately 6:00 AM to 11:30 PM with headways of generally 30 minutes;
- Saturday service operates from approximately 8:00 AM to 9:00 PM with headways of generally 60 minutes; and
- Sunday service operates from approximately 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM with headway of generally 60 minutes.

Route 60 Mississauga Road provides service from the Mount Pleasant GO Station to the Creditview Road/Financial Drive/Derry Road employment lands during the peak morning and afternoon periods from Monday to Friday. This peak period weekday service operates from approximately 6:00 to 9:00 AM in the morning and 3:00 to 7:00 PM in the afternoon, with headways of generally 30 minutes.

A westward expansion of Brampton Transit's Züm Bus Rapid Transit service along Queen Street West is planned for 2016. One (1) of the proposed new routes would link the Downtown Brampton Transit Terminal with the Mount Pleasant GO Station via Queen Street West, Mississauga Road, and Bovaird Drive. Within the Study Area, new Züm transit stops will be provided on Mississauga Road at Queen Street West and Williams Parkway.

### 3.2.2 Active Transportation Network

Active transportation infrastructure within the Study Area differs depending on location due to the variations in cross-section for Mississauga Road. Throughout the area, pedestrian infrastructure is provided along Mississauga Road in the form of either a sidewalk or multi-use trail on a least one (1) side of the road, as illustrated in Figure 3.2. Specifically, north of Williams Parkway, the urban cross-section transitions to a rural cross-section and the multi-use trail on the east side of Mississauga Road terminates.

Between Williams Parkway and the signalized commercial driveway, there is a multi-use trail on the east side and no sidewalk on the west side of Mississauga Road. The multi-use trail continues on the east side between the signalized commercial driveway and the Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road intersection.


## Existing Active Transportation Network

- From the Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road intersection to the Queen Street West intersection, there is a sidewalk on the west side of Mississauga Road. The multi-use trail continues on the east side.
- Between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road, the sidewalk on the west side is discontinuous and terminates prior to the Credit River Bridge. Along the east side of Mississauga Road, pedestrians are accommodated by either a sidewalk or paved shoulder between Embleton Road and Queen Street West/River Road.
- South of Embleton Road, the sidewalk on the east side ends approximately 165 m south of the intersection of Embleton Road and Mississauga Road. The multi-use trail crosses Mississauga Road at the intersection and continues on the west side of the road.
- At the intersection of Mississauga Road and the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway, sidewalk begins on the east side of the road, just north of the intersection, and extends south to the Financial Drive intersection. The multi-use trail continues on the west side of Mississauga Road.

There are no on-street bike lanes on Mississauga Road within the Study Area. Cyclists can use the existing multi-use trail.

### 3.3 Traffic Volumes

### 3.3.1 Count Information

The Region of Peel provided midblock and intersection traffic volumes for the Study Area intersections and roads. Table 3.3 lists the dates of the turning movement counts at the seven (7) primary intersections.

TABLE 3.3: TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

| Mississauga Road <br> Intersection | Original Count Date | Revised Count Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Williams Parkway | 14 May 2015 | 18 November 2015 |
| Commercial Driveway | 13 June 2013 | 18 May 2015 |
| Beacon Hill Drive | 13 May 2015 | 13 May 2015 |
| Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville <br> Road | 12 May 2015 | 18 November 2015 |
| Queen Street West/River Road | 6 November 2013 | 18 November 2015 |
| Embleton Road | 6 November 2013 | 18 November 2015 |
| Lionhead Golf and Country Club | 30 April 2015 | 18 November 2015 |

As shown in Table 3.3, the original data were collected over different years and times, with Mississauga Road under construction during several of the traffic surveys. Thus, observed traffic volumes were found to be inconsistent between intersections within the corridor. Recognizing this situation could adversely affect the analyses, the Region of Peel undertook new turning
movement counts at all Study Area intersections (except for the Beacon Hill Drive intersection) on November 18, 2015. Appendix A provides the most current traffic count data.

The recent turning movement counts provided up-to-date traffic volumes with no construction on Mississauga Road, but still exhibited discrepancies between a couple of intersections. To address these inconsistencies, the observed traffic volumes were adjusted and balanced, as illustrated in Figure 3.2.

As the intersection of Royal West Drive was not open at the time of the turning movement counts, the traffic volumes were obtained from Figure 20 (Horizon 2013 Total Volumes) of the Expanded Transportation Impact Assessment (Including GMP Phasing Analysis) Sub-areas 1 \& 3, Credit Valley Secondary Plan Report prepared by MMM Group in January 2004.

### 3.3.2 Intersection Traffic Volumes

Figure 3.3 shows the balanced existing weekday AM and PM peak hour turning movement volumes.

### 3.3.3 Midblock Traffic Volumes

Table 3.4 shows the midblock daily traffic volumes on Mississauga Road. The daily volumes were derived from the base year PM peak hour traffic volumes shown in Figure 3.2. PM peak hour volumes were assumed to be 10 per cent of daily traffic.

TABLE 3.4: MIDBLOCK DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES

| Road Section |  | Vehicles Per Day |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| From | To | NB | SB | Two-Way <br> AADT |
| Bovaird Drive | Williams Parkway | 747 | 584 | 13,310 |
| Williams Parkway | Commercial Driveway | 1,298 | 665 | 19,630 |
| Commercial Driveway | Beacon Hill | 1,602 | 739 | 23,410 |
| Beacon Hill | Ostrander / Adamsville | 1,616 | 738 | 23,540 |
| Ostrander / Adamsville | Queen | 1,646 | 737 | 23,830 |
| Queen | Embleton | 2,130 | 991 | 31,210 |
| Embleton | Lionhead | 1,794 | 779 | 25,730 |
| Lionhead | Financial | 1,789 | 845 | 26,340 |



### 3.4 Traffic Operations

### 3.4.1 Midblock Analysis

Table 3.5 shows the existing 2015 peak direction midblock volume to capacity (v/c) ratios for Mississauga Road based on the traffic volumes shown in Figure 3.3.

During the AM peak hour, the highest peak period v/c ratios occur between Queen Street West and Embleton Road and Embleton Road and Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway. The v/c ratio exceeds 1.0 for both segments, suggesting that this section of Mississauga Road is over capacity during the morning peak. The segment of Mississauga Road between Williams Parkway and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road exhibits v/c ratios between 0.83 and 0.88 , which infers that this section is approaching capacity during the morning peak.

In the PM peak hour, the segment of Mississauga Road between Queen Street West and Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway again shows v/c ratios exceeding 1.0 , suggesting that this section is also over capacity during the afternoon peak. Similarly, the section of Mississauga Road between the signalized commercial driveway and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road exhibits v/c ratios of 0.89 and 0.90 , which infers that this section is also approaching capacity in the afternoon peak.

This congestion on Mississauga Road is consistent with typical commuting patterns in the Greater Toronto Area, with higher southbound demand during the morning peak and greater northbound volumes in the afternoon peak.

### 3.4.2 Intersection Analysis

Table 3.6 shows the existing intersection operations based on the traffic volumes provided in Figure 3.3. The table denotes the existing level of service (LOS), v/c ratios, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths experienced on roads within the Study Area for the AM and PM peak hours. Appendix B provides the detailed Synchro analysis reports.

All intersections within the Study Area operate with overall acceptable level of service during the AM and PM peak hours. Other findings from the analyses include:

- Mississauga Road at Royal West Drive - During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn would operate with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.18 if the intersection were open to traffic. The relatively poor LOS and low v/c ratios indicate that the delay is likely caused by the high traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway - During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.95 .

TABLE 3.5 EXISTING (2015) MIDBLOCK CAPACITY ANALYSIS

| Road Section |  | Direction | Number of Lanes | Peak <br> Hour Volume | v/c <br> ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bovaird Drive | Williams Parkway | SB | 2 | 1031 | 0.57 |
| Williams Parkway | Commercial Driveway | SB | 2 | 1497 | 0.83 |
| Commercial Driveway | Beacon Hill | SB | 2 | 1521 | 0.85 |
| Beacon Hill | Ostrander / Adamsville | SB | 2 | 1584 | 0.88 |
| Ostrander / Adamsville | Queen | SB | 3 | 1612 | 0.60 |
| Queen | Embleton | SB | 2 | 2147 | 1.19 |
| Embleton | Lionhead | SB | 2 | 2043 | 1.14 |
| Lionhead | Financial | SB | 3 | 2042 | 0.76 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bovaird Drive | Williams Parkway | NB | 2 | 747 | 0.42 |
| Williams Parkway | Commercial Driveway | NB | 2 | 1298 | 0.72 |
| Commercial Driveway | Beacon Hill | NB | 2 | 1602 | 0.89 |
| Beacon Hill | Ostrander / Adamsville | NB | 2 | 1616 | 0.90 |
| Ostrander / Adamsville | Queen | NB | 3 | 1646 | 0.61 |
| Queen | Embleton | NB | 2 | 2130 | 1.18 |
| Embleton | Lionhead | NB | 2 | 1794 | 1.00 |
| Lionhead | Financial | NB | 3 | 1789 | 0.66 |

- Mississauga Road at Commercial Driveway - The westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.68 during the AM peak hour and LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.59 during the PM peak hour. The delay is likely due to the length of green time provided to the higher volume northbound and southbound movements.
- Mississauga Road at Beacon Hill Drive - The westbound left turn operates with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.47 during the AM peak hour and LOS $F$ and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.05 during the PM peak hour. The high delay is likely due to the high traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Boulevard - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound and westbound left turn movements operate with LOS F (v/c ratio of 0.20 ) and LOS E (v/c ratio of 0.19), respectively. During the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn movement operates with LOS F and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.16 . The relatively poor LOS and low $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios indicate that the delay is likely caused by the high traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road - During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.99 , and the southbound through operates with LOS E and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.07. These two (2) movements effectively exceed capacity. In the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn movement operates at capacity with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.97.

TABLE 3.6: EXISTING (2015) INTERSECTION OPERATIONS SUMMARY


- Mississauga Road at Embleton Road - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement operates with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.84 . The southbound shared through-right turn movement is approaching capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.96 , but operates with LOS B. In the PM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement has reached capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.0 and operates at LOS F.
- Mississauga Road at Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway - During the AM and PM peak hours, the eastbound and westbound movements experience significant delay, likely caused by the length of green time allocated to serve northbound and southbound traffic demand.

The existing conditions operational analyses identified several movements approaching or exceeding their capacities. Optimizing the signal cycle and phase timings would improve operations during the AM and PM peak hours. However, the southbound through movement at the Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road intersection would still be approaching capacity.

### 3.4.3 Traffic Control Signal Justification

The unsignalized intersections of Mississauga Road with Royal West Drive, Beacon Hill Drive and Ostrander Boulevards/Adamsville Road were analyzed to determine if traffic signal control is justified under existing conditions due to the congestion experienced by the eastbound and westbound movements during the AM and PM peak hours. The warrant analysis is based on the methodologies contained in Book 12 of the Ontario Traffic Manual - Traffic Signals (OTM Book 12) ${ }^{2}$. For an existing intersection with existing traffic volumes, a traffic signal is warranted if Justification 1 (both 1A and 1B) or Justification 2 (both 2A and 2B) is 100 per cent satisfied. If 100 per cent satisfaction is not achieved, but the justifications are at least 80 per cent satisfied, then the lesser value of both justifications (A or B) can be used in Justification 3, a combination of volume and delay.

Per OTM Book 12, each of the eight (8) highest hourly volumes in the warrant analysis must meet the compliance threshold values for traffic control signals to be fully justified at either 100 per cent for Justification 1 and 2, or 80 per cent satisfaction for Justification 3. For this analysis, the traffic count data for the two (2) intersections found in Appendix A were used.

Appendix C provides the detailed traffic signal warrant analyses completed for the intersections, which indicate that warrants are not met for existing conditions at the intersection of:

[^1]- Mississauga Road and Royal West Drive based on:
- Justification 1 (Minimum Vehicle Volume) - Justification 1A is 86 per cent satisfied, while Justification 1B is only 14 per cent satisfied.
- Justification 2 (Delay to Cross Traffic) - Justification 2A is 83 per cent satisfied, while Justification 2B is only 13 per cent satisfied.
- Mississauga Road and Beacon Hill Drive based on:
- Justification 1 (Minimum Vehicle Volume) - Justification 1A is 100 per cent satisfied, while Justification 1B is only 9 per cent satisfied.
- Justification 2 (Delay to Cross Traffic) - Justification 2A is 100 per cent satisfied, while Justification 2B is only 32 per cent satisfied.
- Mississauga Road and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road, based on:
- Justification 1 (Minimum Vehicle Volume) - Justification 1A is 100 per cent satisfied, while Justification 1B is only 20 per cent satisfied.
- Justification 2 (Delay to Cross Traffic) - Justification 2A is 100 per cent satisfied, while Justification 2B is only 29 per cent satisfied.

It should be noted that traffic signals are planned for the intersection of Mississauga Road and Beacon Hill Drive with the development on the west side of Mississauga Road. As such, the analyses of the future conditions scenarios in the remainder of this report will assume traffic signals are in place at this intersection.

### 3.4.4 Auxiliary Turn Lane

The need for auxiliary left turn lanes was reviewed for the intersection of Mississauga Road and Royal West Drive. The warrants for left turn lanes follow the requirements set out in the MTO Geometric Design Standards Manual ${ }^{3}$. A design speed of $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ has been assumed for the analysis (10 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ over the posted speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in urban conditions).

The percentage of left turning vehicles in the approaching volumes were rounded to the nearest 5 per cent, as nomographs are provided in 5 per cent increments. Based on the traffic volumes shown in Figure 3.3, the southbound left turn percentage is estimated to be $2 \%$ during the AM peak hour and $3 \%$ during the PM peak hour, if the intersection were open to traffic. Assuming a $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ design speed, a southbound left turn lane would be warranted at Mississauga Road and Royal West Drive. Figure 3.4 depicts the left turn lane warrant nomograph and analysis.

[^2]

AM Peak Hour
_-_ TRAFFIC SIGNALS NAY EE WARAANTED IK HUAAL
AREAS OR URBAN AREAS WITH RESTRICTED FLOW

- =********* TRAFFIC SIGNALS MAY DE WAR月ANTED IN
"free flów" urban areas


## AT-GRADE INTERSECTIONS

APPENDIX A


PM Peak Hour
TAAFTIC SIGNALS NAY EE WARRANTED IK HURAL AREAS OA URBAN AREAS WITH RESTRICTED FLOW

"FREE FLÖ*" UREAN AREAS Southbound Left Tum Lane Warant
Nomographs for Mississauga Road at
Royal West Drive

Synchro analysis of the Mississauga Road and Royal West Drive intersection with an exclusive left turn lane indicates that the critical level of service for the side street will remain the same. However, the provision of a left turn lane at this intersection will improve overall safety.

### 3.5 Road Safety

### 3.5.1 Collision Analysis

The Region of Peel provided collision information for the years 2010 to 2014. During this period, Mississauga Road was reconstructed and widened within the Study Area, significantly changing the character and configuration of the roadway. The data also span three (3) distinct periods for Mississauga Road - pre-construction, during construction, and post-construction - each representing different and unique road conditions. Given the dramatically varying configurations for the road and intersections over this period, it would be inappropriate to draw conclusions regarding the safety performance of the road and propose countermeasures based on this data.

## Midblock Segments

Table 3.7 summarizes the collisions by impact type and direction, for each midblock segment from the north end of the Study Area to the south end. As some segments experienced very few collisions, all 58 midblock collisions were analyzed together for the entire length of Mississauga Road in the Study Area.

Figure 3.5 shows a summary of the midblock collisions. Most collisions occurred in clear environment conditions (74\%) and on dry roads (64\%). Only $7 \%$ of the collisions occurred while it was raining, and $12 \%$ occurred on a wet roadway. Additionally, $17 \%$ of the collisions occurred during the winter under the following environment and road surface conditions:

- Environment:
- Snowing: 15\%
- Freezing rain: 2\%
- Road surface:
- Loose snow: 8\%
- Ice: 7\%
- Slush: 3\%
- Packed snow: 2\%.

Most collisions were classified as property damage only (88\%). A few (10\%) caused non-fatal injuries, and one (1) collision (2\%) was coded as nonreportable.

TABLE 3.7: MIDBLOCK SEGMENT COLLISIONS (2010-2014)

| Section Limits | Impact Type | Directions of Vehicles | Number of Collisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South of Bovaird Drive to Williams Parkway | Approaching | NB/SB | 4 |
|  | Rear-end | NB | 8 |
|  | Single motor vehicle | NB | 1 |
|  |  | SB | 4 |
|  | Total |  | 17 |
| Williams Parkway to Commercial driveway | Rear-end | NB | 1 |
|  | Single motor vehicle | SB | 2 |
|  | Total |  | 3 |
| Commercial driveway to Beacon Hill Drive | No collision information provided |  |  |
| Beacon Hill Drive to Ostrander Boulevard/ Adamsville Road | Approaching | NB/SB | 1 |
|  | Rear-end | SB | 1 |
|  | Single motor vehicle | SB | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 3 |
| Ostrander Boulevard/ <br> Adamsville Road to Queen Street West/River Road | Approaching | NB/SB | 1 |
|  | Rear-end | NB | 2 |
|  |  | SB | 2 |
|  | Total |  | 5 |
| Queen Street <br> West/River Road to Embleton Road | Approaching | NB/SB | 1 |
|  | Rear-end | NB | 3 |
|  |  | SB | 4 |
|  | Sideswipe | SB | 2 |
|  | Turning movement (at private driveway) | EB/SB | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 11 |
| Embleton Road to Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway | Approaching | NB/SB | 2 |
|  | Rear-end | NB | 8 |
|  |  | SB | 5 |
|  | Sideswipe | NB/NB | 1 |
|  |  | NB/SB | 1 |
|  | Single motor vehicle | SB | 2 |
|  | Total |  | 19 |
| Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway to Financial Drive | No collision information provided |  |  |

## Environment Condition
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Light Conditions
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Time of Collisions

Midblock Collision Analysis Summary

The most common collision types were rear-end (59\%), single motor vehicle (17\%) and approaching (15\%). Other types of collisions included sideswipe (7\%) and turning movement (2\%).

Most collisions occurred during daylight (69\%), with other collisions occurring in dark conditions, with or without artificial lighting (27\%), and at dawn or dusk ( $2 \%$ each). Most collisions occurred during the AM and PM peak periods: 26\% between 06:00 and 09:00, and 37\% between 15:00 and 19:00. The other collisions occurred mostly during the evening/night ( $28 \%$ between 19:00 and 06:00), and a few collisions occurred during the off-peak daytime period ( $9 \%$ between 09:00 and 15:00).

## Intersections

Table 3.8 summarizes the collisions by impact type and direction for each intersection from the north end of the Study Area to the south end.

## Mississauga Road and Williams Parkway

Figure 3.6 shows the collision analysis summary for the intersection of Mississauga Road and Williams Parkway. Most collisions occurred in clear conditions (76\%) and on dry roads (59\%). Only $12 \%$ occurred in raining conditions or on wet roadways. Winter conditions were present in some of the collisions (snow, 6\%; drifting snow, 6\%). However, winter road conditions were present in almost a quarter of the collisions (ice, 17\%; slush, $6 \%)$. An additional $6 \%$ of the collisions occurred on loose sand or gravel.

Most collisions were classified as property damage only (76\%). The remainder (24\%) caused non-fatal injuries. The most common impact types were rear-end (59\%) and sideswipe (23\%). Other types of collisions included approaching, sideswipe and single motor vehicle ( $6 \%$ each).

Most collisions occurred during daylight conditions (70\%). The remaining collisions occurred in dark conditions, with or without artificial lighting (24\%) and at dawn (6\%). Collisions were almost equally divided between the AM peak period (29\%, 06:00-09:00), off-peak daytime period (23\%, (09:00$15: 00)$, PM peak period ( $24 \%, 15: 00-19: 00$ ) and evening/night ( $24 \%, 19: 00-$ 06:00).

## Mississauga Road and Beacon Hill Drive

A total of four (4) collisions were recorded at the intersection of Mississauga Road and Beacon Hill Drive for the study period. Two (2) collisions (50\%) occurred in clear conditions on dry roads, one (1) in rain and on a wet road (25\%), and one (1) in snow conditions with loose snow on the road (25\%).

All four (4) collisions were classified as property damage only. The impact type was rear-end for three (3) collisions and turning movement for one (1) collision.

TABLE 3.8: INTERSECTION COLLISIONS (2010-2014)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Intersecting } \\ \text { Roadway }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Impact Type } \\ \text { Williams } \\ \text { Parkway }\end{array}$ | Approaching | NB/SB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Number of <br>

Collisions\end{array}\right)\)

TABLE 3.8: INTERSECTION COLLISIONS (2010-2014)

| Intersecting Roadway | Impact Type | Directions of Vehicles | Number of Collisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | 3 |
|  | Sideswipe | NB/SB | 1 |
|  |  | NB/Unknown | 2 |
|  |  | SB/SB | 2 |
|  | Turning movement | NB/SB | 2 |
|  | Single motor vehicle | SB | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 18 |
| Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway | No collision records |  |  |
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Collision Analysis Summary for Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway

All four (4) collisions occurred during daylight conditions. Two (2) collisions occurred during the AM peak period (06:00-09:00) and one (1) collision occurred during the PM peak period (15:00-19:00). The fourth collision occurred at "4:25", but given it occurred in daylight conditions in July, it Is believed this collision also occurred during the PM peak period (15:0019:00).

## Mississauga Road and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road

A total of three (3) collisions were recorded at the intersection of Mississauga Road and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road for the study period. Two (2) collisions occurred in clear conditions and on dry roads, and one (1) collision occurred in rain and on a wet road.

Two (2) collisions were classified as property damage only, and one (1) caused non-fatal injuries. Impact types were approaching, angle and turning movement (one (1) collision each).

One (1) collision occurred during the daylight in the AM peak period (06:0009:00). Two (2) collisions occurred in dark conditions during the evening/ night period (19:00-00:00).

## Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road

Figure 3.7 shows the collision analysis summary for the intersection of Mississauga Road and Queen Street West. Most collisions occurred in clear conditions ( $90 \%$ ) and on dry roads ( $85 \%$ ). Only $5 \%$ of collisions occurred during snowing conditions. Roads were wet in $10 \%$ of the collisions, and winter conditions (slush) were present in $5 \%$ of collisions. The environment condition field was left blank in $5 \%$ of the collisions.

Many collisions (70\%) were classified as property damage only, with $25 \%$ of collisions causing non-fatal injuries. The classification field was left blank for $5 \%$ of the collisions. The most common collision types were rear-end (40\%), turning movement (35\%), and angle (10\%). Other collision types included approaching (5\%), sideswipe (5\%), and single motor vehicle - other (SMV other, $5 \%$ ). One (1) collision occurred when a driver manoeuvered to avoid a dog.

Most collisions occurred during daylight conditions (60\%). The remaining collisions occurred during dark collisions, with or without artificial lighting. Collisions were well distributed during the day with $20 \%$ in the AM peak period (06:00-09:00), 30\% during the off-peak daytime period (09:00-15:00), $30 \%$ during the PM peak period (15:00-19:00) and $20 \%$ during the evening/night (19:00-06:00).

Environment Condition


Classification of Collisions


Light Conditions

paradigm

Road Surface Condition


Initial Impact Type


Time of Collisions


Collision Analysis Summary for Mississauga Road at
Queen Street West/ River Road

## Mississauga Road and Embleton Road

Figure 3.8 shows the collision analysis summary for the intersection of Mississauga Road and Embleton Road. Most collisions occurred in clear conditions ( $89 \%$ ) and on dry roads ( $89 \%$ ). Only $5 \%$ of collisions occurred during snowing conditions. The environment condition field was left blank for $6 \%$ of the collisions. The road surface was wet for $11 \%$ of collisions.

Most collisions were classified as property damage only (83\%). The remainder ( $17 \%$ ) caused non-fatal injuries. The most common impact types were rear-end (50\%), sideswipe (28\%) and turning movements (11\%). Other types of collisions included approaching (5\%) and single motor vehicle other (SMV other, 6\%).

Most collisions occurred during daylight conditions, with or without artificial lighting (83\%). The remaining collisions occurred in dark conditions (17\%). Most collisions occurred during the PM peak period (45\%, 15:00-19:00). Other collisions were distributed between the off-peak daytime period ( $22 \%$, 09:00-15:00), the evening/night ( $22 \%, 19: 00-06: 00$ ) and AM peak period (11\%, 06:00-09:00).

## Mississauga Road and Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway

No collisions were recorded at this intersection.

### 3.5.2 Geometric Analysis

The geometric analysis is based on field reviews completed on 17 December 2015 (daytime) and 21 December 2015 (evening). The field investigation is supplemented with an office review completed using Google Earth imagery, which is limited by the dates of the images, some predating the completion of construction on Mississauga Road in the Study Area.

## Midblock Cross-Section

The cross-section of Mississauga Road varies along the length of the Study Area. Table 3.9 summarizes the various cross-section characteristics, from the north end of the Study Area to the south end.

## Intersection Lane Configurations

Given that the midblock cross-section varies within the Study Area, the number of lanes on approaches to each intersection vary as well. Table 3.10 summarizes the lane configuration for each intersection approach, again from the north end to the south end of the Study Area. Figure 3.1 also depicts this information.
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Collision Analysis Summary for Mississauga Road at Embleton Road

TABLE 3.9: MIDBLOCK CROSS-SECTION CHARACTERISTICS

| Section Limits | Configuration | Posted Speed | Active Transportation Facilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South of Bovaird Drive to Williams Parkway | Transitions from rural cross-section in the north part (closer to Bovaird Drive) to urban cross-section in the south part (closer to Williams Parkway) <br> -Two (2) lanes per direction in urban section <br> -One (1) lane per direction in rural section <br> -Paved shoulder on east side in rural <br> - Gravel shoulder on west side for both sections <br> -Ditches on both sides in rural section <br> -Painted median in urban section <br> -Illumination in urban section <br> - No illumination in rural section <br> -Pavement of rural in poorer condition | Urban section: $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> South part of rural section: $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}^{1}$ <br> Near <br> Bovaird <br> Drive: <br> $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | -Multi-use trail on east side in urban section, ends at the transition to rural section |
| Williams Parkway to Commercial Driveway | Two (2) lanes per direction <br> Two (2) right-in, right-out unsignalized commercial accesses <br> -Auxiliary turn lanes at commercial accesses to the east and future development to the west <br> Raised median <br> -Gravel shoulder on west side | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | -Multi-use trail on east side |
| Commercial Driveway to Beacon Hill Drive | -Two (2) lanes per direction <br> -Gravel shoulder on west side <br> -Painted median | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | >Multi-use trail on east side |
| Beacon Hill Drive to Ostrander Boulevard/ Adamsville Road | Two (2) lanes per direction <br> -Curb and paved boulevard on west side in south part (Huttonville) <br> Gravel shoulder on west side in north part <br> Painted median | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | -Multi-use trail on east side |
| Ostrander Boulevard/ Adamsville Road to Queen Street West/River Road | -Three (3) northbound lanes <br> - Two (2) southbound lanes <br> - Raised median | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | -Multi-use trail on east side Sidewalk on west side |
| Queen Street West/River Road to Embleton Road | - Two (2) lanes per direction | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | Sidewalk on east side -Partial sidewalk on west side, does not connect between the intersections |

TABLE 3.9: MIDBLOCK CROSS-SECTION CHARACTERISTICS

| Section Limits | Configuration | Posted Speed | Active Transportation Facilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Embleton Road to Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway | Two (2) lanes per direction <br> Paved boulevard on east side, width varies | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | Multi-use trail on west side <br> Sidewalk on east side, closer to Embleton Road |
| Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway to Financial Drive | Three (3) lanes per direction <br> Auxiliary turn lanes at intersections and entrances <br> Raised median | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | Sidewalk on east side <br> Multi-use trail on west side |

Note:

1. The Region will be lowering the posted speed limit to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ on Mississauga Road from south of Bovaird Drive to Williams Parkway during construction along this segment. Construction is expected to start in the summer 2016 and last until the winter of 2018. Upon completion of construction, the Region intends to reinstate the current $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit.

TABLE 3.10: INTERSECTION LANE CONFIGURATIONS

| Location | Northbound | Southbound | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Williams Parkway (Signalized, 3 legs) | Currently restricted access to construction site | - 2 through lanes <br> -1 right turn lane, continuous from north of commercial driveway | >1 left turn lane <br> -2 through lanes | 1 left turn lane <br> 1 right turn lane future left or through lane |
| Commercial <br> Driveway <br> (Signalized, 3 legs) | $>2$ through lanes <br> $>1$ right turn lane | >1 left turn lane <br> -2 through lanes |  | -No markings <br> -1 left turn lane <br> -1 right turn lane |
| Beacon Hill Drive <br> (Unsignalized Beacon Hill Drive (WB) is stopcontrolled, 3 legs, planning for 4 legs) | Currently restricted access to construction site | 1 planned left turn lane -2 through lanes -1 right turn lane | $>2$ through lanes <br> -1 left turn lane | >1 left turn lane <br> -1 right turn lane |
| Ostrander <br> Boulevard/ <br> Adamsville Road <br> (Unsignalized Ostrander Boulevard (EB) and Adamsville Road (WB) are stopcontrolled, 4 legs) | \$1 left turn lane <br> $>3$ through lanes <br> -1 right turn lane | 1 left turn lane <br> -2 through lanes 1 shared through and right turn lane | 1 left turn lane <br> 1 shared through and right turn lane | -1 shared left turn and through lane $>1$ right turn lane |
| Queen Street West/River Road (Signalized, 4 legs) | >1 left turn lane <br> -2 through lanes <br> > 1 channelized right turn lane | -1 left turn lane <br> - 2 through lanes <br> -1 right turn lane | 1 shared right, through and left lane | 1 left turn lane <br> 1 though lane <br> 1 channelized right turn lane |
| Embleton Road (Signalized, 3 legs) | -2 though lanes <br> -1 left turn lane; | 1 though lane 1 shared through and right turn lane | 1 shared left turn, through and right turn lane |  |
| Lionhead Golf and <br> Country Club <br> Driveway <br> (Signalized, 4 legs) | 1 left turn lane <br> - 2 through lanes <br> - 1 right-turn lane (right most lane exits) | 1 left turn lane <br> - 2 through lanes 1 shared through and right turn lane | 1 shared right, through and left lane | 1 shared left turn and through lane 1 shared through and right turn lane |

## Roadway Alignment

Within the Study Area, Mississauga Road is generally straight and has a few gentle vertical curves. There is a slight horizontal curve near the Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road intersection. Between the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway and Queen Street West/River Road, Mississauga Road has two (2) vertical curves following a valley, with the intersection of Mississauga Road and Embleton Road located at the lowest elevation. The vertical curve located between the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway and Embleton Road is steeper and visibility over the crest is somewhat reduced.

## Lighting

Lighting is provided along the entire length of Mississauga Road within the Study Area, except for the rural section between Williams Parkway and south of Bovaird Drive.

## Pavement Condition

Pavement condition was generally good on Mississauga Road throughout the Study Area. For the rural section between Williams Parkway and south of Bovaird Drive, poor pavement conditions were observed, with a noticeable difference between the older and newer pavement surfaces.

## Active Transportation

Sidewalks and multi-use trails are provided along the Mississauga Road corridor within the Study Area, but there are gaps in the active transportation network at the following locations:

- Between Williams Parkway and south of Bovaird Drive, where the multi-use trail ends at the transition between urban cross-section (south part of segment) to rural cross-section (north part of segment) on the east side;
- Between Beacon Hill Drive and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville road, where the sidewalk ends on the west side, north of Ostrander Boulevard;
- Between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road, where the sidewalk is discontinuous on the west side; and
- Between Embleton Road and the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway, where the sidewalk is discontinuous on the east side.


## Pavement Markings

Pavement markings along Mississauga Road are generally adequate and visible during the daytime. During the evening site visit (also conducted under rainy conditions), pavement markings were not as easily visible. Only
the pavement markings on the rural section of the segment between Williams Parkway and south of Bovaird Drive were easily visible.

## Signing

A review of sign visibility and conspicuity from the driver's perspective was completed during daytime and evening/rain conditions. Signs along Mississauga Road were generally found to be visible and conspicuous during both light and dark conditions.

## Sight Distances

Approach and departure sight distances were measured for intersections and accesses along Mississauga Road within the Study Area, and compared to recommended distances set out in the TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (GDGCR or the TAC Guide). Approach sight distances were assessed against minimum stopping sight and decision sight distances in the TAC Guide, while departure sight distances were compared to the departure sight distances. The departure sight distances to the right for a left turning vehicle were adjusted based on the number of lanes the left turning vehicle would have to cross, as the TAC Guide provides distances based on two-lane roadways only.

Design speeds were assumed to be $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over the posted speed limits.
Sight distances were first assessed from the stop bar location. If recommended sight distances were not met from the stop bar, they were observed from the pull-forward position. If the observed sight distances from the pull forward position were greater than the recommended values, the guidelines were met. Sight distances were not measured when they were observed to be clearly greater than recommended in the TAC Guide.

Table 3.11 to Table 3.22 provide a comparison of the observed sight distances to the sight distances recommended in the TAC Guide. Under the "TAC Guidelines" column, for approaching sight distances the first number represents the stopping sight distance (SSD), while the second number represents the minimum decision sight distance (DSD). If the sight distance was measured to be greater than the stopping sight distance, the guidelines were met. Section 3.5.6 identifies any locations where sight distances are less than recommended. These situations will be addressed further during preliminary design.

TABLE 3.11: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT WILLIAMS PARKWAY

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD / DSD <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB | 60 | - | $90 \mid 175$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | NB looking east | 60 | 110 | 160 | $\mathrm{NO}^{1,3}$ |
|  | SB looking east | 60 | 110 | 170 | $\mathrm{NO}^{1,3}$ |
|  | WB looking north | 90 | 55 | 340 | $\mathbf{N O}^{2,3}$ |
|  | WB looking south | 90 | - | 310 | $\checkmark$ |

Notes:

1. The available sight distance is greater than the distance travelled by an oncoming vehicle in 3 seconds ( 50 m ) and greater than the stopping sight distance for the oncoming vehicle ( 85 m ).
2. The available sight distance is shorter than the distance travelled by an oncoming vehicle in 3 seconds ( 75 m ) and shorter than the stopping sight distance for the oncoming vehicle ( 170 m ).
3. The traffic control signals help to mitigate the sight visibility limitation as they separate the northbound/southbound and westbound movements in time.

TABLE 3.12: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT UNSIGNALIZED COMMERCIAL DRIVEWAY (NORTH)

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD $\mathbf{~ D S D ~}$ <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | NB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | WB looking south | 90 | - | 310 | $\checkmark$ |

TABLE 3.13: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT UNSIGNALIZED COMMERCIAL DRIVEWAY (SOUTH)

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD \| DSD <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | NB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | WB looking south | 90 | - | 310 | $\checkmark$ |

TABLE 3.14: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT SIGNALIZED COMMERCIAL DRIVEWAY

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD \| DSD <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB | 60 | 90 | $90 \mid 175$ | $\checkmark^{1}$ |
| Departure | NB looking east | 60 | 90 | 145 | $\checkmark^{2}$ |
|  | SB looking east | 60 | 90 | 170 | $\checkmark^{2}$ |
|  | WB looking north | 90 | - | 340 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB looking south | 90 | - | 310 | $\checkmark$ |

Notes:

1. The driveway length is approximately 90 m .
2. The driver can see the full length of the driveway.

TABLE 3.15: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT BEACON HILL DRIVE

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD / DSD <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB | 60 | - | $90 \mid 175$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | WB looking north | 90 | - | 355 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB looking south | 90 | 145 | 310 | $\mathrm{NO}^{1}$ |

## Notes:

1. The available sight distance is greater than the distance travelled by an oncoming vehicle in 3 seconds ( 75 m ) but less than the stopping sight distance for the oncoming vehicle ( 170 m ). Full sight distance for this westbound right turning movement should be provided.

TABLE 3.16: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT OSTRANDER BOULEVARD/ADAMSVILLE ROAD

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD \| DSD <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB | 90 | - | $160 \mid 270$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | EB | 60 | 120 | $90 \mid 175$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB | 60 | 95 | $90 \mid 175$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | EB looking north | 90 | 190 | 310 | $\mathrm{NO}^{1}$ |
|  | EB looking south | 90 | - | 355 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB looking north | 90 | 220 | 365 | $\mathrm{NO}^{1}$ |
|  | WB looking south | 90 | - | 310 | $\checkmark$ |

## Notes:

1. The available sight distance is greater than the distance travelled by an oncoming vehicle in 3 seconds ( 75 m ) and greater than the stopping sight distance for the oncoming vehicle ( 170 m ). However, as this is a two-way stop controlled intersection, full sight distances for turning movements should be provided.

TABLE 3.17: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT RIVER ROAD

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD \| DSD <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | SB | 70 | - | $120 \mid 200$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | EB | 60 | 85 | $90 \mid 175$ | $\checkmark^{1}$ |
| Departure | EB looking north | 70 | - | 200 | $\checkmark$ |

## Notes:

1. The distance from the previous intersection on River Road is approximately 85 m .

TABLE 3.18: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT QUEEN STREET WEST/RIVER ROAD

| Sight Distance Type | Direction | Design Speed (km/h) | Measured Sight Distance ( $m$ ) | TAC <br> Guidelines SSD \| DSD (m) | Guidelines Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | NB | 70 | 145 | 120 \| 200 | $\checkmark^{1}$ |
|  | SB | 70 | 165 | 120\|200 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | EB (from south) | 60 | 85 | 90\|175 | $\checkmark{ }^{2}$ |
|  | EB (from north) | 60 | - | 90\|175 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB | 70 | - | 120\|200 | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | NB looking east | 70 | 140 | 200 | $\mathrm{NO}^{3}$ |
|  | NB looking west | 60 | - | 160 | ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | SB looking east | 70 | 250 | 200 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB looking west | 60 | - | 170 | 4 |
|  | SB looking south | 70 | 130 | 140 | $\mathrm{NO}^{5}$ |
|  | EB looking north | 70 | 140 | 200 | $\mathrm{NO}^{3}$ |
|  | EB looking south | 70 | 160 | 235 | $\mathrm{NO}^{3}$ |
|  | WB looking north | 70 | - | 225 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB looking south | 70 | 135 | 200 | $\mathrm{NO}^{3}$ |

## Notes:

1. The available sight distance is greater than the stopping sight distance, but less than decision sight distance. That said, any deficiencies in sight visibility will be addressed through the preliminary design phase.
2. The distance from the previous intersection on River Road is approximately 85 m .
3. The available sight distance is greater than the distance travelled by an oncoming vehicle in 3 seconds $(58 \mathrm{~m})$ and greater than the stopping sight distance for the oncoming vehicle ( 110 m ).
4. River Road is mainly north/south, with approximately 10 m of storage at the intersection with Mississauga Road.
5. The TAC Guide does not provide specific guidance for assessing the sight distance of approaching vehicles turning left. The guideline distance for the southbound looking south (for southbound left turn movements) is found in the Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets from AASHTO (2001). The protected left turn signal timing phases also help to mitigation any concerns with the southbound left, as they separate the conflicting left turning and through approaching movements in time. That said, any deficiencies in sight visibility will be addressed through the preliminary design phase of this study.

TABLE 3.19: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT EMBLETON ROAD

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD \| DSD <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB | 70 | - | $120 \mid 200$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB | 70 | - | $120 \mid 200$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | EB | 60 | 205 | $90 \mid 175$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | NB looking west | 60 | 125 | 120 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB looking west | 60 | 30 | 110 | NO $^{1}$ |
|  | EB looking north | 70 | - | 200 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | EB looking south | 70 | - | 215 | $\checkmark$ |

Notes:

1. The available sight distance is shorter than the distance travelled by an oncoming vehicle in 3 seconds $(50 \mathrm{~m})$ and shorter than the stopping sight distance for the oncoming vehicle ( 85 m ). The traffic control signals help to mitigate the sight visibility limitation as they separate the northbound/southbound and eastbound movements in time.
2. Sight distance generally met, but visibility of oncoming vehicles is temporarily lost due to poles.

TABLE 3.20: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD, HILL BETWEEN EMBLETON ROAD AND LIONHEAD GOLF AND COUNTRY CLUB DRIVEWAY

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD $\mathbf{D S D}^{(\mathrm{D})}$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | NB | 70 | 95 | $140 \mid 200$ | NO $^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
|  | SB | 70 | 95 | $95 \mid 200$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Notes:

1. The available sight distance is shorter than the stopping sight distance required for northbound vehicles.

TABLE 3.21: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT TERRACE ON THE GREEN RESTAURANT DRIVEWAY

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathbf{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD \| DSD <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | NB | 70 | 125 | $140 \mid 200$ | NO $^{1}$ |
|  | SB | 70 | - | $95 \mid 200$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | EB looking north | 70 | - | 200 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | EB looking south | 70 | 125 | 225 | $\mathbf{N O}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |

## Notes:

1. The available sight distance is shorter than the stopping sight distance required for northbound vehicles. A left turn lane is provided for northbound vehicles turning left onto the Terrace on the Green Restaurant driveway, mitigating the lack of visibility. If the left turn lane is removed, the northbound left movement should be prohibited.
2. The available sight distance for vehicles exiting the driveway is lower than the recommended departure sight distance for a left turn movement.

TABLE 3.22: SIGHT DISTANCES FOR MISSISSAUGA ROAD AT LIONHEAD GOLF AND COUNTRY CLUB DRIVEWAY

| Sight Distance <br> Type | Direction | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{h})$ | Measured <br> Sight <br> Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | TAC <br> Guidelines <br> SSD / DSD <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Guidelines <br> Met? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | NB | 70 | - | $120 \mid 200$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB | 70 | - | $120 \mid 200$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Departure | NB looking east | 60 | 45 | 110 | $\mathrm{NO}^{1}$ |
|  | SB looking east | 60 | 45 | 180 | $\mathrm{NO}^{1}$ |
|  | WB looking north | 70 | - | 235 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | WB looking south | 70 | - | 200 | $\checkmark$ |

## Notes:

1. Site entrance building blocks visibility of vehicles further than 45 m . This is a private property. The traffic control signals help to mitigate the sight visibility limitation as they separate the northbound/southbound and westbound movements in time.

### 3.5.3 Signage Analysis

Signage was reviewed and compared to the MTO's Ontario Traffic Manuals (OTM) Books 5 - Regulatory Signs, 6 - Warning Signs, and 11 - Pavement, Hazard and Delineation Markings. Roadway Identification Signs are addressed in Section 3.5.4: Human Factors Analysis.

## Northbound

Dedicated Left-Turn Lanes:
For consistency, all dedicated left-turn lanes along Mississauga Road should be signed by a

Absence of Truck Entrance Warning Sign:
In the southbound direction, a truck entrance warning sign is located upstream of the Terrace on the Green Restaurant driveway. No such sign was noted for northbound vehicles. A review of the need for both a southbound and northbound truck entrance sign should be completed and signs should be removed or added accordingly.

Hill Located North of the Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway:
During the site visits completed in December 2015, a steep hill sign was observed in advance of the hill located north of the Lionhead Gold and Country Club Driveway and south of Embleton Road. The sign indicated a hill of $10 \%$. However, during the November 2016 site visit, this sign was not observed.

A steep hill sign should be installed for northbound drivers, in advance of the hill located north of the Lionhead Gold and Country Club Driveway and south of Embleton Road.

## Absence of a School Bus Stop Ahead Warning Sign:

In the southbound direction, a school bus stop ahead warning sign is located south of the intersection with Queen Street West/River Road. No such sign was noted for northbound vehicles. A review of the need for both southbound and northbound school bus stop ahead warning signs should be completed and signs should be removed or added accordingly.

Intersection of Mississauga Road and Beacon Hill Drive:
On the northbound approach to the intersection with Beacon Hill Drive, a dedicated right turn lane is added to the right of the curb lane, with the taper commencing approximately 130 m from the edge of the intersection. There is one right-turn arrow pavement marking, located approximately 25 m from the edge of the intersection. The right turn arrow marking should be located closer to the intersection, at approximately 15 m from the edge of the intersection (as per Figure 27 of OTM Book 11). A second right turn arrow
marking could be located at the beginning of the solid lane line. Additionally, a ground-mounted right turn only lane designation sign could also be added, to provide additional information to drivers.

## Southbound

Intersection of Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road:
At the approach to the intersection of Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road, the southbound curb lane becomes a right-turn only lane onto River Road. This is signed with one right turn only lane designation sign, ground-mounted to the right side of the roadway and located approximately 50 m from the stop bar. The sign is supplemented by two right-turn arrow pavement markings, located approximately 15 m and 50 m from the southbound stop bar. However, just upstream of the intersection, a dedicated right-turn lane to the north end of River Road is added to the right of the curb lane, with the taper commencing approximately 170 m north of the southbound stop bar, and the added dedicated right turn lane ending approximately 75 m north of the southbound stop bar. The right turn lane to the north end of River Road is signed with one right turn only lane designation sign, ground-mounted to the right side of the roadway and located approximately 15 m from the edge of the intersection. The sign is supplemented by one right-turn arrow pavement marking, located approximately 15 m from the edge of the intersection.

The combination of the right-turn lane to the north end of River Road and the existing curb lane becoming a right-turn only lane at the signalized intersection with Queens Street West and River Road increases confusion around the lane designation for unfamiliar drivers.

The suggested treatment for this combination of right turn lanes is similar to the approach taken at the northbound approach to the Lionhead Gold and Country Club Driveway. The existing right turn only lane designation sign, located approximately 50 m from the stop bar of the Queen Street West/River Road intersection should be supplemented with the right lane tab sign (Rb-42t). A standard size "right lane exits" sign (non-freeway) (Wa-56R) should also be added upstream of the existing right turn only lane designation sign, but downstream of the intersection with the north end of River Road. A second standard size "right lane exits" sign (non-freeway) (Wa-56R) could also be added upstream of the taper to the right turn lane for the intersection with the north end of River Road.

To help alleviate the possible lane confusion on the approach to this intersection, consideration should be given to an alternate configuration if the intersection is reconstructed. Possible alternate configurations include:

- Closing the intersection of Mississauga Road and the north end of River Road, since access is provided through the signalized intersection of Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road; or
- Continuing the southbound curb lane through the intersection of Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road, allowing for southbound right and through movements in the curb lane, and tapering off the curb lane downstream (south) of the intersection.

Intersection of Mississauga Road and Lionhead Golf Club Road:
On the southbound approach to the intersection with Lionhead Golf Club Road, a dedicated right turn lane is added to the right of the curb lane, with the taper commencing approximately 90 m from the stop bar. There are two (2) right-turn arrow pavement markings, located approximately 15 m and 50 m from the stop bar. A ground-mounted right turn only lane designation sign could also be added, to provide additional information to drivers.

### 3.5.4 Human Factors Analysis

Mississauga Road for most of the Study Area has recently been reconstructed. Typical conflicts for midblock sections (i.e. lane changes, merging lanes, etc.) and intersections (turning movements, rear ending, etc.) are expected to remain.

Positive guidance is generally provided to both northbound and southbound drivers, but some elements may remain somewhat confusing to unfamiliar drivers. The lane configuration varies between one (1) and three (3) through lanes per direction within the corridor, with lanes added, lanes merging and lanes changing from through lane to turning lane. In addition, the posted speed limit also varies from $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the south portion, to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the middle portion, and to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the north end of the Study Area. It is noted that a speed survey was not completed to assess existing conditions.

The size of the street name signs for minor roadways at unsignalized intersections is somewhat small and likely difficult to read for most drivers given the width of Mississauga Road and the posted speed limit. This may cause drivers unfamiliar with the area to complete less safe manoeuvres, such as rapid lane changes and sudden breaking, to turn onto those roadways due to the reduced visibility of the signs.

Unsignalized intersections allow all movements for vehicles on the minor roads, including right turns, through movements (when the intersection has 4 legs) and left turns. Given the number of lanes to cross on Mississauga Road to complete through and left turn movements, the number and duration of gaps between vehicles on Mississauga Road may be or become insufficient. This situation has the potential to cause delays to vehicles on the minor roads and may encourage drivers to attempt unsafe manoeuvres during gaps that are too short to safely allow through or left turn movements from the minor road.

### 3.5.5 Speed Limits Review

The speed limits for Mississauga Road within the Study Area were reviewed using the recommended posted speed limit evaluation methodology set out
in the TAC Canadian Guidelines for Establishing Posted Speed Limits. The Study Area was split into the following three (3) segments based on existing speed limits and roadway characteristics:

## North Segment

This segment extends from the beginning of the $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit south of Bovaird Drive to the transition from the two (2) lane to four (4) lane cross-section north of Williams Parkway. This segment currently has a posted speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and a two-lane cross-section. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed for both directions was observed to be $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at a location approximately 0.8 km south of Bovaird Drive based on data provided by the Region of Peel.

Figure 3.9 provides the worksheet summarizing the speed limit assessment for this segment. Per the TAC methodology, the recommended posted speed limit as determined by road characteristics should be $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, consistent with the current limit.

## Middle Segment

This segment extends from the transition from the two (2) lane to four (4) lane cross-section north of Williams Parkway to the beginning of the $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit, north of Queen Street West. This segment has a posted speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and a multi-lane cross-section. Most of the segment has two (2) through lanes per direction, although a short stretch at the south end (approximately 400 m ) has three (3) through lanes. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for both directions were observed to be $77 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at a location approximately 1.1 km north of Queen Street West based on data provided by the Region of Peel.

Figure 3.10 provides the worksheet summarizing the speed limit assessment for this segment. Per the TAC methodology, the recommended posted speed limit as determined by road characteristics should be $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which is $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ lower than the current limit.

Based on observed operating speeds, drivers seem comfortable with a posted speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Reducing the posted speed limit without any other action (e.g., traffic calming) is not expected to affect driver behaviour. A reduction in the posted speed limit would simply increase the number of non-complying drivers. Enforcement could help to reduce operating speeds but its effects would be limited in location and time. Roadway characteristic would need to be modified to achieve a sustained reduction in operating speeds.

For these reasons, the posted speed limit should not be modified now, especially if other roadway characteristics are to remain the same. Operating speeds should be monitored to assess the need for future changes, especially once development begins to intensify in the Study Area. If the roadway is reconstructed in the future, consideration should be given to a change in the posted speed limit at that time, based on the proposed
character of the reconstructed roadway, any changes in operating speeds, development patterns, and identified safety concerns.

## South Segment

This segment extends from the beginning of the $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit north of Queen Street West to the south end of the Study Area, south of the Lionhead Golf and Country driveway. This segment has a posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and a multi-lane cross-section. Most of the segment has two (2) through lanes per direction, although a short stretch at the north end of (approximately 200 m ) has three (3) through lanes. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for both directions were observed to be $71 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at a location approximately 1.8 km north of Steeles Avenue based on data provided by the Region of Peel.

Figure 3.11 provides the worksheet summarizing the speed limit assessment for this segment. Per the TAC methodology, the recommended posted speed limit as determined by road characteristics should be $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which is $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ higher than the current posted speed limit on this segment.

Based on observed operating speeds, drivers seem comfortable with a posted speed limit of $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and the compliance rate with the currently posted $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ limit is only around $40 \%$. However, sight distances available to drivers at the crest of the vertical curve located north of the Lionhead Golf and Country driveway are limited. The sight distance available to northbound drivers is less than the recommended stopping sight distance for a $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit. Increasing the posted speed limit to $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ around the vertical curve crest would therefore not be recommended.

### 3.5.6 Potential Safety Hazards

The following potential safety hazards were noted:

- Discontinuities in the active transportation facilities, especially between the following intersections:
- South of Bovaird Drive and Williams Parkway;
- Beacon Hill Drive and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road;
- Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road; and
- Embleton Road and the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway.
- Pavement markings are not easily visible under dark and wet conditions;
- Small street name signs for minor roadways at unsignalized intersections, which are may be difficult to read for drivers on Mississauga Road before reaching the intersection;
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- Unsignalized intersections allow all movements for minor road vehicles. Given the width of Mississauga Road, and the number of traffic lanes to cross for through and left turn movements, the number and duration of gaps may become insufficient, encouraging drivers to attempt unsafe manoeuvres during short gaps;
- Most sight distances are greater than recommended in the TAC Guide. Some of the intersections where sight distances are shorter than recommended are signalized, which helps to mitigate this concern. Locations where recommended sight distances are not met include:
- The departure sight distance for the westbound right turn movement at the intersection of Mississauga Road and Beacon Hill Drive is less than half of the recommended value for the posted speed limit. The available sight distance is sufficient for a posted speed limit of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which would not be recommended;
- The departure sight distances for the eastbound right and westbound left turn movements at the intersection of Mississauga Road and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road is less than the recommended values for the posted speed limit. The available sight distances are sufficient for a posted speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which would not be recommended;
- The sight distance for northbound vehicles driving on Mississauga Road and traversing the crest vertical curve located south of the intersection with the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway is less than the recommended stopping sight distance. The available sight distance is sufficient for a posted speed of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which would not be recommended; and
- Sight distance for vehicles turning left onto Mississauga Road from the driveway of Terrace on the Green Restaurant is less than the recommended value.
- Various signage inadequacies were observed, in both the northbound and southbound directions.


### 3.5.7 Recommendations to Address Potential Safety Hazards

The following countermeasures are proposed to address the following identified potential safety hazards:

## Discontinuities in the Active Transportation Facilities

Discontinuities in the active transportation facilities may encourage pedestrians, cyclists, and other mode users to travel on the shoulders, boulevards or travelled lanes, increasing the potential of conflicts between these vulnerable users and motor vehicles. Consideration should be given to removing the gaps through the reconstruction of Mississauga Road.

## Pavement Markings Not Easily Visible Under Dark and Wet Conditions

Per OTM Book 11 - Pavement, Hazard and Delineation Markings:
"Pavement markings and delineation devices fulfill an important guidance function for drivers, especially at night. They provide drivers with information about their lane position and which lanes are available for use. They provide drivers with a preview of upcoming changes in the roadway, including curves, lane drops, lane narrowings, intersections, crosswalks, and the beginning and end of passing zones. Good delineation generally results in better driver performance and greater driver comfort."4

Given the recent completion of construction on Mississauga Road in the Study Area, the number of drivers unfamiliar with the roadway may still be significant. Consideration should be given to using retroreflective pavement markings; and/or installing roadway pavement markers.

## Small Size of Street Name Signs

The size of street name signs for minor roadways at unsignalized intersections is relatively small, which may be causing delays and uncertainty for drivers preparing for a turning movement at these locations. Consideration should be given to installing larger street names, either at the intersection or in advance of the intersection, to improve navigation information for drivers.

## Unsignalized Intersections Allowing All Movements from Minor Road

Drivers on the minor roads travelling through the intersection or making a left turn movement may attempt unsafe manoeuvres as the frequency and duration of gaps decrease. Consideration should be given to:

- Restricting movements at unsignalized intersections, at all times or during peak periods, depending on when the issue arises; and/or
- Signalizing the intersections in the future, should one (1) of the justifications presented in OTM Book 12 - Traffic Signals ${ }^{5}$ be satisfied. As noted in Section 3.4.3, warrants are not currently met at these locations.


## Sight Distance for Westbound Right Turn Movement at Beacon Hill Drive

Westbound drivers on Beacon Hill Drive making a right turn onto Mississauga Road require sufficient sight distance of oncoming vehicles to safely complete the manoeuvre. Although the collision history does not indicate this movement as being an issue, consideration should be given to:

[^3]- Monitoring collisions at the intersection, specifically incidents involving westbound drivers turning right from Beacon Hill Drive onto Mississauga Road; and
- If the movement poses a safety issue in the future, prohibit the movement for a two-way stop control, or prohibit the westbound right turn on red movement if/when the intersection is signalized.


## Sight Distances for Eastbound Right and Westbound Left Turn Movements at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road

Eastbound drivers on Ostrander Boulevard making a right turn onto Mississauga Road and westbound drivers on Adamsville making a left turn onto Mississauga Road require sufficient sight distance of oncoming vehicles to safely complete the manoeuvre. Although the collision history does not indicate either movement as being an issue, consideration should be given to:

- Monitoring collisions at the intersection, specifically incidents involving eastbound drivers turning right from Ostrander Boulevard onto Mississauga Road and westbound drivers turning left onto Mississauga Road; and
- If the either movement poses a safety issue in the future, prohibit the movement(s) for a two-way stop control, verify if a traffic signal would be warranted, and/or prohibit the eastbound right turn on red movement if/when the intersection is signalized.


## Vertical Curve South of the Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway

If Mississauga Road is reconstructed, consideration should be given to changing the profile of the vertical curve located just south of the intersection with the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway. Specifically, consideration should be given to changing the profile of the crest curve located just south of the steep hill ( $10 \%$, based on signing present in December 2015) to provide northbound and southbound drivers with continuous sight distances at least equal to the stopping sight distance.

## Driveway of Terrace on the Green Restaurant

Sight distances for drivers exiting the driveway and turning left onto Mississauga Road do not meet values recommended in the TAC Guide. Consideration should be given to restricting the movements at the driveway to allow northbound left, southbound right, and eastbound right (right in, right out, left in) movements and prohibiting the eastbound left (left out) movement.

## Signage

Signage inadequacies were noted in both the northbound and southbound directions. Recommendations to improve compliance with the OTM Books include:

- Review the need for the southbound truck entrance sign, located just north of the Terrace on the Green Restaurant driveway, and for a complementary northbound sign. Remove or add appropriate signs based on the review findings;
- Install a steep hill sign for northbound drivers in advance of the hill located north of the Lionhead Gold and Country Club Driveway and south of Embleton Road;
- Review the need for the southbound school bus stop ahead warning sign, located south of the intersection with Queen Street West/River Road, and for a complementary northbound sign. s Remove or add appropriate signs based on the review findings; and
- On the northbound approach to the intersection with Beacon Hill Drive, relocate the existing right-turn arrow pavement marking closer to the intersection ( 15 m from the edge of the intersection). Consider adding a second right turn arrow pavement marking adjacent to the beginning of the solid lane line and a ground-mounted right turn only lane designation sign to provide additional information to drivers.


## Collision Analysis

As noted above, it would be inappropriate to draw conclusions regarding the safety performance of the road and propose countermeasures based on the historical collision data for Mississauga Road given the dramatically varying configurations for the road and intersections over the study period.
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## 4 Future Transportation Conditions

This section documents forecasted future traffic conditions and operational issues likely to be experienced by the public travelling on roads within the Study Area for the 2031 horizon year.

### 4.1 Network Assumptions

### 4.1.1 Road Network Expansion

Section 2 identifies several planned improvements to the arterial road network in and around the Study Area (including the widening Mississauga Road). For this study, the following improvements were assumed:

- Widening of Queen Street West to 6 lanes east of Mississauga Road;
- Opening of the west leg opposite Beacon Hill Drive and signalization of the intersection, as recommended in the Four X Development Traffic Impact Study - Update, December 19, 2013 prepared by Cole Engineering; and

The extension of Williams Parkway west of Mississauga Road was not assumed for the analyses. The projected date of implementation is beyond the 2031 horizon year of this study as noted in the City of Brampton Transportation Master Plan Update, which identifies the Williams Parkway extension in the 2041 required road network schedule. However, right-ofway should be reserved to allow dual left turn lanes on Mississauga Road as the intersection will be a major junction along the corridor.

### 4.1.2 GTA West Transportation Corridor

The timetable for construction of the GTA West Transportation Corridor is undefined, as MTO has suspended work on the planning and environmental assessment study at the time of preparing this report. Given the uncertain timing for implementation, it was decided to complete the future transportation condition assessment without consideration for the proposed freeway and its potential implications for traffic volumes and travel patterns on the roads within the Study Area.

A cursory analysis comparing traffic volumes on Mississauga Road with and without the proposed freeway facility indicated a nominal difference in peak direction flows (southbound in the morning and northbound in the afternoon). This suggests the highway would have limited (if any) influence on the need and justification for widening Mississauga Road. The presence of a GTA West transportation corridor could impact turning movement volumes at intersections, which would have a bearing on storage requirements and resulting auxiliary turn lane lengths. Given the magnitude and inherent uncertainty in future travel forecasts, however, these
implications were not considered consequential and do not alter the recommendations of this study.

### 4.2 Traffic Forecasts

The analysis of future midblock and intersection traffic conditions for the 2031 horizon year is dependent upon forecasted traffic volumes for intersections and roads within the Study Area. Future traffic volumes were determined by applying a background growth factor to existing counts, adding traffic generated by proposed development near the Study Area, and subtracting future trips potentially diverted to other modes. The methodology is described in further detail in the sections that follow.

### 4.2.1 Background Traffic Growth

The Region of Peel recommended a background traffic growth rate of 3 per cent per annum to forecast future volumes on Study Area roads. The rate was derived principally from the Region's travel demand forecasting model, and verified based on historic traffic counts, population and employment forecasts, and anticipated development patterns. This growth factor accounts for general increases in background traffic on the Study Area road network due to planned development outside the immediate area. Traffic generation attributed to development within the Study Area is captured in Section 4.2.2 below.

Figure 4.1 shows the 2031 AM and PM peak hour forecast traffic volumes based on the background growth rate.

### 4.2.2 Development Traffic

The Four X Development Traffic Impact Study - Update, December 19, 2013 report provided information on traffic generated by planned development within and near the Study Area, which includes the following plans and estimated traffic volumes (AM and PM peak hour traffic forecasts in parentheses):

- Osmington Regional Centre in the northwest quadrant of Mississauga Road and Bovaird Drive (1,022 AM/1,858 PM);
- Mount Pleasant Block 51-1 in the northeast quadrant of Mississauga Road and Bovaird Drive (1,223 AM/1,325 PM);
- Bovaird/Creditview Commercial Development in the northeast quadrant of Mississauga Road and Bovaird Drive (96 AM/270 PM);
- Credit Valley No. 2 Secondary School in the northeast quadrant of Mississauga Road and Bovaird Drive (10 AM/18 PM);
- Bluegrass Residential Subdivision in the northeast quadrant of Mississauga Road and Williams Parkway (332 AM/443 PM);
- Helport Residential Subdivision in the southwest quadrant of Mississauga Road and Ashby Field Road (246 AM/328 PM);
- Bram West Village of Riverview Heights in the southwest quadrant of Mississauga Road and Embleton Road (1,210 AM/1,446 PM); and
- Four X Development in the southwest quadrant of Mississauga Road and Williams Parkway (302 AM/403 PM).

Figure 4.2 shows the 2031 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes generated by planned development that utilize the roads in the Study Area, based on the Four X Development report.

Traffic generated by the proposed Heritage Heights and Heathwood/Cortel developments have not been included in the development background traffic volumes due to uncertainty with the GTA West transportation corridor, ongoing Ontario Municipal Board proceedings, and uncertain land use concepts. The traffic from these two (2) developments can be assumed to be captured within the 3 per cent per annum background growth rate in Section 4.2.1.

### 4.2.3 Trip Reductions

Trip reductions were applied to account for the projected increase in transit and active transportation mode use for travel in the Study Area. The 2015 City of Brampton Transportation Master Plan Update (TMPU) sets overall modal share targets of 16 per cent for public transit and 6 per cent for active transportation, in addition to 28 per cent for auto passenger travel, for the year 2041. Figure 4.3 shows the plausible reduction in traffic volumes for the AM and PM peak hours based on the forecasted 2031 vehicle demand, assuming the City's transit and active transportation targets are met by the horizon year of this study. Although these trip reductions may be overly optimistic, a less ambitious non-auto mode share assumption would increase traffic volumes forecast for Mississauga Road.

Per the City's TMPU, the transit mode split goal of $16 \%$ is expected to be achieved by 2031 through the introduction of several higher order transit routes in the Study Area and complementary measures to enhance the attractiveness of transit for travel. Planned improvements to transit service that will influence traffic demand in the Mississauga Road corridor include:

- Introduction of two-way, all-day GO Rail service to the three (3) GO stations in Brampton. Brampton Transit routes will service the GO stations to distribute passengers to and from the stations, which will extend the reach of transit in the city by providing convenient connections to other origins and destinations;
- Introduction of a Züm transit corridor on Mississauga Road from Bovaird Drive to Steeles Avenue. This new corridor will connect to a proposed higher order rapid transit service on Steeles Avenue;
- A Züm transfer between the Mississauga Road Züm route and the Queen Street Züm route, which enhances high frequency transit service to Downtown Brampton; and
- Supporting local transit service on north-south parallel routes, providing connectivity to the rapid transit and Züm transit corridors.

Similarly, the City's TMPU active transportation mode split goal of 6\% is expected to be achieved by 2031 through:

- The provision of additional sidewalks, on-street cycle lanes, designated cycling routes, and multi-use trails along key routes and scenic corridors;
- Partnerships with other jurisdictions to promote active transportation use and provide needed infrastructure and services. For example, the Region of Peel Active Transportation Study has recommended the implementation of multi-use trails on Mississauga Road and bicycle lanes on Embleton Road;
- Better integration with public transit services and facilities, like safe and secure bicycle storage at bus stops, buses equipped with bicycle racks, and safe and accessible bus stops; and
- Promoting education, encouragement, engineering and enforcement policies, design features and initiatives that foster active transportation use.


### 4.2.4 Forecast 2031 Traffic Volumes

Figure 4.4 shows the forecasted 2031 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes for the Study Area, comprised of background growth, new developmentgenerated travel, and trip reductions. These volumes will provide the basis for the future operations analyses.
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### 4.3 Future Traffic Operations

### 4.3.1 Midblock Analysis

Table 4.1 shows the forecast 2031 peak hour, peak direction midblock traffic volumes and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios for Mississauga Road. The table indicates that even with 6 lanes, Mississauga Road will be over capacity during the 2031 $A M$ and $P M$ peak hours.

TABLE 4.1: FUTURE (2031) MIDBLOCK CAPACITY ANALYSIS

| Road Section |  | Direction | Number of Lanes | Peak <br> Hour Volume | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \\ & \text { ratio } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bovaird Drive | Williams Parkway | SB | 3 | 2971 | 1.10 |
| Williams Parkway | Commercial Driveway | SB | 3 | 3653 | 1.35 |
| Commercial Driveway | Beacon Hill | SB | 3 | 3589 | 1.33 |
| Beacon Hill | Ostrander / Adamsville | SB | 3 | 3879 | 1.44 |
| Ostrander / Adamsville | Queen | SB | 3 | 3926 | 1.45 |
| Queen | Embleton | SB | 3 | 4593 | 1.70 |
| Embleton | Lionhead | SB | 3 | 4468 | 1.65 |
| Lionhead | Financial | SB | 3 | 4467 | 1.65 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bovaird Drive | Williams Parkway | NB | 3 | 2782 | 1.03 |
| Williams Parkway | Commercial Driveway | NB | 3 | 3557 | 1.32 |
| Commercial Driveway | Beacon Hill | NB | 3 | 3936 | 1.46 |
| Beacon Hill | Ostrander / Adamsville | NB | 3 | 4114 | 1.52 |
| Ostrander / Adamsville | Queen | NB | 3 | 4153 | 1.54 |
| Queen | Embleton | NB | 3 | 4742 | 1.76 |
| Embleton | Lionhead | NB | 3 | 4322 | 1.60 |
| Lionhead | Financial | NB | 3 | 4313 | 1.60 |

The forecasted midblock traffic volumes for Mississauga Road shown in Table 4.1 were compared to the 2031 volumes produced by the Region of Peel Long Range Traffic Model (without the GTA West Transportation Corridor). Only the southbound volumes were assessed given that the model forecasts AM peak hour conditions only. Table 4.2 summarizes the comparison between the forecasted and modelled traffic volumes. The table illustrates that the volumes are somewhat consistent, except for south of Embleton Road, where forecasted volumes are about 1,000 peak hour vehicles higher.

## TABLE 4.2: FORECASTED VERSUS MODELLED VOLUMES

| Road Section | Forecasted <br> Volumes | Modelled <br> Volumes | Diff | Diff $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| South of Bovaird Drive | 2971 | 2938 | 33 | $1.1 \%$ |
| South of Williams Parkway | 3653 | 3469 | 184 | $5.3 \%$ |
| North of Queen Street | 3926 | 3454 | 472 | $13.7 \%$ |
| South of Queen Street | 4593 | 4452 | 141 | $3.2 \%$ |
| South of Embleton | 4468 | 3458 | 1010 | $29.2 \%$ |

### 4.3.2 Intersection Analysis

Table 4.3 summarizes the forecast 2031 intersection traffic operations based on the volumes provided in Figure 4.4, assuming six (6) lanes on Mississauga Road and optimized signal timings. The table denotes the expected LOS, v/c ratios, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the intersections in the Study Area for the AM and PM peak hours. Appendix D provides the detailed Synchro analysis reports. It is noted that the intersection operations analyses are also intended to assist in determining the lane configuration of the approach roads to satisfactory accommodate forecasted future traffic demand.

The intersection operations analyses reflect the forecasted northbound and southbound midblock capacity issues highlighted in Table 4.1, with several intersections within the Study Area expected to operate with poor levels of service by the year 2031. Specific findings noted from the analyses include:

- Mississauga Road at Royal West Drive - The westbound movements are expected to operate with LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions due to the high northbound and southbound traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway - During the AM peak hour the westbound left turn is expected to operate over capacity with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. During the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn is expected to operate under capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.76, but exhibit delay with LOS E.
- Mississauga Road at Commercial Driveway - The intersection will operate with overall satisfactory conditions during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions.
- Mississauga Road at Beacon Hill Drive - The intersection is expected to operate with overall satisfactory conditions during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions. However, the southbound and northbound through movements will exceed capacity during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

TABLE 4．3：FUTURE（2031）INTERSECTION OPERATIONS SUMMARY

| Analysis Period | Mississauga Road Intersection | Control Type | MOE | Direction／Movement／Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\overline{W N}} \\ & \text { OD } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}{\square}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~J}} \\ & \text { (⿹丁口亏 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{5}{0} \\ & \text { K } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \mathbf{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{5}{0} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ \pm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \ddagger \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Royal West Drive | TWSC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ 504 \\ 1.16 \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 13 \\ 0.12 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ 154 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.30 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.04 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline B \\ 14 \\ 0.06 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.56 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A |  |
|  | Williams Parkway | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{F} \\ 326 \\ 1.61 \\ 364 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { C } \\ 32 \\ 0.07 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 292 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C } \\ 24 \\ 0.63 \\ 114 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 18 \\ 0.19 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ \hline 17 \\ 0.50 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 35 \\ 0.98 \\ 291 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline E \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Commercial Driveway | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 51 \\ 0.62 \\ 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 41 \\ 0.06 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { D } \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 12 \\ 0.53 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 8 \\ 0.08 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | B 12 | A 10 0.50 11 | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 23 \\ 0.97 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | C 23 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Beacon Hill Drive | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $D$ <br> 45 <br> 0.11 <br> 12 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 54 \\ 0.59 \\ 50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 50 \\ 0.37 \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 44 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A <br> 6 <br> 0.52 <br> 83 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 4 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | A 4 0.02 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline D \\ 50 \\ 1.06 \\ 414 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 4 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | D 50 | ［ D |
|  | Ostrander <br> Boulevard／ <br> Adamsville Road | TWSC | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ >200 \\ >1.0 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline F \\ 138 \\ 0.32 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ >200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{F} \\ >200 \\ >1.0 \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline C \\ 21 \\ 0.03 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ >200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ >200 \\ >1.0 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { D } \\ 29 \\ 0.03 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A 0 |  |
|  | Queen Street West／ <br> River Road | TCS | LOS Delay V／C Q |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline C \\ 26 \\ 0.03 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { C } \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ 236 \\ 1.40 \\ 368 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { C } \\ 26 \\ 0.01 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 27 \\ 0.06 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ 211 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 31 \\ 0.08 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 50 \\ 0.91 \\ 1988 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 35 \\ 0.54 \\ 94 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { D } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 47 \\ 0.72 \\ 45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 264 \\ 1.50 \\ 586 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ 258 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 184 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Embleton Road | TCS | LOS <br> Delay <br> V／C <br> Q | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 74 \\ 0.92 \\ 203 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline E \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 40 \\ 0.24 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 19 \\ 0.63 \\ 149 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 294 \\ 1.58 \\ 785 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 294 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 204 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Lionhead Golf and Country Club | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $E$ <br> 61 <br> 0.07 <br> 3 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 58 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline E \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 65 \\ 0.14 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{E} \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 16 \\ 0.33 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 2 \\ 0.49 \\ 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 1 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 32 \\ 1.04 \\ 482 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 1 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Royal West Drive | TWSC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { F } \\ \text { Err } \\ 5.37 \\ \text { Err } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline C \\ 21 \\ 0.22 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ >500 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.53 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.04 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A | E 35 0.17 5 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.38 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A |  |
|  | Williams Parkway | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 56 \\ 0.76 \\ 107 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 40 \\ 0.05 \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 42 \\ 0.98 \\ 303 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline C \\ 21 \\ 0.55 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 53 \\ 0.73 \\ 68 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 12 \\ 0.56 \\ 113 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Commercial Driveway | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 48 \\ 0.49 \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline D \\ 42 \\ 0.02 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { D } \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { B } \\ 13 \\ 0.90 \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A <br> 6 <br> 0.20 <br> 6 | B 12 | $C$ <br> C <br> 34 <br> 0.39 <br> 7 | A <br> 6 <br> 0.54 <br> 83 |  | A 7 | B <br> 11 |
|  | Beacon Hill Drive | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $D$ <br> 49 <br> 0.59 <br> 45 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 43 \\ 0.31 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { D } \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 39 \\ 0.01 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline D \\ 39 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline D \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 56 \\ 1.08 \\ 412 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 4 \\ 0.01 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline E \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | A 4 0.04 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 6 \\ 0.67 \\ 49 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Ostrander Boulevard／ Adamsville Road | TWSC | LOS Delay V／C Q | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{F} \\ >200 \\ >1.0 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline D \\ 31 \\ 0.61 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ >200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ 78 \\ 0.16 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline C \\ 18 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 50 \\ 0.09 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ >200 \\ 0.60 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A 2 |  |
|  | Queen Street West／ <br> River Road | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 33 \\ 0.01 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{F} \\ 140 \\ 1.15 \\ 189 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C } \\ 33 \\ 0.01 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 35 \\ 0.21 \\ 31 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 113 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 16 \\ 0.04 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline F \\ 233 \\ 1.45 \\ 518 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 27 \\ 0.74 \\ 152 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 201 \end{gathered}$ | F <br> 81 <br> 0.90 <br> 52 | $\begin{gathered} \hline C \\ 21 \\ 0.77 \\ 183 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C} \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 140 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Embleton Road | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 166 \\ 1.22 \\ 263 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 166 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 54 \\ 0.74 \\ 47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline F \\ 159 \\ 1.30 \\ 547 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 156 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 46 \\ 1.00 \\ 297 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 118 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Lionhead Golf and Country Club | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 53 \\ 0.15 \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 51 \\ 0.01 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { D } \\ 52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 57 \\ 0.38 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline E \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 4 \\ 0.05 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 58 \\ 1.09 \\ 478 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 2 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline E \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 3 \\ 0.02 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 6 \\ 0.63 \\ 104 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 2 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A 6 | D 39 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOE } \\ & \text { LOS } \\ & \text { Delay } \end{aligned}$ | －Measure of Effectiven <br> Level of Service <br> －Average Delay per V | ness <br> ehicle in | econds |  | Ex．－Existing Available Storage Avail．－Available Storage |  |  |  |  |  | TWSC－Two－Way Stop Control AWSC－All－Way Stop Control |  |  |  |  |  | RBT－ | Round | out |  |

- Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road The eastbound and westbound movements are expected to operate with LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions due to the high northbound and southbound traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road - The westbound left turn movement is expected to operate over capacity with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours. The southbound left turn movement is expected to operate with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.90 during the PM peak hour.
- Mississauga Road at Embleton Road - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement is expected to operate near capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.92 and LOS E. The southbound through-right movement is expected to operate over capacity with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. During the PM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement is expected to operate with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. The northbound through movement is expected to operate with LOS E and $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio greater than 1.0.
- Mississauga Road at Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway - During the AM and PM peak hours, the eastbound and westbound movements are expected to continue to experience delay caused by northbound and southbound traffic demand.


### 4.3.3 Traffic Signal Justification

The unsignalized intersections of Mississauga Road at Royal West Drive and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road was analyzed to determine if traffic signal control is justified under future conditions due to the congestion anticipated for the eastbound and westbound movements during the AM and PM peak hours. The warrant analysis is based on the methodologies contained in OTM Book 12. For an existing intersection with future traffic volumes, a traffic signal is warranted if Justification 1 (both 1A and 1B) or Justification 2 (both 2A and 2B) is 120 per cent satisfied.

Appendix C provides the detailed traffic signal warrant analyses completed for the intersections, which indicates that the warrant is not met for the intersections of:

- Mississauga Road at Royal West Drive based on:
- Justification 1 (Minimum Vehicle Volume) - Justification 1A met over the 120 per cent, but Justification 1B is only 17 per cent satisfied.
- Justification 2 (Delay to Cross Traffic) - Justification 2A is met over the 120 per cent, but Justification 2B is only 17 per cent satisfied.
- Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road based on:
- Justification 1 (Minimum Vehicle Volume) - Justification 1A met over the 120 per cent, but Justification 1B is only 13 per cent satisfied.
- Justification 2 (Delay to Cross Traffic) - Justification 2A is met over the 120 per cent, but Justification 2B is only19 per cent satisfied.


### 4.3.4 Intersection Operations with Improvements

The following modifications would improve the intersection operations for the 2031 future traffic conditions:

- Addition of a second westbound left turn lane at Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway, which was also recommend in the Royal West Developments Inc. CVSP Block 2 Traffic Impact Study prepared by Read, Voorhees \& Associates in October 2008; and
- Addition of a second westbound left turn lane and optimization of signal cycle length and phase timings to a three-phase operation for Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road, assuming this change in operation is feasible from a signal operation perspective.

For the intersection of Mississauga Road and Embleton Road, right-of-way constraints on Embleton Road and the proximity of adjacent buildings limits the potential to add exclusive eastbound turn lanes.

Table 4.4 summarizes the resultant traffic operations for the intersections of Mississauga Road with Williams Parkway and Queen Street West/River Road based on the operational analysis. Appendix E provides the detailed Synchro analysis reports. The analyses indicate that with dual left turn lanes, westbound movements at both intersections will operate with acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours. However, the peak direction movement on Mississauga Road will still operate with congestion and over capacity.

Any outstanding concerns regarding the intersection of Mississauga Road at Queen Street/River Road will be addressed during the public consultation period.

TABLE 4.4: FUTURE (2031) INTERSECTION OPERATIONS SUMMARY WITH IMPROVEMENTS

| \% | Mississauga Road Intersection | $\begin{gathered} \text { Control } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | MOE | Direction / Movement / Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\circ}{6}$ |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\bar{N}} \\ & \text { O} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{\infty} \\ & \frac{2}{N} \\ & \frac{5}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\bar{O}} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\mathbb{1}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \text { NON } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 둥 } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { Ғ } \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ |  | - |  | $\stackrel{+}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 읃 } \end{aligned}$ | + | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흘 } \\ & \text { 운 } \end{aligned}$ | Williams Parkway | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 50 \\ 0.85 \\ 122 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 33 \\ 0.07 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 48 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} C \text { C } \\ 23 \\ 0.62 \\ 114 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \\ 17 \\ 0.19 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \\ 17 \\ 0.50 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 32 \\ 0.96 \\ 291 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\frac{8}{0}$ | Queen Street West / <br> River Road | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 71 \\ 0.22 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A 7 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 75 \\ 0.96 \\ 179 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline D \\ 36 \\ 0.01 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 37 \\ 0.06 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 31 \\ 0.08 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline D \\ 45 \\ 0.89 \\ 193 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 34 \\ 0.53 \\ 91 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { D } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 50 \\ 0.72 \\ 44 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 251 \\ 1.48 \\ 588 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ 246 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 160 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 늘 } \\ & \text { 운 } \end{aligned}$ | Williams Parkway | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 47 \\ 0.44 \\ 52 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 42 \\ 0.05 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | D |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 42 \\ 0.98 \\ 303 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 21 \\ 0.55 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 42 \\ 0.59 \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 10 \\ 0.54 \\ 91 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline B \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \sum_{0} \end{aligned}$ | Queen Street West / <br> River Road | TCS | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 75 \\ 0.19 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 58 \\ 0.77 \\ 77 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 43 \\ 0.01 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 44 \\ 0.09 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \\ 19 \\ 0.04 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 295 \\ 1.58 \\ 606 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 38 \\ 0.82 \\ 208 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ 255 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 38 \\ 0.55 \\ 63 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 22 \\ 0.76 \\ 230 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ 169 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MOE} \\ & \mathrm{LOS} \\ & \text { Delay } \end{aligned}$ | - Measure of Effective Level of Service <br> - Average Delay per V | lehicle in | conds |  | Q-95 Ex. - Avail. | Perc | vaile | le Sto | Length |  | WCS | - Traffic | Way Stro | Signa |  |  | RBT - | Round | out |  |

### 4.4 Operational Analysis of Credit River Structure Crossing

The operational effects of widening Mississauga Road to six (6) lanes, but retaining the current four (4) lane cross-section over the Credit River, were analyzed to assess the implications of this potential strategy to minimize environmental impacts.

Two (2) VISSIM microsimulation model scenarios were developed for the section of Mississauga Road from Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road to the Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveways to analyze this option. One scenario assumed six (6) lanes on Mississauga Road, including the structure over the Credit River, with the intersection configurations denoted above. The other assumed the same lane configuration, except for the section between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road, which retained the existing four (4) lane cross-section. Both scenarios used the forecast 2031 AM peak hour traffic volumes shown in Figure 3.4 and assumed six (6) lanes for Queen Street West with dual westbound left turn lanes at Mississauga Road. Figure 4.5 and Figure 4.6 illustrate the VISSIM model configuration for the scenarios with six (6) and four (4) lanes over the Credit River, respectively.

The following provides an initial assessment of the traffic operations implications of a four (4) lane versus six (6) lane cross-section for Mississauga Road over the Credit River. Note that a comprehensive macro and microsimulation study encompassing a broader study area would be required to provide a more in-depth understanding of changes in traffic patterns and their potential implications with the different bridge configurations.


VISSM Model for Scenario 1 Six (6) Lanes Over Credit River


VISSM Model for Scenario 2 Four (4) Lanes Over Credit River

### 4.4.1 Network Performance

Table 4.5 compares the network performance of the two (2) different scenarios in terms of overall average delay per vehicle, average vehicle speed, total distance travelled for all vehicles, and total travel time for all vehicles. The table indicates that:

- Average delay decreases by 86 seconds and average speed increases by $8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ with Mississauga Road widened to six (6) lanes over the Credit River;
- The total distance travelled by all vehicles increases by $4,351 \mathrm{~km}$ for the six (6) lane scenario. This indicates that a higher volume of vehicles can travel through the modelled area; and
- Total travel time decreases by 867 minutes with six (6) lanes over the Credit River. This infers that vehicles would be able to travel through the modelled area more quickly, consistent with the decrease in average delay and increase in average speed. Reduced travel time also has a positive benefit for vehicle emissions.

TABLE 4.5: NETWORK PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

| Criteria | Lanes | 6 Lanes | Diff | Diff $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average Delay / vehicle (seconds) | 220 | 134 | -86 | $61 \%$ |
| Average Speed / vehicle (km/h) | 23 | 31 | 8 | $136 \%$ |
| Total Distance Travelled (km) | 13208 | 17559 | 4351 | $133 \%$ |
| Total Travel Time (minutes) | 35232 | 34365 | -867 | $98 \%$ |

### 4.4.2 Link Performance over Credit River Structure

Table 4.6 summarizes link performance, in terms of vehicle density (number of vehicles per unit length of roadway), average speed, average and maximum queue lengths, and the volume of vehicles, for the section of Mississauga Road between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road. The table, which compares relative traffic performance over the Credit River for the four (4) and six (6) lane scenarios, indicates that:

- In the southbound (peak) direction, vehicle density increases by 11 vehicles per km and average vehicle speed increases by $11 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the southbound (peak) direction with a six (6) lane cross-section;
- The average queue length decreases by 100 m with six (6) lanes over the Credit River; and
- Approximately 1,400 more vehicles can traverse the link segment with if the structure is expanded to six (6) lanes.

TABLE 4.6: LINK PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

| Criteria | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Diff | Diff $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Density (vehilce /km) |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound | 140 | 150 | 11 | $108 \%$ |
| Northbound | 63 | 53 | -9 | $85 \%$ |
| Average Speed (km/h) |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound | 18 | 29 | 11 | $159 \%$ |
| Northbound | 41 | 50 | 9 | $123 \%$ |
| Average Queue Length (m) |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound | 992 | 892 | -100 | $90 \%$ |
| Northbound | 26 | 12 | -14 | $46 \%$ |
| Volume (vehicles) |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound | 2521 | 3930 | 1409 | $156 \%$ |
| Northbound | 2517 | 2470 | -47 | $98 \%$ |

### 4.4.3 Observed Conditions

Figure 4.7 and Figure 4.8 illustrate screen captures from the VISSIM model at 15 minute intervals during the peak hour with four (4) lanes on Mississauga Road between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road. For this scenario, vehicles in the southbound (peak) direction are constrained at the Queen Street West/River Road intersection when Mississauga Road transitions from three (3) to two (2) lanes. The southbound queue at Queen Street West/River Road extends north on Mississauga Road to the limit of the model. This restricts the flow of traffic over the Credit River structure, which does not allow for use of available capacity to the extent possible.

Figure 4.9 and Figure 4.10 illustrate screen captures from the VISSIM model at 15 minute intervals during the peak hour with six (6) lanes on Mississauga Road between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road. With this scenario, vehicles in the southbound (peak) direction can travel somewhat unabated through the Queen Street West/River Road intersection. There is still congestion for southbound traffic due to the high forecasted volumes on Mississauga Road, but vehicles can utilize the full capacity of a six (6) lane structure over the Credit River.

Although the microsimulation analyses only examined the AM peak hour, PM peak hour conditions are expected to be the reverse of the morning, with congestion at and south of the Embleton Road intersection like Queen Street West/River Road. This congestion would be attributed to the transition of Mississauga Road from three (3) to two (2) lanes in the northbound direction over the Credit River.
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### 4.4.4 Roundabout at Mississauga Road and Embleton Road

The merit of installing a roundabout at the intersection of Mississauga Road and Embleton Road to maintain a four (4) lane cross-section over the Credit River structure was assessed using VISSIM. A two (2) lane roundabout was modelled at the intersection, which assumed a northbound lane drop from three (3) to two (2) lanes for the northbound direction of Mississauga Road south of the intersection, and a southbound lane drop from three (3) to two (2) lanes south of Queen Street but north of the structure. Both the future AM and PM peak hours were modeled to examine roundabout operations.

During the AM peak hour, the southbound traffic flow on Mississauga Road is very high with a small volume of northbound left turns. This creates a scenario where the southbound traffic flow through the roundabout continues almost uninterrupted. With very few northbound left turns to control the southbound through movements, the traffic from Embleton Road will not have sufficient gaps to proceed safely into the roundabout. This will create congestion and delay on Embleton Road with extremely long queues. In addition to the congestion on Embleton Road, the southbound traffic on Mississauga Road will bottleneck at the lane drop south of Queen Street. Northbound traffic on Mississauga Road will operate with satisfactory operations as there is no interruption within the roundabout due to left turning traffic from Embleton Road.

Figure 4.11 illustrates screen captures from the AM peak hour model showing the congestion on Embleton Road.

During the PM peak hour, the same operation will occur as the heavy northbound and southbound through traffic will continue uninterrupted through the roundabout causing delay and congestion on Embleton Road. The northbound traffic on Mississauga Road will bottleneck at the lane drop prior to the roundabout.

Figure 4.12 illustrates a screen capture from the PM peak hour model.


Fgure 4.12

## 5 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 5.1 Conclusions

The following conclusions are drawn from the information and analyses presented in this report.

### 5.1.1 Existing (2015) Conditions

- Midblock traffic volumes are approaching or already exceed capacity at locations along Mississauga Road within the Study Area. Specific observations include:
- During the AM peak hour, the highest peak period v/c ratios occur between Queen Street West and Embleton Road and Embleton Road and Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway. The v/c ratio exceeds 1.0 for both segments, suggesting that this section of Mississauga Road is over capacity during the morning peak. The segment of Mississauga Road between Williams Parkway and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road exhibits v/c ratios between 0.83 and 0.88 , which infers that this section is approaching capacity during the morning peak.
- In the PM peak hour, the segment of Mississauga Road between Queen Street West and Lionhead Golf and Country Club driveway again shows $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios exceeding 1.0, suggesting that this section is also over capacity during the afternoon peak. Similarly, the section of Mississauga Road between the signalized commercial driveway and Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road exhibits v/c ratios of 0.89 and 0.90 , which infers that this section is also approaching capacity in the afternoon peak.
- All intersections within the Study Area operate with overall acceptable level of service during the AM and PM peak hours. Specific observations include:
- Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway - During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.95 .
- Mississauga Road at Commercial Driveway - The westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.68 during the AM peak hour and LOS E and a v/c ratio of 0.59 during the PM peak hour. The delay is likely due to the length of green time provided to the higher volume northbound and southbound movements.
- Mississauga Road at Beacon Hill Drive - The westbound left turn operates with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.47 during the AM peak hour and LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.05 during the PM peak hour. The high delay is likely due to the high traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Boulevard - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound and westbound left turn movements operate with LOS F (v/c ratio of 0.20) and LOS E ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.19 ), respectively. During the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn movement operates with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.16 . The relatively poor LOS and low $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios indicate that the delay is likely caused by the high traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road - During the AM peak hour, the westbound left turn operates with LOS E and a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.99 , and the southbound through operates with LOS $E$ and a v/c ratio of 1.07. These two (2) movements effectively exceed capacity. In the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn movement operates at capacity with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.97 .
- Mississauga Road at Embleton Road - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement operates with LOS $E$ and $a v / c$ ratio of 0.84 . The southbound shared through-right turn movement is approaching capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.96, but operates with LOS B. In the PM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement has reached capacity with a v/c ratio of 1.0 and operates at LOS F.
- Mississauga Road at Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway During the AM and PM peak hours, the eastbound and westbound movements experience significant delay, likely caused by the length of green time allocated to serve northbound and southbound traffic demand.
- Several individual intersection movements are approaching or exceeding their capacities. Optimizing the signal cycle and phase timings would improve operations during the AM and PM peak hours. However, the southbound through movement at the Mississauga Road and Queen Street West/River Road intersection would still be approaching capacity.
- Potential safety hazards were noted, as follows, with countermeasures proposed:
- Discontinuities in the active transportation facilities exist;
- Pavement markings are not easily visible under dark and wet conditions;
- Small street name signs for minor roadways at unsignalized intersections, which are may be difficult to read for drivers on Mississauga Road before reaching the intersection;
- Unsignalized intersections allow all movements for minor road vehicles. Given the width of Mississauga Road, and the number of traffic lanes to cross for through and left turn movements, the number and duration of gaps may become insufficient,
encouraging drivers to attempt unsafe manoeuvres during short gaps; and
- Various signage inadequacies were observed, in both the northbound and southbound directions.


### 5.1.2 Future (2031) Conditions

- Midblock traffic volumes are expected to exceed capacity at all locations along Mississauga Road within the Study Area by the year 2031. Even with six (6) lanes, Mississauga Road will be over capacity during the 2031 AM and PM peak hours.
- The intersection operations analyses reflect the forecasted northbound and southbound midblock capacity issues, with several intersections within the Study Area expected to operate with poor levels of service by the year 2031. Specific observations include:
- Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway - During the AM peak hour the westbound left turn is expected to operate over capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. During the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn is expected to operate under capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.76 , but exhibit delay with LOS E.
- Mississauga Road at Commercial Driveway - The intersection will operate with overall satisfactory conditions during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions.
- Mississauga Road at Beacon Hill Drive - The intersection is expected to operate with overall satisfactory conditions during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions. However, the southbound and northbound through movements will exceed capacity during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.
- Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville Road - The eastbound and westbound movements are expected to operate with LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours under future traffic conditions due to the high northbound and southbound traffic volumes on Mississauga Road.
- Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road - The westbound left turn movement is expected to operate over capacity with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours. The southbound left turn movement is expected to operate with LOS F and a v/c ratio of 0.90 during the PM peak hour.
- Mississauga Road at Embleton Road - During the AM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement is expected to operate near capacity with a v/c ratio of 0.92 and LOS E. The southbound through-right movement is expected to operate over capacity with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. During the PM peak hour, the eastbound shared left-right turn movement is
expected to operate with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0 and LOS F. The northbound through movement is expected to operate with LOS E and a v/c ratio greater than 1.0.
- Mississauga Road at Lionhead Golf and Country Club Driveway During the AM and PM peak hours, the eastbound and westbound movements are expected to continue to experience delay caused by northbound and southbound traffic demand.
- The following modifications would improve the intersection operations for the 2031 future traffic conditions:
- Addition of a second westbound left turn lane at Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway; and
- Addition of a second westbound left turn lane and optimization of signal cycle length and phase timings to a three-phase operation for Mississauga Road at Queen Street West/River Road.
- The operational effects of widening Mississauga Road to six (6) lanes, but retaining the current four (4) lane cross-section over the Credit River, were assessed through microsimulation analysis using VISSIM. The analyses indicated that traffic operational performance of Mississauga Road and the entire network would be significantly deteriorated if the current cross-section were retained. Specific observations from the modelling include:
- With four (4) lanes between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road, vehicles in the southbound (peak) direction are constrained at the Queen Street West/River Road intersection during the AM peak hour when Mississauga Road transitions from three (3) to two (2) lanes. The southbound queue at Queen Street West/River Road extends north on Mississauga Road to the limit of the model. This restricts the flow of traffic over the Credit River structure, which does not allow for use of available capacity to the extent possible. The addition of a roundabout at the intersection of Mississauga Road and Embleton Road would not improve the operation.
- With six (6) lanes between Queen Street West/River Road and Embleton Road, vehicles in the southbound (peak) direction can travel somewhat unabated through the Queen Street West/River Road intersection during the AM peak hour. There is still congestion for southbound traffic due to the high forecasted volumes on Mississauga Road, but vehicles can utilize the full capacity of a six (6) lane structure over the Credit River.


### 5.2 Recommendations

Based on the analyses completed for this study, it is recommended that Mississauga Road be widened to six (6) lanes between Financial Drive and south of Bovaird Drive, with the intersection and road safety improvements as identified in this report. The study confirmed the recommendations of the

2012 Region of Peel Long Range Transportation Plan and reiterated the need and justification to widen the road.

## Appendix A

## Existing Traffic Surveys

```
Company name: Trans-Plan Inc.
Company address: }24\mathrm{ Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, Canada
```

Company phone: (647) 931-7383

Site
Location:
N/S Street:
E/W Street:
GPS Coordinates:
Date:
Day of week:
Analyst(s):

00119677
Mississauga Road at Williams Parkway, Brampton
Mississauga Road
Williams Parkway
43.657896, -79.817598

18 November 2015
Wednesday
yiwei, Fartash

## VEHICLE TRAFFIC

| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/1/2015 07:00 | 10 | 136 | 0 | 146 | 67 | 0 | 15 | 82 | 0 | 88 | 26 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 |
| 18/1/1/2015 07:15 | 23 | 205 | 0 | 228 | 89 | 0 | 17 | 106 | 0 | 146 | 43 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 523 |
| 181/1/2015 07:30 | 28 | 179 | 0 | 207 | 166 | 0 | 17 | 183 | 0 | 135 | 60 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 585 |
| 18/112015 07:45 | 20 | 203 | 0 | 223 | 153 | 0 | 14 | 167 | 0 | 140 | 65 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 595 |
| Hourly Total | 81 | 723 | 0 | 804 | 475 | 0 | 63 | 538 | 0 | 509 | 194 | 703 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2045 |
| 18/1/2015 08:00 | 26 | 187 | 0 | 213 | 155 | 0 | 25 | 180 | 0 | 116 | 40 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 549 |
| 18/1/2015 08:15 | 25 | 169 | 0 | 194 | 137 | 0 | 15 | 152 | 0 | 102 | 49 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 497 |
| 181/1/2015 08:30 | 22 | 169 | 0 | 191 | 138 | 0 | 21 | 159 | 0 | 102 | 35 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 487 |
| 18/112015 08:45 | 33 | 202 | 0 | 235 | 111 | 0 | 15 | 126 | 0 | 108 | 30 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 499 |
| Hourly Total | 106 | 727 | 0 | 833 | 541 | 0 | 76 | 617 | 0 | 428 | 154 | 582 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2032 |
| 18/1/2015 09:00 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 18/1/2015 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Grand Total | 198 | 1456 | 0 | 1654 | 1017 | 0 | 140 | 1157 | 0 | 939 | 349 | 1288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4099 |
| Approach \% | 12 | 88 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 0 | 12 | 100 | 0 | 73 | 27 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 5 | 36 | 0 | 41 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

AM Peak Hour 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM

| Venicle Total | 97 | 774 | 0 | 871 | 563 | 0 | 73 | 636 | 0 | 537 | 208 | 745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2252 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 97 | 774 | 0 | 871 | 563 | 0 | 65 | 628 | 0 | 482 | 200 | 682 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2181 |
| Truck | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 55 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| Bicy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 11:00 | 13 | 78 | 0 | 91 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 71 | 14 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 |
| 18/11/2015 11:15 | 21 | 108 | 0 | 129 | 30 | 0 | 11 | 41 | 0 | 62 | 12 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 18/1/1/2015 11:30 | 12 | 98 | 0 | 110 | 40 | 0 | 12 | 52 | 0 | 70 | 19 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 |
| 18/11/2015 11:45 | 11 | 102 | 0 | 113 | 23 | 0 | 17 | 40 | 0 | 62 | 16 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 |
| Hourly Total | 57 | 386 | 0 | 443 | 119 | 0 | 50 | 169 | 0 | 265 | 61 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 938 |
| 18/1/1/2015 12:00 | 9 | 104 | 0 | 113 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 78 | 27 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 |
| 18/11/2015 12:15 | 13 | 110 | 0 | 123 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 35 | 0 | 80 | 26 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30 | 14 | 100 | 0 | 114 | 38 | 0 | 19 | 57 | 0 | 87 | 24 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45 | 15 | 102 | 0 | 117 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 27 | 0 | 85 | 23 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 |
| Hourly Total | 51 | 416 | 0 | 467 | 111 | 0 | 44 | 155 | 0 | 330 | 100 | 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1052 |
| 18/11/2015 13:00 | 16 | 91 | 0 | 107 | 23 | 0 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 67 | 28 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 |
| 18/11/2015 13:15 | 20 | 95 | 0 | 115 | 32 | 0 | 18 | 50 | 0 | 90 | 27 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 |
| 18/11/2015 13:30 | 25 | 87 | 0 | 112 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 97 | 27 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 |
| 18/11/2015 13:45 | 10 | 107 | 0 | 117 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 100 | 34 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 293 |
| Hourly Total | 71 | 380 | 0 | 451 | 112 | 0 | 52 | 164 | 0 | 354 | 116 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1085 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Grand Total | 180 | 1183 | 0 | 1363 | 342 | 0 | 146 | 488 | 0 | 951 | 278 | 1229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3080 |
| Approach \% | 13 | 87 | 0 | 100 | 70 | 0 | 30 | 100 | 0 | 77 | 23 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 6 | 38 | 0 | 44 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

Midday Peak Hour 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

| Venicle Total | 71 | 380 | 0 | 451 | 112 | 0 | 52 | 164 | 0 | 354 | 116 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1085 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 71 | 331 | 0 | 402 | 102 | 0 | 48 | 150 | 0 | 313 | 105 | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 970 |
| Truck | 0 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 41 | 11 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18811/2015 15:00 | 23 | 102 | 0 | 125 | 47 | 0 | 24 | 71 | 0 | 124 | 42 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 362 |
| 188/1/2015 15:15 | 16 | 128 | 0 | 144 | 50 | 0 | 13 | 63 | 0 | 159 | 89 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 455 |
| 18/11/2015 15:30 | 22 | 126 | 0 | 148 | 61 | 0 | 37 | 98 | 0 | 153 | 90 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 489 |
| 18811/2015 15:45 | 22 | 114 | 0 | 136 | 45 | 0 | 17 | 62 | 0 | 169 | 88 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 455 |
| Hourl Total | 83 | 470 | 0 | 553 | 203 | 0 | 91 | 294 | 0 | 605 | 309 | 914 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1761 |
| 18/11/2015 16:00 | 19 | 131 | 0 | 150 | 55 | 0 | 14 | 69 | 0 | 146 | 97 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 462 |
| 18/11/2015 66:15 | 23 | 104 | 0 | 127 | 63 | 0 | 16 | 79 | 0 | 199 | 126 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 531 |
| 18811/2015 16:30 | 17 | 141 | 0 | 158 | 51 | 0 | 17 | 68 | 0 | 190 | 111 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 527 |
| 18811/2015 16:45 | 13 | 126 | 0 | 139 | 46 | 0 | 17 | 63 | 0 | 178 | 143 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 523 |
| Hourl Total | 72 | 502 | 0 | 574 | 215 | 0 | 64 | 279 | 0 | 713 | 477 | 1190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2043 |
| 18/11/2015 17:00 | 27 | 175 | 0 | 202 | 51 | 0 | 14 | 65 | 0 | 189 | 166 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 622 |
| 181/12015 17:15 | 43 | 130 | 0 | 173 | 50 | 0 | 12 | 62 | 0 | 167 | 152 | 319 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 554 |
| 18/11/2015 17:30 | 27 | 120 | 0 | 147 | 44 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 0 | 166 | 160 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 528 |
| 18/11/2015 17:45 | 36 | 109 | 0 | 145 | 34 | 0 | 8 | 42 | 0 | 161 | 145 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 493 |
| Houry Total | 133 | 534 | 0 | 667 | 179 | 0 | 45 | 224 | 0 | 683 | 623 | 1306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2197 |
| 18/112015 18:00 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 18/112015 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 12 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Grand Total | 288 | 1520 | 0 | 1808 | 597 | 0 | 200 | 797 | 0 | 2013 | 1413 | 3426 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6031 |
| Approach \% | 16 | 84 | 0 | 100 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 100 |  | 59 | 41 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 5 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 33 | 23 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

## PM Peak Hour 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM

| Venicle Total | 110 | 551 | 0 | 661 | 191 | 0 | 54 | 245 | 0 | 700 | 621 | 1321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2227 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 110 | 518 | 0 | 628 | 191 | 0 | 54 | 245 | 0 | 661 | 611 | 1272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2145 |
| Truck | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 07:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1811/2015 08:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1811/2015 08:45:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 1811/2015 09:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 09:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 |

## AM Peak Hour 8:00 AM - 9:00 AM

| 18/11/2015 11:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18/11/2015 11:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 11:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 11:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 18/11/2015 13:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## Midday Peak Hour 11:45 AM - 12:45 PM

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 15:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 15:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 15:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/112015 15:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourl Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/112015 16:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 16:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 16:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 16:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 17:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Light Rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Williams Parkway | Count Period: | AM, Noon, PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.657896, -79.817598 | Peak Period: | 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM, 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM, 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 00119677 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | yiwei, Fartash |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Light Rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Williams Parkway | Count Period: | AM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.657896, -79.817598 | Peak Period: | 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM |
| Site Number: | 00119677 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | yiwei, Fartash |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Light Rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Williams Parkway | Count Period: | Noon |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.657896, -79.817598 | Peak Period: | 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM |
| Site Number: | 00119677 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | yiwei, Fartash |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Light Rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Williams Parkway | Count Period: | PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.657896, -79.817598 | Peak Period: | 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 00119677 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | yiwei, Fartash |



TMC chart data


Bounds
Total Traffic at Peak Hour


Total Approach


Total Approach at Peak Hour



North leg Mississauga Road


East leg Williams parkway


South leg Mississauga Road

TMC - Intersection Count Summary

## Company name: Trans-Plan Inc. <br> Company address: 24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Company phone: (647) 931-7383

Site: 00
Location: Mississauga Road at Credit Ridge Commons Driveway, Brampton
N/S Street: Mississauga Road
E/W Street: Credit Ridge Commons Driveway
GPS Coordinates: 43.655886,-79.814667
Date:
Day of week: Wednesday
Analyst(s): Usman khan

## VEHICLE TRAFFIC

| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 07:00 | 38 | 163 | 0 | 201 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 60 | 26 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 311 |
| 18/11/2015 07:15 | 37 | 193 | 0 | 230 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 25 | 0 | 76 | 14 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 |
| 18811/2015 07:30 | 59 | 151 | 0 | 210 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 36 | 0 | 91 | 17 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| 18/11/2015 07:45 | 52 | 296 | 0 | 348 | 18 | 0 | 30 | 48 | 0 | 131 | 18 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 545 |
| Hourly Total | 186 | 803 | 0 | 989 | 50 | 0 | 83 | 133 | 0 | 358 | 75 | 433 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1555 |
| 18/11/2015 08:00 | 62 | 165 | 0 | 227 | 33 | 0 | 25 | 58 | 0 | 101 | 32 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 418 |
| 18/11/2015 08:15 | 27 | 192 | 0 | 219 | 27 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 0 | 115 | 25 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 404 |
| 18/11/2015 08:30 | 35 | 185 | 0 | 220 | 50 | 0 | 19 | 69 | 0 | 84 | 40 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 413 |
| 18/11/2015 08:45 | 23 | 240 | 0 | 263 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 106 | 11 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 405 |
| Hourly Total | 147 | 782 | 0 | 929 | 125 | 0 | 72 | 197 | 0 | 406 | 108 | 514 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1640 |
| 18/11/201509:00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 18/11/2015 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourl Total | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Grand Total | 333 | 1588 | 0 | 1921 | 175 | 0 | 155 | 330 | 0 | 764 | 183 | 947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3198 |
| Approach \% | 17 | 83 | 0 | 100 | 53 | 0 | 47 | 100 | 0 | 81 | 19 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 10 | 50 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

AM Peak Hour 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM

| Vehicle Total | 176 | 838 | 0 | 1014 | 128 | 0 | 92 | 220 | 0 | 431 | 115 | 546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1780 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 176 | 758 | 0 | 934 | 128 | 0 | 90 | 218 | 0 | 398 | 115 | 513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1665 |
| Truck | 0 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| Bicy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 11:00 | 20 | 66 | 0 | 86 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 30 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| 18/11/2015 11:15 | 21 | 56 | 0 | 77 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 0 | 62 | 29 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 |
| 18/1/1/2015 11:30 | 24 | 71 | 0 | 95 | 33 | 0 | 8 | 41 | 0 | 79 | 36 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 |
| 18/11/2015 11:45 | 18 | 67 | 0 | 85 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 81 | 33 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 |
| Hourly Total | 83 | 260 | 0 | 343 | 81 | 0 | 46 | 127 | 0 | 322 | 128 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 920 |
| 18/1/1/2015 12:00 | 13 | 76 | 0 | 89 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 84 | 42 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| 18/11/2015 12:15 | 22 | 73 | 0 | 95 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 75 | 31 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30 | 16 | 90 | 0 | 106 | 27 | 0 | 11 | 38 | 0 | 93 | 30 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45 | 25 | 82 | 0 | 107 | 28 | 0 | 8 | 36 | 0 | 90 | 41 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 |
| Hourly Total | 76 | 321 | 0 | 397 | 93 | 0 | 31 | 124 | 0 | 342 | 144 | 486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1007 |
| 18/11/2015 13:00 | 7 | 62 | 0 | 69 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 61 | 26 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| 18/11/2015 13:15 | 10 | 70 | 0 | 80 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 79 | 37 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| 18/11/2015 13:30 | 20 | 57 | 0 | 77 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 26 | 0 | 78 | 24 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 |
| 18/11/2015 13:45 | 29 | 100 | 0 | 129 | 24 | 0 | 15 | 39 | 0 | 94 | 30 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292 |
| Hourly Total | 66 | 289 | 0 | 355 | 92 | 0 | 31 | 123 | 0 | 312 | 117 | 429 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 907 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Grand Total | 225 | 870 | 0 | 1095 | 269 | 0 | 108 | 377 | 0 | 976 | 389 | 1365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2837 |
| Approach \% | 21 | 79 | 0 | 100 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 100 | 0 | 72 | 28 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 8 | 31 | 0 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 34 | 14 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

Midday Peak Hour 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM

| Venicile Total | 76 | 321 | 0 | 397 | 93 | 0 | 31 | 124 | 0 | 342 | 144 | 486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 74 | 290 | 0 | 364 | 92 | 0 | 30 | 122 | 0 | 297 | 142 | 439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 925 |
| Truck | 2 | 31 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| Bicycle | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 15:00 | 14 | 81 | 0 | 95 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 22 | 0 | 129 | 33 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| 18/1/1/2015 55:15 | 27 | 114 | 0 | 141 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 0 | 219 | 21 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 |
| 18/1/12015 55:30 | 14 | 95 | 0 | 109 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 188 | 33 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351 |
| 18/1/12015 15:45 | 15 | 110 | 0 | 125 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 183 | 45 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 368 |
| Hourly Total | 70 | 400 | 0 | 470 | 45 | 0 | 39 | 84 | 0 | 719 | 132 | 851 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1405 |
| 18/11/2015 16:00 | 22 | 94 | 0 | 116 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 208 | 36 | 244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 |
| 18/11/2015 16:15 | 8 | 127 | 0 | 135 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 166 | 40 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 365 |
| 18/11/2015 16:30 | 13 | 99 | 0 | 112 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 222 | 37 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 393 |
| 18/112015 16:45 | 26 | 99 | 0 | 125 | 43 | 0 | 19 | 62 | 0 | 178 | 74 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 439 |
| Hourly Total | 69 | 419 | 0 | 488 | 85 | 0 | 40 | 125 | 0 | 774 | 187 | 961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1574 |
| 18/1/12015 17:00 | 20 | 78 | 0 | 98 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 0 | 242 | 68 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 |
| 18/11/2015 17:15 | 24 | 74 | 0 | 98 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 32 | 0 | 167 | 45 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 |
| 18/11/2015 17:30 | 35 | 82 | 0 | 117 | 17 | 0 | 26 | 43 | 0 | 203 | 33 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396 |
| 18/1/12015 17:45 | 19 | 93 | 0 | 112 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 38 | 0 | 195 | 45 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 |
| Houry Total | 98 | 327 | 0 | 425 | 62 | 0 | 76 | 138 | 0 | 807 | 191 | 998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1561 |
| 18/1/12015 18:00 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 18/1/1/2015 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Grand Total | 240 | 1149 | 0 | 1389 | 194 | 0 | 157 | 351 | 0 | 2303 | 513 | 2816 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4556 |
| Approach \% | 17 | 83 | 0 | 100 | 55 | 0 | 45 | 100 | 0 | 82 | 18 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 5 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 51 | 11 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

## PM Peak Hour 4:15 PM - 5:15 PM

| Venicle Total | 67 | 403 | 0 | 470 | 95 | 0 | 38 | 133 | 0 | 808 | 219 | 1027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1630 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 66 | 389 | 0 | 455 | 94 | 0 | 38 | 132 | 0 | 782 | 219 | 1001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1588 |
| Truck | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

No pedestrian crossing for AM.

| 18/11/20015 11:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18/11/20015 11:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 11:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 11:44:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 12:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 18/11/20015 13:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/20015 13:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Midday Peak Hour 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |

No pedestrian crossing for PM.

TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Credit Ridge Commons Driveway | Count Period: | AM, Noon, PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.655886, -79.814667 | Peak Period: | 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM, 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM, 4:15 PM - 5:15 PM |
| Site Number: | 00 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Usman khan |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Credit Ridge Commons Driveway | Count Period: | AM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.655886, -79.814667 | Peak Period: | 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM |
| Site Number: | 00 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Usman khan |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Credit Ridge Commons Driveway | Count Period: | Noon |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.655886, -79.814667 | Peak Period: | 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM |
| Site Number: | 00 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Contro: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Usman khan |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Credit Ridge Commons Driveway | Count Period: | PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.655886, -79.814667 | Peak Period: | 4:15 PM - 5:15 PM |
| Site Number: | 00 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Usman khan |



TMC chart data


Bounds
Total Traffic at Peak Hour


Total Approach


Total Approach at Peak Hour


TMC - Intersection Count Summary

## Company name: Trans-Plan Inc. <br> Company address: 24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Company phone: (647) 931-7383

Site:
Location:
N/S Street:
E/W Street:
GPS Coordinates:
Mississauga Road at Beacon Hill Drive, Brampton
Mississauga Road
Beacon Hill Drive
Date:
43.653518, 79.811688

Analyst(s):
Wednesday

## VEHICLE TRAFFIC

| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 1305/201507:00 | 0 | 278 | 0 | 278 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 118 | 1 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 406 |
| 1305/2015 07:15 | 0 | 324 | 0 | 324 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 494 |
| 1305/2015 07:30 | 1 | 384 | 0 | 385 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 174 | 5 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 578 |
| 13/05/2015 07:45 | 1 | 397 | 0 | 398 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 197 | 1 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 1383 | 0 | 1385 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 55 | 0 | 649 | 7 | 656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2096 |
| 1305/2015 08:00 | 0 | 413 | 0 | 413 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 622 |
| 1305/2015 08:15 | 1 | 321 | 0 | 322 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 135 | 2 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 |
| 1305/2015 08:30 | 0 | 345 | 0 | 345 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 135 | 1 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496 |
| 1305/2015 08:45 | 1 | 323 | 0 | 324 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 146 | 3 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 484 |
| Houry Total | 2 | 1402 | 0 | 1404 | 62 | 0 | 4 | 66 | 0 | 601 | 6 | 607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2077 |
| 1305/201509:00 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 1305/2015 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Grand Total | 4 | 2823 | 0 | 2827 | 113 | 0 | 8 | 121 | 0 | 1258 | 13 | 1271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4219 |
| Appraach \% | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 100 |  | 99 | 1 | 100 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

AM Peak Hour 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM

| Vehicle Total | 2 | 1518 | 0 | 1520 | 65 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 716 | 6 | 722 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 1 | 1377 | 0 | 1378 | 60 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 0 | 561 | 5 | 566 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2009 |
| Truck | 1 | 141 | 0 | 142 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 155 | 1 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303 |
| Bicy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 13/05/2015 11:00 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 114 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 114 | 3 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| 13/05/2015 11:15 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 |
| 13/05/2015 11:30 | 1 | 146 | 0 | 147 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 154 | 1 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307 |
| 13/05/2015 11:45 | 3 | 192 | 0 | 195 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 149 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 630 | 0 | 634 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 526 | 6 | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1179 |
| 13/05/2015 12:00 | 1 | 168 | 0 | 169 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 135 | 4 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 312 |
| 13/05/2015 12:15 | 1 | 144 | 0 | 145 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 144 | 2 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 |
| 13/05/2015 12:30 | 4 | 223 | 0 | 227 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 172 | 1 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 408 |
| 13/05/2015 12:45 | 1 | 179 | 0 | 180 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 |
| Hourly Total | 7 | 714 | 0 | 721 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 588 | 7 | 595 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1337 |
| 13/05/2015 13:00 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 153 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 122 | 2 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| 13/05/2015 13:15 | 1 | 183 | 0 | 184 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 123 | 1 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 312 |
| 13/05/2015 13:30 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 150 | 8 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 314 |
| 13/05/2015 13:45 | 1 | 167 | 0 | 168 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 161 | 3 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 655 | 0 | 659 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 556 | 14 | 570 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1238 |
| 13/05/2015 14:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13/05/2015 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 15 | 2000 | 0 | 2015 | 34 | 0 | 9 | 43 | 0 | 1670 | 27 | 1697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3755 |
| Approach \% | 1 | 99 | 0 | 100 | 79 | 0 | 21 | 100 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

## Midday Peak Hour 11:45 AM - 12:45 PM

| Venicile Total | 9 | 727 | 0 | 736 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 600 | 9 | 609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1368 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 7 | 576 | 0 | 583 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 452 | 9 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1064 |
| Truck | 2 | 151 | 0 | 153 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 13105/2015 15:00 | 1 | 155 | 0 | 156 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 195 | 2 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| 130552015 15:15 | 1 | 148 | 0 | 149 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 198 | 3 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| ${ }^{13} \mathbf{1 3 5} 52015$ 15:30 | 2 | 180 | 0 | 182 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 265 | 2 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 453 |
| 1310552015 15:45 | 1 | 198 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 3 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 488 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 681 | 0 | 686 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 944 | 10 | 954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1650 |
| 130552015 16:00 | 2 | 203 | 0 | 205 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 338 | 8 | 346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 555 |
| ${ }^{13} \mathbf{1 3 5 5 2 0 1 5 ~ 1 6 : 1 5}$ | 1 | 199 | 0 | 200 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 344 | 8 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 556 |
| 130552015 16:30 | 1 | 206 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 453 | 3 | 456 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 665 |
| 13.0552015 16:45 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 216 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 543 | 1 | 544 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 762 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 824 | 0 | 828 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 1678 | 20 | 1698 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2538 |
| 130552015 17:00 | 1 | 211 | 0 | 212 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 565 | 4 | 569 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 783 |
| 130552015 17:15 | 2 | 197 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 9 | 529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 728 |
| 130552015 17:30 | 2 | 179 | 0 | 181 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 422 | 2 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606 |
| 1310552015 17:45 | 3 | 201 | 0 | 204 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 282 | 11 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 498 |
| Hourly Total | 8 | 788 | 0 | 796 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1789 | 26 | 1815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2615 |
| 130552015 18:00 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 13055/2015 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Grand Total | 17 | 2298 | 0 | 2315 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 0 | 4412 | 56 | 4468 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6809 |
| Approach \% | 1 | 99 | 0 | 100 | 62 | 0 | 38 | 100 |  | 99 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

## PM Peak Hour 4:30 PM - 5:30 PM

| Venicle Total | 4 | 830 | 0 | 834 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2081 | 17 | 2098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2938 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 4 | 704 | 0 | 708 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1961 | 17 | 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2691 |
| Truck | 0 | , | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 1305/2015 07:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305/2015 07:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 130522015 07:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305/2015 07:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 13/05/2015 08:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305:2015 08:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305/2015 08:30:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1305/2015 08:45:00 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1305/2015 09:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 130522015 09:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |

## AM Peak Hour 8:00 AM - 9:00 AM

| 130512015 11:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1305:2015 11:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1305:2015 11:30:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 1305:20015 11:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| 13:05/2015 12:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305:20015 12:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 130512015 12:30:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13:05/2015 12:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourl Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13/05/2015 13:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305:2015 13:15:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 1305:2015 13:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305:2015 13:45:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 1305:2015 14:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1305022015 14:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 11 |

## Midday Peak Hour 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM



| 1305052015 17:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1305:2015 17:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 130512015 17:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 1305:2015 18:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13/05/2015 18:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 13 |
| PM Peak Hour 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 13/05/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Beacon Hill Drive | Count Period: | AM, Noon, PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.653518, -79.811688 | Peak Period: | 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM, 11:45 AM - 12:45 PM, 4:30 PM - 5:30 PM |
| Site Number: | 119014 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Non signalized | Surveyor: | Matthew Le |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 13/05/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Beacon Hill Drive | Count Period: | AM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.653518, -79.811688 | Peak Period: | 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM |
| Site Number: | 119014 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Non signalized | Surveyor: | Matthew Le |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 13/05/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Beacon Hill Drive | Count Period: | Noon |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.653518, -79.811688 | Peak Period: | 11:45 AM - 12:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 119014 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Non signalized | Surveyor: | Matthew Le |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 13/05/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Beacon Hill Drive | Count Period: | PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.653518, -79.811688 | Peak Period: | 4:30 PM - 5:30 PM |
| Site Number: | 119014 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Non signalized | Surveyor: | Matthew Le |



TMC chart data


Bounds
Total Traffic at Peak Hour


Total Approach


Total Approach at Peak Hour



East Leg Beacon Hill Drive


West Leg Cleave View Farms


TMC - Intersection Count Summary

| Company name: | Trans-Plan Inc. <br> Company address: <br> Company phone: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, Canada <br> $(647) 931-7383$ |
|  |  |
| Site: | 00118488 |
| Location: | Mississauga Road at Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville, Brampton |
| N/S Street: | Mississauga Road |
| E/W Street: | Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.650660, -79.807621 |
| Date: | 19 November 2015 |
| Day of week: | Thursday |
| Analyst(s): | Andy Wong |

## VEHICLE TRAFFIC

| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 19911/2015 07:00 | 1 | 319 | 1 | 321 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 141 | 4 | 146 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 478 |
| 19911/2015 07:15 | 2 | 352 | 1 | 355 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 165 | 0 | 166 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 530 |
| 191112015 07:30 | 1 | 382 | 3 | 386 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 195 | 0 | 196 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 599 |
| 191112015 07:45 | 1 | 417 | 0 | 418 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 181 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 619 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 1470 | 5 | 1480 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 3 | 682 | 4 | 689 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 32 | 2226 |
| 1991122015 08:00 | 2 | 382 | 2 | 386 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 149 | 1 | 150 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 549 |
| 19911/2015 08:15 | 1 | 370 | 2 | 373 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 151 | 1 | 152 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 536 |
| 19111/2015 08:30 | 2 | 361 | 1 | 364 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 131 | 3 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 518 |
| 19111/2015 08:45 | 1 | 327 | 1 | 329 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 150 | 2 | 152 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 494 |
| Hourly Total | 6 | 1440 | 6 | 1452 | 26 | 1 | 10 | 37 | 1 | 581 | 7 | 589 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 2097 |
| 19111/201509:00 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 19111/2015 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Grand Total | 11 | 2914 | 11 | 2936 | 47 | 1 | 14 | 62 | 4 | 1263 | 11 | 1278 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 51 | 4327 |
| Approach \% | 0 | 99 | 0 | 99 | 76 | 2 | 23 | 101 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 41 | 0 | 59 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - |

AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

| Venicle Total | 5 | 1551 | 7 | 1563 | 24 | 1 | 6 | 31 | 1 | 676 | 2 | 679 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 30 | 2303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 5 | 1487 | 6 | 1498 | 23 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 618 | 2 | 62 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 2175 |
| Truck | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 128 |
| Bicy | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 19/11/2015 11:00 | 1 | 97 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 2 | 107 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 210 |
| 19/11/2015 11:15 | 4 | 124 | 0 | 128 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 121 | 5 | 128 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 264 |
| 19/1/1/2015 11:30 | 1 | 133 | 0 | 134 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 111 | 3 | 116 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 259 |
| 19/1/1/2015 11:45 | 2 | 161 | 2 | 165 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 281 |
| Hourly Total | 8 | 515 | 3 | 526 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 437 | 10 | 456 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 1014 |
| 19/1/12015 12:00 | 1 | 113 | 2 | 116 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 135 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 258 |
| 19/11/2015 12:15 | 2 | 138 | 1 | 141 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 131 | 3 | 134 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 282 |
| 19/1/1/2015 12:30 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 116 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 107 | 4 | 113 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 238 |
| 19/11/2015 12:45 | 1 | 86 | 1 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 155 | 0 | 156 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 249 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 453 | 4 | 461 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 528 | 7 | 541 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 1027 |
| 19/11/2015 13:00 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 121 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 138 | 3 | 141 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 269 |
| 19/11/2015 13:15 | 2 | 134 | 5 | 141 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 140 | 1 | 142 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 289 |
| 19/11/2015 13:30 | 1 | 128 | 3 | 132 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 121 | 2 | 127 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 269 |
| 19/1/1/2015 13:45 | 0 | 136 | 2 | 138 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 123 | 3 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 270 |
| Hourl Total | 3 | 519 | 10 | 532 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 522 | 9 | 537 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 1097 |
| 19/1/1/2015 14:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 19/1/12015 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 15 | 1488 | 17 | 1520 | 26 | 1 | 12 | 39 | 21 | 1487 | 26 | 1534 | 24 | 0 | 22 | 46 | 3139 |
| Approach \% | 1 | 98 | 1 | 100 | 67 | 3 | 31 | 101 | 1 | 97 | 2 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 48 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | - |

Midday Peak Hour 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

| Venicile Total | 3 | 519 | 10 | 532 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 522 | 9 | 537 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 1097 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 3 | 468 | 10 | 481 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 479 | 9 | 494 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 1002 |
| Truck | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 95 |
| Bicycle | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 19/11/2015 15:00 | 1 | 195 | 0 | 196 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 199 | 1 | 204 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 407 |
| 19911/2015 15:15 | 1 | 169 | 4 | 174 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 275 | 1 | 281 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 469 |
| 19911/2015 15:30 | 1 | 181 | 1 | 183 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 245 | 5 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 441 |
| 19911/2015 15:45 | 0 | 142 | 2 | 144 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 264 | 5 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 421 |
| Hourl Total | 3 | 687 | 7 | 697 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 983 | 12 | 1009 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 1738 |
| 19111/2015 16:00 | 2 | 168 | 5 | 175 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 253 | 3 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 440 |
| 19911/2015 66:15 | 2 | 194 | 1 | 197 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 349 | 2 | 354 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 555 |
| 19911/2015 16:30 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 227 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 359 | 11 | 372 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 611 |
| 19/11/2015 16:45 | 2 | 170 | 5 | 177 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 375 | 7 | 385 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 567 |
| Houry Total | 6 | 759 | 11 | 776 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 1336 | 23 | 1372 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 2173 |
| 19/11/2015 17:00 | 2 | 178 | 5 | 185 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 338 | 5 | 348 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 539 |
| 19911/2015 17:15 | 3 | 223 | 1 | 227 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 390 | 5 | 396 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 627 |
| 19911/2015 17:30 | 1 | 162 | 2 | 165 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 348 | 3 | 356 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 526 |
| 19111/2015 17:45 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 247 | 3 | 253 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 345 |
| Houry Total | 6 | 650 | 9 | 665 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 1323 | 16 | 1353 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 2037 |
| 191112015 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1911122015 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 15 | 2096 | 27 | 2138 | 27 | 0 | 8 | 35 | 41 | 3642 | 51 | 3734 | 18 | 2 | 21 | 41 | 5948 |
| Approach \% | 1 | 98 | 1 | 100 | 77 | 0 | 23 | 100 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 100 | 44 | 5 | 51 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

## PM Peak Hour 4:30 PM - 5:30 PM

| Venicle Total | 7 | 798 | 11 | 816 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 1462 | 28 | 1501 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 2344 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 7 | 747 | 11 | 765 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 1443 | 27 | 1481 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 2271 |
| Truck | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 73 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 19/11/2015 07:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 07:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 07:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 07:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 08:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 08:15:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 19/11/2015 08:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 08:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 19/11/2015 09:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 09:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

No pedestrian crossing for Noon.

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 19/11/2015 15:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 15:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 15:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 15:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 16:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 16:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 16:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 16:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 19/11/2015 17:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 17:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 17:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 17:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 18:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19/11/2015 18:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |

PM Peak Hour 4:00 PM - 5:00 PM
Pedestrians

TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 19/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville | Count Period: | AM, Noon, PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.650660, -79.807621 | Peak Period: | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM, 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM, 4:30 PM - 5:30 PM |
| Site Number: | 00118488 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | 2-way Stop | Surveyor: | Andy Wong |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 19/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville | Count Period: | AM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.650660, -79.807621 | Peak Period: | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| Site Number: | 00118488 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | 2-way Stop | Surveyor: | Andy Wong |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 19/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville | Count Period: | Noon |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.650660, -79.807621 | Peak Period: | 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM |
| Site Number: | 00118488 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | 2-way Stop | Surveyor: | Andy Wong |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy/Windy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 19/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Ostrander Boulevard/Adamsville | Count Period: | PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.650660, -79.807621 | Peak Period: | 4:30 PM - 5:30 PM |
| Site Number: | 00118488 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | 2-way Stop | Surveyor: | Andy Wong |



TMC chart data



Bounds
Total Pedestrians at Peak Hour


Total Approach


Total Approach at Peak Hour


(null)

(null)



Company name: Trans-Plan Inc.
Company address: 24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, Canada
Company phone: (647) 931-7383

| Site: | 00117986 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location: | Mississauga Road at Queen Street West, Brampton |
| N/S Street: | Mississauga Road |
| E/W Street: | Queen Street West |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.647524, -79.802530 |
| Date: | 18 November 2015 |
| Day of week: | Wednesday |
| Analyst(s): | Tyrone Dollano, Aaron |ocation:

N/S Street:
GPS Coordinates:
43.647524

18 November 2015

Tyrone Dollano, Aaron

## VEHICLE TRAFFIC

| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 07:00 | 19 | 255 | 0 | 274 | 97 | 0 | 15 | 112 | 1 | 161 | 47 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 596 |
| 18/11/2015 07:15 | 27 | 341 | 0 | 368 | 121 | 1 | 10 | 132 | 0 | 200 | 72 | 272 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 776 |
| 18111201507:30 | 25 | 394 | 0 | 419 | 160 | 3 | 19 | 182 | 0 | 191 | 82 | 273 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 882 |
| 18/112015 07:45 | 13 | 394 | 0 | 407 | 168 | 0 | 17 | 185 | 0 | 213 | 107 | 320 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 917 |
| Hourly Total | 84 | 1384 | 0 | 1468 | 546 | 4 | 61 | 611 | 1 | 765 | 308 | 1074 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 3171 |
| 18/11/2015 08:00 | 18 | 382 | 0 | 400 | 120 | 1 | 18 | 139 | 1 | 153 | 115 | 269 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 815 |
| 18/11/2015 08:15 | 16 | 370 | 0 | 386 | 145 | 0 | 18 | 163 | 0 | 152 | 77 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 783 |
| 18/11/2015 08:30 | 21 | 352 | 0 | 373 | 141 | 1 | 20 | 162 | 0 | 144 | 72 | 216 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 752 |
| 18/11/2015 08:45 | 23 | 325 | 0 | 348 | 95 | 0 | 23 | 118 | 1 | 130 | 72 | 203 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 672 |
| Hourly Total | 78 | 1429 | 0 | 1507 | 501 | 2 | 79 | 582 | 2 | 579 | 336 | 917 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 3022 |
| 18/11/201509:00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 18/11/2015 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| Grand Total | 162 | 2820 | 0 | 2982 | 1047 | 6 | 140 | 1193 | 3 | 1349 | 644 | 1996 | 5 | 9 | 22 | 36 | 6207 |
| Approach \% | 5 | 95 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 1 | 12 | 101 | 0 | 68 | 32 | 100 | 14 | 25 | 61 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 3 | 45 | 0 | 48 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

| Venicict Total | 72 | 1540 | 0 | 1612 | 593 | 4 | 72 | 669 |  | 709 | 381 | 1091 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 25 | 3397 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 59 | 1462 | 0 | 1521 | 578 | 3 | 70 | 651 | 0 | 625 | 363 | 988 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 3184 |
| Truck | 13 | 78 | 0 | 91 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 84 | 18 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 213 |
| Biecrle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/1122015 11:00 | 13 | 91 | 0 | 104 | 40 | 0 | 18 | 58 | 1 | 104 | 41 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 |
| 18/11/2015 11:15 | 12 | 128 | 1 | 141 | 41 | 0 | 19 | 60 | 0 | 80 | 29 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 311 |
| 18811/2015 11:30 | 15 | 127 | 0 | 142 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 2 | 99 | 36 | 137 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 344 |
| 18/11/2015 11:45 | 16 | 111 | 0 | 127 | 37 | 0 | 36 | 73 | 1 | 101 | 27 | 129 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 332 |
| Hourly Total | 56 | 457 | 1 | 514 | 158 | 0 | 93 | 251 | 4 | 384 | 133 | 521 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 1295 |
| 18/112015 12:00 | 20 | 124 | 0 | 144 | 35 | 0 | 21 | 56 | 1 | 101 | 31 | 133 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 335 |
| 18/1122015 12:15 | 18 | 128 | 0 | 146 | 41 | 0 | 18 | 59 | 0 | 96 | 41 | 137 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 344 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30 | 15 | 132 | 0 | 147 | 49 | 1 | 18 | 68 | 0 | 116 | 46 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45 | 15 | 116 | 0 | 131 | 32 | 0 | 29 | 61 | 0 | 99 | 42 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 |
| Hourly Total | 68 | 500 | 0 | 568 | 157 | 1 | 86 | 244 | 1 | 412 | 160 | 573 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1389 |
| 18/11/2015 13:00 | 21 | 110 | 0 | 131 | 36 | 1 | 15 | 52 | 0 | 100 | 42 | 142 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 327 |
| 18/11/2015 13:15 | 16 | 135 | 0 | 151 | 48 | 1 | 21 | 70 | 1 | 147 | 38 | 186 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 411 |
| 188/1/2015 13:30 | 23 | 116 | 0 | 139 | 38 | 2 | 27 | 67 | 0 | 104 | 37 | 141 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 352 |
| 18/112015 13:45 | 23 | 118 | 0 | 141 | 43 | 0 | 30 | 73 | 0 | 115 | 38 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 368 |
| Hourly Total | 83 | 479 | 0 | 562 | 165 | 4 | 93 | 262 | 1 | 466 | 155 | 622 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1458 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Grand Total | 208 | 1437 | 1 | 1646 | 483 | 5 | 272 | 760 | 6 | 1262 | 448 | 1716 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 25 | 4147 |
| Approach \% | 13 | 87 | 0 | 100 | 64 | 1 | 36 | 101 | 0 | 74 | 26 | 100 | 36 | 20 | 44 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 5 | 35 | 0 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 30 | 11 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

Midday Peak Hour 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

| Venicile Total | 83 | 479 | 0 | 562 | 165 | 4 | 93 | 262 | 1 | 466 | 155 | 622 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1458 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ca | 76 | 420 | 0 | 496 | 146 | 4 | 88 | 238 |  | 411 | 140 | 552 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1298 |
| Truck | 7 | 59 | 0 | 66 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 55 | 15 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| Bicycle | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 15:00 | 16 | 149 | 0 | 165 | 74 | 1 | 28 | 103 | 0 | 165 | 65 | 230 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 499 |
| 18/1/1/2015 55:15 | 17 | 164 | 0 | 181 | 77 | 0 | 32 | 109 | 2 | 241 | 78 | 321 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 612 |
| 18/11/2015 15:30 | 19 | 181 | 0 | 200 | 63 | 1 | 33 | 97 | 0 | 233 | 71 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 601 |
| 18/11/2015 15.45 | 24 | 172 | 0 | 196 | 75 | 1 | 30 | 106 | 1 | 271 | 114 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 689 |
| Hourly Total | 76 | 666 | 0 | 742 | 289 | 3 | 123 | 415 | 3 | 910 | 328 | 1241 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2401 |
| 18/11/2015 16:00 | 21 | 177 | 0 | 198 | 86 | 0 | 29 | 115 |  | 292 | 114 | 409 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 724 |
| 18/1/12015 16:15 | 17 | 166 | 0 | 183 | 80 | 0 | 36 | 116 | 0 | 332 | 116 | 448 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 747 |
| 18/11/2015 16:30 | 31 | 180 | 0 | 211 | 86 | 0 | 36 | 122 | 0 | 316 | 90 | 406 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 740 |
| 18/11/2015 16:45 | 18 | 158 | 0 | 176 | 116 | 1 | 28 | 145 | 0 | 364 | 125 | 489 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 810 |
| Hourly Total | 87 | 681 | 0 | 768 | 368 | 1 | 129 | 498 | 3 | 1304 | 445 | 1752 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3021 |
| 18/11/2015 17:00 | 20 | 202 | 0 | 222 | 75 | 1 | 17 | 93 | 0 | 386 | 174 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 876 |
| 18/11/2015 17:15 | 32 | 157 | 0 | 189 | 64 | 0 | 28 | 92 | 2 | 404 | 140 | 546 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 829 |
| 18/11/2015 17:30 | 18 | 132 | 0 | 150 | 85 | 2 | 30 | 117 | 0 | 389 | 146 | 535 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 805 |
| 18/1/12015 17:45 | 21 | 137 | 0 | 158 | 54 | 0 | 21 | 75 | 0 | 386 | 120 | 506 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 742 |
| Hourly Total | 91 | 628 | 0 | 719 | 278 | 3 | 96 | 377 | 2 | 1565 | 580 | 2147 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 3252 |
| 18/1/12015 18:00 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 18/1/12015 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Grand Total | 254 | 1980 | 0 | 2234 | 935 | 7 | 349 | 1291 | 8 | 3802 | 1360 | 5170 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 15 | 8710 |
| Approach \% | 11 | 89 | 0 | 100 | 72 | 1 | 27 | 100 | 0 | 74 | 26 | 100 | 20 | 60 | 20 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 3 | 23 | 0 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 44 | 16 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

## PM Peak Hour 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM

| Venicile Total | 88 | 649 | 0 | 737 | 340 | 4 | 103 | 447 | 2 | 1543 | 585 | 2130 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3320 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ca | 86 | 608 | 0 | 694 | 336 | 4 | 101 | 44 | 2 | 1491 | 574 | 2067 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3208 |
| Truck | 2 | 41 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 52 | 11 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 07:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 08:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 09:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 09:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## AM Peak Hour 7:00 AM - 8:00 AM

| 18/11/2015 11:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18/11/2015 11:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 11:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 11:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 12:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourl Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 13:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Midday Peak Hour 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 18/112015 15:00:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 15:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/112015 15:30:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 18/11/2015 15:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 18/11/2015 16:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 16:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 16:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/112015 16:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 17:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Queen Street West | Count Period: | AM, Noon, PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.647524, -79.802530 | Peak Period: | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM, 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM, 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 00117986 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Tyrone Dollano, Aaron |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Queen Street West | Count Period: | AM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.647524, -79.802530 | Peak Period: | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| Site Number: | 00117986 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Tyrone Dollano, Aaron |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Queen Street West | Count Period: | Noon |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.647524, -79.802530 | Peak Period: | 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM |
| Site Number: | 00117986 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Tyrone Dollano, Aaron |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Queen Street West | Count Period: | PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.647524, -79.802530 | Peak Period: | 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 00117986 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Tyrone Dollano, Aaron |



TMC chart data


Bounds
Total Traffic at Peak Hour


Total Approach


Total Approach at Peak Hour


(null)

(null)


TMC - Intersection Count Summary

## Company name: Trans-Plan Inc. <br> Company address: 24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 100,Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Company phone: (647) 931-7383

Site:
Location:
N/S Street:
E/W Street:
GPS Coordinates:
Mississauga Road at Embleton Road, Brampton
Mississauga Road
Embleton Road
Date:
43.644265, -79.799058

Day of week:
18 November 2015

Analyst(s):
Wednesday

## VEHICLE TRAFFIC

| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 07:00 | 0 | 315 | 35 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 181 | 0 | 185 | 40 | 0 | 17 | 57 | 592 |
| 18/11/2015 07:15 | 0 | 392 | 39 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 207 | 0 | 210 | 58 | 0 | 30 | 88 | 729 |
| 18111201507:30 | 0 | 500 | 42 | 542 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 219 | 0 | 222 | 75 | 0 | 26 | 101 | 865 |
| 18/112015 07:45 | 0 | 525 | 58 | 583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 210 | 0 | 212 | 97 | 0 | 22 | 119 | 914 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1732 | 174 | 1906 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 817 | 0 | 829 | 270 | 0 | 95 | 365 | 3100 |
| 18/112015 08:00 | 0 | 435 | 44 | 479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 190 | 0 | 194 | 93 | 0 | 19 | 112 | 785 |
| 18/11/2015 08:15 | 0 | 490 | 46 | 536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 166 | 0 | 171 | 66 | 0 | 19 | 85 | 792 |
| 18/11/2015 08:30 | 0 | 422 | 49 | 471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 171 | 0 | 176 | 51 | 0 | 19 | 70 | 717 |
| 18/11/2015 08:45 | 0 | 395 | 33 | 428 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 141 | 0 | 152 | 37 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 623 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1742 | 172 | 1914 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 668 | 0 | 693 | 247 | 0 | 63 | 310 | 2917 |
| 18/11/201509:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 3474 | 346 | 3820 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1485 | 0 | 1522 | 517 | 0 | 158 | 675 | 6017 |
| Approach \% | 0 | 91 | 9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 100 | 77 | 0 | 23 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 58 | 6 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 12 | - |

AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

| Venicle Total | 0 | 1950 | 190 | 2140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 785 | 0 | 799 | 331 | 0 | 86 | 417 | 3356 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 0 | 1870 | 190 | 2060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 709 | 0 | 721 | 325 | 0 | 83 | 408 | 3189 |
| Truck | 0 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 0 | 78 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 167 |
| Bicycle | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 11:00 | 0 | 101 | 12 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 92 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 34 | 239 |
| 18/11/2015 11:15 | 0 | 150 | 17 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 92 | 0 | 95 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 26 | 288 |
| 18/1/1/2015 11:30 | 0 | 146 | 18 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 118 | 0 | 125 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 313 |
| 18/11/2015 11:45 | 0 | 135 | 30 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 106 | 0 | 113 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 302 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 532 | 77 | 609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 408 | 0 | 425 | 87 | 0 | 21 | 108 | 1142 |
| 18/1/1/2015 12:00 | 0 | 131 | 13 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 0 | 119 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 290 |
| 18/11/2015 12:15 | 0 | 145 | 21 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 0 | 124 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 318 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30 | 0 | 144 | 26 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 141 | 0 | 146 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 339 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45 | 0 | 126 | 15 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 0 | 120 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 297 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 546 | 75 | 621 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 495 | 0 | 509 | 94 | 0 | 20 | 114 | 1244 |
| 18/11/2015 13:00 | 0 | 121 | 23 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 284 |
| 18/11/2015 13:15 | 0 | 161 | 27 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 162 | 0 | 169 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 383 |
| 18/11/2015 13:30 | 0 | 128 | 27 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 112 | 0 | 118 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 296 |
| 18/11/2015 13:45 | 0 | 138 | 27 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 143 | 0 | 148 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 336 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 548 | 104 | 652 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 535 | 0 | 553 | 83 | 0 | 11 | 94 | 1299 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1626 | 256 | 1882 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1438 | 0 | 1487 | 264 | 0 | 52 | 316 | 3685 |
| Approach \% | 0 | 86 | 14 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 97 | 0 | 100 | 84 | 0 | 16 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 44 | 7 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 40 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | - |

Midday Peak Hour 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM

| Venicile Total | 0 | 552 | 91 | 643 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 540 | 0 | 553 | 89 | 0 | 18 | 107 | 1303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 0 | 500 | 85 | 585 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 475 | 0 | 488 | 85 | 0 | 18 | 103 | 1176 |
| Truck | 0 | 52 | 3 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 123 |
| Bicycle | 0 |  | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/1112015 55:00 | 0 | 159 | 53 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 195 | 0 | 213 | 33 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 463 |
| 18/11/2015 15:15 | 0 | 199 | 33 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 257 | 0 | 281 | 70 | 0 | 14 | 84 | 597 |
| 18/11/2015 55:30 | 0 | 193 | 53 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 284 | 0 | 299 | 41 | 0 | 6 | 47 | 592 |
| 18/1/12015 15:45 | 0 | 191 | 64 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 328 | 0 | 341 | 59 | 0 | 8 | 67 | 663 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 742 | 203 | 945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 1064 | 0 | 1134 | 203 | 0 | 33 | 236 | 2315 |
| 18/1/1/2015 16:00 | 0 | 211 | 62 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 324 | 0 | 340 | 65 | 0 | 4 | 69 | 682 |
| 18/1/12015 16:15 | 0 | 179 | 69 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 369 | 0 | 385 | 89 | 0 | 8 | 97 | 730 |
| 18/11/2015 16:30 | 0 | 266 | 79 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 399 | 0 | 415 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 42 | 802 |
| 18/11/2015 16:45 | 0 | 208 | 70 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 441 | 0 | 466 | 102 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 849 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 864 | 280 | 1144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 1533 | 0 | 1606 | 296 | 0 | 17 | 313 | 3063 |
| 18/11/2015 17:00 | 0 | 237 | 77 | 314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 453 | 0 | 481 | 112 | 0 | 6 | 118 | 913 |
| 18/112015 17:15 | 0 | 183 | 50 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 479 | 0 | 501 | 107 | 0 | 6 | 113 | 847 |
| 18/11/2015 17:30 | 0 | 167 | 52 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 453 | 0 | 475 | 112 | 0 | 9 | 121 | 815 |
| 18/11/2015 17:45 | 0 | 153 | 30 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 438 | 0 | 455 | 96 | 0 | 3 | 99 | 737 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 740 | 209 | 949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 1823 | 0 | 1912 | 427 | 0 | 24 | 451 | 3312 |
| 18/1/12015 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 18/11/2015 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houry Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 2346 | 693 | 3039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 4420 | 0 | 4652 | 929 | 0 | 74 | 1003 | 8694 |
| Approach \% | 0 | 77 | 23 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 27 | 8 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 54 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 | - |

## PM Peak Hour 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM

| Venicle Total | 0 | 795 | 249 | 1044 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 1826 | 0 | 1923 | 433 | 0 | 24 | 457 | 3424 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 0 | 750 | 248 | 998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 1776 | 0 | 1872 | 429 | 0 | 22 | 451 | 3321 |
| Truck | 0 | 45 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 102 |
| Bieycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

PEDESTRIAN CROSSING
No pedestrian crossing for AM

No pedestrian crossing for Noon.

No pedestrian crossing for PM

TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Embleton Road | Count Period: | AM, Noon, PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.644265, -79.799058 | Peak Period: | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM, 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM, 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 00117781 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Charles Chung |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Embleton Road | Count Period: | AM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.644265, -79.799058 | Peak Period: | 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM |
| Site Number: | 00117781 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Charles Chung |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Embleton Road | Count Period: | Noon |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.644265, -79.799058 | Peak Period: | 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM |
| Site Number: | 00117781 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Charles Chung |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Mostly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Embleton Road | Count Period: | PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.644265, -79.799058 | Peak Period: | 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 00117781 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Charles Chung |



TMC chart data


Bounds
Total Traffic at Peak Hour



Total Approach at Peak Hour



North leg


TMC - Intersection Count Summary

| Company name: <br> Company address: <br> Company phone: | Trans-Plan Inc. <br> 24 Ryerson Avenue, Suite 211,Toronto, Ontario, Canada <br> $(647) ~ 931-7383$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Site: | 00116751 |
| Location: | Mississauga Road at Lionhead Golf Centre Access, Brampton |
| N/S Street: | Mississauga Road |
| E/W Street: | Lionhead Golf Centre Access |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.637684, -79.789982 |
| Date: | 18 November 2015 |
| Day of week: | Wednesday |
| Analyst(s): | Sandy Liu |

## VEHICLE TRAFFIC

| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 07:00 | 0 | 351 | 8 | 359 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 225 | 0 | 232 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 594 |
| 18/11/2015 07:15 | 0 | 491 | 3 | 494 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 195 | 4 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 701 |
| 18111201507:30 | 0 | 621 | 2 | 623 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 267 | 4 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 897 |
| 18/112015 07:45 | 0 | 554 | 0 | 554 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 162 | 5 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 726 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 2017 | 13 | 2030 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 849 | 13 | 881 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2918 |
| 18/112015 08:00 | 0 | 544 | 2 | 546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 184 | 3 | 190 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 739 |
| 18/11/2015 08:15 | 3 | 219 | 2 | 224 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 107 | 2 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 337 |
| 18/11/2015 08:30 | 4 | 463 | 1 | 468 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 156 | 12 | 169 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 642 |
| 18/11/2015 08:45 | 4 | 469 | 1 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 8 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 672 |
| Hourly Total | 11 | 1695 | 6 | 1712 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 636 | 25 | 666 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 2390 |
| 18/11/201509:00 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 18/11/2015 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Grand Total | 11 | 3739 | 19 | 3769 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 24 | 1492 | 38 | 1554 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 5342 |
| Approach \% | 0 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 100 | 2 | 96 | 2 | 100 | 42 | 0 | 58 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

AM Peak Hour 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM

| Vehicle Total | 0 | 2210 | 7 | 2217 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 808 | 16 | 839 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3063 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 0 | 2095 | 4 | 2099 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 661 | 4 | 689 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2794 |
| Truck | 0 | 115 | 3 | 118 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 147 | 2 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 |
| Bicy | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 11:00 | 2 | 119 | 2 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 96 | 7 | 104 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 235 |
| 18/11/2015 11:15 | 4 | 105 | 2 | 111 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 72 | 7 | 82 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 197 |
| 18/1/1/2015 11:30 | 0 | 134 | 2 | 136 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 111 | 9 | 122 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 270 |
| 18/1/1/2015 11:45 | 2 | 147 | 4 | 153 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 133 | 9 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 301 |
| Hourly Total | 8 | 505 | 10 | 523 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 6 | 412 | 32 | 450 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 1003 |
| 18/1/1/2015 12:00 | 2 | 150 | 0 | 152 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 139 | 7 | 149 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 307 |
| 18/11/2015 12:15 | 2 | 105 | 2 | 109 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 68 | 5 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 185 |
| 18/11/2015 12:30 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 128 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 103 | 4 | 109 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 248 |
| 18/11/2015 12:45 | 5 | 132 | 2 | 139 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 147 | 6 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 305 |
| Hourly Total | 9 | 515 | 4 | 528 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 457 | 22 | 487 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 1045 |
| 18/11/2015 13:00 | 1 | 158 | 1 | 160 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 147 | 0 | 150 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 324 |
| 18/11/2015 13:15 | 2 | 141 | 0 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 138 | 6 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 296 |
| 18/11/2015 13:30 | 2 | 127 | 4 | 133 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 137 | 4 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 282 |
| 18/11/2015 13:45 | 1 | 136 | 1 | 138 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 113 | 2 | 116 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 262 |
| Hourly Total | 6 | 562 | 6 | 574 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 535 | 12 | 554 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 15 | 1164 |
| 18/11/2015 14:00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 18/11/2015 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Grand Total | 23 | 1589 | 20 | 1632 | 57 | 0 | 4 | 61 | 21 | 1409 | 66 | 1496 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 35 | 3224 |
| Approach \% | 1 | 97 | 1 | 99 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 1 | 94 | 4 | 99 | 26 | 0 | 74 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 1 | 49 | 1 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 44 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - |

Midday Peak Hour 12:45 PM - 1:45 PM

| Venicile Total | 10 | 558 | 7 | 575 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 569 | 16 | 594 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 15 | 1207 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ca | 10 | 497 | 5 | 512 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 5 | 502 | 14 | 521 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 1066 |
| Truck | 0 | 61 | 2 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 67 | 2 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 141 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Interval | SouthBound |  |  |  | WestBound |  |  |  | NorthBound |  |  |  | EastBound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total | Left | Thru | Right | B. Total |  |
| 18/112015 15:00 | 1 | 142 | 1 | 144 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | O | 179 | 1 | 180 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 339 |
| 18/1/1/2015 15:15 | 1 | 227 | 3 | 231 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 340 | 1 | 342 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 597 |
| 18/11/2015 15:30 | 0 | 211 | 1 | 212 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 257 | 3 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 485 |
| 18/11/2015 15:45 | 0 | 219 | 2 | 221 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 16 |  | 369 | 1 | 371 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 616 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 799 | 7 | 808 | 49 | 0 | 7 | 56 | 2 | 1145 | 6 | 1153 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 2037 |
| 18/11/2015 16:00 | 0 | 142 | 1 | 143 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 321 | 8 | 330 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 490 |
| 18/11/2015 16:15 | 0 | 198 | 1 | 199 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 386 | 1 | 388 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 609 |
| 18/11/2015 16:30 | 2 | 206 | 0 | 208 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 407 | 1 | 408 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 628 |
| 18/11/2015 16:45 | 1 | 210 | 0 | 211 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 410 | 5 | 415 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 645 |
| Houry Total | 3 | 756 | 2 | 761 | 54 | 0 | 3 | 57 | 2 | 1524 | 15 | 1541 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 2372 |
| 18/11/2015 17:00 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 222 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 508 | 2 | 511 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 774 |
| 18/11/2015 17:15 | 0 | 208 | 1 | 209 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 481 | 2 | 485 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 715 |
| 18/11/2015 17:30 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 166 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 429 | 2 | 431 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 611 |
| 18/11/2015 17:45 | 1 | 175 | 0 | 176 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 510 | 0 | 510 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 691 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 771 | 1 | 773 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 1928 | 6 | 1937 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 29 | 2791 |
| 18/11/2015 18:00 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 18/11/2015 18:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourl Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Grand Total | 6 | 2330 | 10 | 2346 | 155 | 0 | 10 | 165 | 7 | 4608 | 27 | 4642 | 23 | 0 | 39 | 62 | 7215 |
| Approach \% | 0 | 99 | 0 | 99 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 37 | 0 | 63 | 100 | - |
| Total \% | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - |

## PM Peak Hour 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM

| Venicle Total | 1 | 771 | 1 | 773 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 1928 | 6 | 1937 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 29 | 2791 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | 1 | 694 | 1 | 696 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 1809 | 6 | 1818 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 27 | 2592 |
| Truck | 0 | 77 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 199 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 07:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 07:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 18/11/2015 08:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 08:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 18/11/2015 09:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 09:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

## AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM

No pedestrian crossing for Noon.

|  | North East |  |  | North West |  |  | South West |  |  | South East |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
| 18/11/2015 15:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 15:15:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 15:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 15:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 16:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 16:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 16:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18/11/2015 16:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18/1/2015 17:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 17:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 17:30:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 17:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 18:00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18/11/2015 18:15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

PM Peak Hour 3:00 PM - 4:00 PM
Pedestrians

TOTAL TMC COUNT DIAGRAM

| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Partly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Lionhead Golf Centre Access | Count Period: | AM, Noon, PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.637684, -79.789982 | Peak Period: | 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM, 12:45 PM-1:45 PM, 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM |
| Site Number: | 00116751 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Sandy Liu |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Partly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Lionhead Golf Centre Access | Count Period: | AM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.637684, -79.789982 | Peak Period: | 7:15 AM - 8:15 AM |
| Site Number: | 00116751 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Sandy Liu |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Partly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Lionhead Golf Centre Access | Count Period: | Noon |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.637684, -79.789982 | Peak Period: | 12:45 PM - 1:45 PM |
| Site Number: | 00116751 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Sandy Liu |



| City: | Brampton | Weather: | Partly Cloudy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North/South Street: | Mississauga Road | Count Date: | 18/11/2015 |
| East/West Street: | Lionhead Golf Centre Access | Count Period: | PM |
| GPS Coordinates: | 43.637684, -79.789982 | Peak Period: | 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM |
| Site Number: | 00116751 | Major Road: | Mississauga Road |
| Control: | Signalized | Surveyor: | Sandy Liu |



TMC chart data


Bounds
Total Traffic at Peak Hour



Bounds
Total Pedestrians at Peak Hour


Total Approach


Total Approach at Peak Hour





## Appendix B

## Existing (2015) Intersection Operation Reports



C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | 7 | ¢ $\uparrow$ | \% | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 4 | 7 | 14 | 593 | 4 | 72 | 1 | 709 | 381 | 72 | 1540 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 4 | 7 | 14 | 593 | 4 | 72 | 1 | 709 | 381 | 72 | 1540 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.99 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1695 |  | 1733 | 1537 | 1551 | 892 | 3259 | 1521 | 1513 | 3476 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.98 |  | 0.74 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1674 |  | 1352 | 1537 | 1551 | 66 | 3259 | 1521 | 521 | 3476 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 4 | 7 | 14 | 593 | 4 | 72 | 1 | 709 | 381 | 72 | 1540 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 17 | 0 | 593 | 4 | 32 | 1 | 709 | 176 | 72 | 1540 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 25\% | 3\% | 100\% | 12\% | 5\% | 18\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 57.6 |  | 57.6 | 57.6 | 57.6 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 54.1 | 54.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 57.6 |  | 57.6 | 57.6 | 57.6 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 54.1 | 54.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.44 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.42 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 741 |  | 599 | 681 | 687 | 38 | 1466 | 684 | 216 | 1446 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | c0. 22 |  |  | c0.44 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.01 |  | c0.44 |  | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.12 | 0.14 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 |  | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 1.07 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 20.4 |  | 35.9 | 20.2 | 20.6 | 30.5 | 25.1 | 22.2 | 25.7 | 38.0 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 1.08 | 0.98 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.0 |  | 34.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 41.8 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 20.4 |  | 70.0 | 20.2 | 20.6 | 25.0 | 18.5 | 2.6 | 31.3 | 79.0 |  |
| Level of Service |  | C |  | E | C | C | C | B | A | C | E |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 20.4 |  |  | 64.3 |  |  | 13.0 |  |  | 76.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 53.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.02 |  | 16.9 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 130.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | G |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $101.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 虫 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 808 | 16 | 0 | 2036 | 7 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 808 | 16 | 0 | 2036 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1785 | 1633 |  | 1342 |  |  | 1668 | 3093 | 1426 |  | 3476 | 1117 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1879 | 1633 |  | 1413 |  |  | 148 | 3093 | 1426 |  | 3476 | 1117 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 808 | 16 | 0 | 2036 | 7 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 808 | 14 | 0 | 2036 | 6 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 33\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 18\％ | 12\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 43\％ |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 1.8 | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 109.2 | 109.2 | 109.2 |  | 109.2 | 109.2 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 1.8 | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 109.2 | 109.2 | 109.2 |  | 109.2 | 109.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 |  | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 26 | 23 |  | 20 |  |  | 128 | 2686 | 1238 |  | 3019 | 970 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | c0．59 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.00 |  |  | c0．00 |  |  | 0.10 |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.01 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.00 |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.01 |  | 0.67 | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 61.1 | 61.1 |  | 61.2 |  |  | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 |  | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  | 7.2 |  |  | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 62.4 | 61.1 |  | 68.4 |  |  | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |  | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| Level of Service | E | E |  | E |  |  | A | A | A |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 61.4 |  |  | 68.4 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 3.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.67 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 125.7 | Sum of lost time（s） | 14.7 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $75.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  | WBL |  | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 0 | 16 | 21 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 782 | 2 | 5 | 1572 | 7 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 0 | 16 | 21 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 782 | 2 | 5 | 1572 | 7 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | . | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | . | None |
| Storage Length | 250 | - | - | - | - | 360 | 700 |  | 350 | 550 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 |  | - | 0 |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Mvmt Flow | 14 | 0 | 16 | 21 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 782 | 2 | 5 | 1572 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1901 | 2370 | 790 |  | 1423 | 2373 | 391 |  | 1579 | 0 | 0 | 782 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1586 | 1586 | - |  | 784 | 784 | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 315 | 784 | - |  | 639 | 1589 | - |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.48 |  | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.1 |  | 7.3 | - | - | 5.3 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.3 | 5.5 | - |  | 7.3 | 5.5 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.7 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.7 | 5.5 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.8 | 4 | 4.09 |  | 3.8 | 4 | 3.9 |  | 4.1 | - | - | 3.1 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 75 | 35 | 258 |  | 146 | 35 | 524 |  | 77 | - | - | 505 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 78 | 170 | - |  | 284 | 407 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 620 | 407 | - |  | 397 | 169 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 71 | 34 | 258 |  | 135 | 34 | 524 |  | 77 | - | - | 505 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 71 | 34 | - |  | 135 | 34 | - |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 77 | 168 |  |  | 280 | 402 | - |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 603 | 402 |  |  | 369 | 167 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 42.3 |  |  |  | 35.6 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | E |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | VBLn1V | WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 77 | - | - | 71 | 258 | 119 | 524 | 505 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | - |  | 0.197 | 0.062 | 0.185 | 0.011 | 0.01 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 52.4 | - |  | 67.8 | 19.9 | 42 | 12 | 12.2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | - |  | F | C | E | B | B | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  |



| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Volume Total | 20 | 50 | 610 | 1031 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 |  |  |
| cSH | 110 | 515 | 1700 | 979 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 5.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 44.9 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | E | B | 0.0 | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 21.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | $79.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

102: MIssissauga Rd \& Williams Pkwy

|  |  | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group | 563 | 73 | 537 | 208 | 97 | 934 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0.95 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | 69.7 | 10.4 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 15.5 | 18.0 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 69.7 | 10.4 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 15.5 | 18.0 |
| Total Delay | 146.7 | 2.4 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 77.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | $\# 218.7$ | 13.5 | 25.0 | 7.2 | 23.2 | 94.9 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 461.7 |  | 324.0 |  |  | 457.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |  |  | 300.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 601 | 524 | 1889 | 964 | 459 | 1889 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.94 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.49 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

103: MIssissauga Rd \& Commercial Dwy


Intersection Summary
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

104: MIssissauga Rd \& River Rd/Queen St W


105: MIssissauga Rd \& Embleton Rd

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 382 | 14 | 795 | 2147 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.96 |
| Control Delay | 61.1 | 29.4 | 12.1 | 18.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 61.1 | 29.4 | 12.1 | 18.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 94.2 | 1.6 | 50.7 | 134.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 129.3 | 8.6 | 68.8 m | 174.5 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 267.7 |  | 1007.3 | 418.5 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 517 | 50 | 2135 | 2242 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.96 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream sig |  |  |  |  |

106: MIssissauga Rd \& Lionhead GC

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 808 | 16 | 2036 | 7 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.61 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 55.0 | 0.3 | 55.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 55.0 | 0.3 | 55.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 28.8 | 0.3 | 124.3 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 37.9 |  |  | 349.7 |  | 1007.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  |  |  | 55.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 612 | 557 | 460 | 142 | 2975 | 1373 | 3344 | 1076 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.61 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary


C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  | * | $\uparrow$ | 「 | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 4 | 2 | 340 | 4 | 103 | 2 | 1543 | 585 | 68 | 649 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 4 | 2 | 340 | 4 | 103 | 2 | 1543 | 585 | 68 | 649 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1835 |  | 1767 | 1921 | 1566 | 1785 | 3544 | 1566 | 1750 | 3444 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 |  | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1835 |  | 1402 | 1921 | 1566 | 683 | 3544 | 1566 | 200 | 3444 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 4 | 2 | 340 | 4 | 103 | 2 | 1543 | 585 | 68 | 649 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 340 | 4 | 62 | 2 | 1543 | 440 | 68 | 649 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 32.6 |  | 32.6 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 83.5 | 83.5 | 83.5 | 79.1 | 79.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 32.6 |  | 32.6 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 83.5 | 83.5 | 83.5 | 79.1 | 79.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.25 |  | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.61 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 460 |  | 351 | 481 | 392 | 450 | 2276 | 1005 | 121 | 2095 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | c0.44 |  |  | 0.19 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | c0.24 |  | 0.04 | 0.00 |  | 0.28 | 0.34 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.01 |  | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 0.31 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 36.6 |  | 48.2 | 36.6 | 38.0 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 11.6 | 15.1 | 12.3 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.60 | 0.26 | 1.19 | 0.99 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.0 |  | 39.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 17.3 | 0.4 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 36.6 |  | 88.0 | 36.6 | 38.4 | 7.2 | 9.8 | 3.8 | 35.2 | 12.5 |  |
| Level of Service |  | D |  | F | D | D | A | A | A | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 36.6 |  |  | 76.1 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  | 14.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 18.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.78 |  | 16.9 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 130.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $93.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ |  | * | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ |  | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 13 | 0 | 16 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1781 | 5 | 1 | 777 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 13 | 0 | 16 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1781 | 5 | 1 | 777 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1785 | 1445 |  | 1750 |  |  | 1785 | 3444 | 1597 | 1785 | 3318 | 1597 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.76 | 1.00 |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.36 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1423 | 1445 |  | 1376 |  |  | 671 | 3444 | 1597 | 196 | 3318 | 1597 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 13 | 0 | 16 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1781 | 5 | 1 | 777 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 13 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1781 | 4 | 1 | 777 | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 10.5 | 10.5 |  | 10.5 |  |  | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 10.5 | 10.5 |  | 10.5 |  |  | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 118 | 120 |  | 114 |  |  | 536 | 2753 | 1276 | 156 | 2652 | 1276 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.52 |  |  | 0.23 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |  |  | c0.04 |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.01 |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 53.3 | 52.8 |  | 54.9 |  |  | 2.5 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  | 5.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 54.1 | 52.9 |  | 60.8 |  |  | 2.6 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | E |  |  | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 53.5 |  |  | 60.8 |  |  | 6.4 |  |  | 3.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 7.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.63 |  | 14.7 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 125.7 | Sum of lost time (s) | C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $71.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 1607 | 28 | 7 | 720 | 11 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 1607 | 28 | 7 | 720 | 11 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | 250 | - | - | - | - | 360 | 700 | - | 350 | 550 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 |  |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 1607 | 28 | 7 | 720 | 11 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1405 | 2369 | 366 |  | 1932 | 2374 | 804 |  | 731 | 0 | 0 | 1607 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 740 | 740 |  |  | 1629 | 1629 | - |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 665 | 1629 |  |  | 303 | 745 | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.36 |  | 6.62 | 6.5 | 7.1 |  | 5.3 | - | - | 5.3 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.3 | 5.5 | - |  | 7.52 | 5.5 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.7 | 5.5 |  |  | 6.92 | 5.5 | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.8 | 4 | 4.03 |  | 3.91 | 4 | 3.9 |  | 3.1 | - | - | 3.1 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 150 | 35 | 515 |  | 63 | 35 | 283 |  | 533 | - | - | 201 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 304 | 426 | - |  | 65 | 162 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 382 | 162 | - |  | 604 | 424 | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 142 | 33 | 515 |  | 58 | 33 | 283 |  | 533 | - | - | 201 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 142 | 33 | - |  | 58 | 33 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 298 | 411 | - |  | 64 | 159 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 370 | 159 | - |  | 573 | 409 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 27.2 |  |  |  | 63.1 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1V | VBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 533 | - | - | 142 | 196 | 58 | 283 | 201 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.021 | - | - | 0.042 | 0.046 | 0.155 | 0.011 | 0.035 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.9 | - | - | 31.5 | 24.3 | 78.1 | 17.9 | 23.6 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |  | D | C | F | C | C | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 7 | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 50 | 697 | 50 | 20 | 564 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 20 | 50 | 697 | 50 | 20 | 564 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 20 | 50 | 697 | 50 | 20 | 564 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  | None |  |  | None |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1326 | 722 |  |  | 747 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1326 | 722 |  |  | 747 |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 88 | 88 |  |  | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 168 | 427 |  |  | 861 |  |


| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 20 | 50 | 747 | 584 |  |
| Volume Left | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 |  |
| cSH | 168 | 427 | 1700 | 861 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.44 | 0.02 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 29.4 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |
| Lane LOS | D | B |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 18.8 |  | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 55.9\% | ICU Level of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |

102: MIssissauga Rd \& Williams Pkwy

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 191 | 59 | 688 | 610 | 110 | 474 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 52.3 | 11.3 | 14.9 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 7.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.3 | 11.3 | 14.9 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 7.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 46.6 | 0.0 | 60.2 | 48.7 | 9.5 | 21.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 71.2 | 12.0 | 67.9 | 57.1 | 18.7 | 29.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 461.7 |  | 324.0 |  |  | 457.6 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 300.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 601 | 577 | 2405 | 1277 | 492 | 2405 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.22 | 0.20 |

Intersection Summary

103: MIssissauga Rd \& Commercial Dwy


Intersection Summary

104: MIssissauga Rd \& River Rd/Queen St W

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 6 | 340 | 4 | 103 | 2 | 1543 | 585 | 68 | 649 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.30 |
| Control Delay | 31.5 | 89.1 | 36.8 | 20.8 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 38.3 | 11.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.5 | 89.1 | 36.8 | 20.8 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 38.3 | 11.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.8 | 91.2 | 0.8 | 10.1 | 0.2 | 74.8 | 3.1 | 12.9 | 48.9 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 4.5 | \#153.6 | 3.9 | 25.9 | m0.2 | m87.0 | m9.2 | \#33.6 | 88.0 |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) | 27.8 |  | 347.9 |  |  | 418.5 |  |  | 530.8 |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) |  | 45.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 461 | 351 | 481 | 433 | 517 | 2276 | 1150 | 126 | 2159 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.30 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th perc | queue | is metere | by upst | am sign |  |  |  |  |  |

105: MIssissauga Rd \& Embleton Rd

|  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 457 | 97 | 1697 | 991 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.74 | 0.45 |
| Control Delay | 91.1 | 14.2 | 18.3 | 3.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 91.1 | 14.2 | 18.3 | 3.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | -124.0 | 11.0 | 153.1 | 12.7 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#196.8 | 22.8 | 181.7 | m14.5 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 267.7 |  | 1007.3 | 418.5 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 455 | 295 | 2284 | 2191 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.74 | 0.45 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream sign |  |  |  |  |

106: MIssissauga Rd \& Lionhead GC

|  | $\prime$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 13 | 16 | 52 | 3 | 1781 | 5 | 1 | 777 | 1 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.00 |
| Control Delay | 51.3 | 0.4 | 60.9 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 51.3 | 0.4 | 60.9 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 3.2 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 0.2 | 90.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 24.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.7 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 0.9 | 130.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 37.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 37.9 |  |  | 349.7 |  |  | 007.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  | 55.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 463 | 589 | 448 | 551 | 2833 | 1320 | 160 | 2729 | 1320 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.00 |

Intersection Summary

## Appendix C

## Traffic Signal Warrants

| Horizon Year: Existing (2015) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Region/City/Township: Region of Peel |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Major Street: } & \text { Mississauga Road } \\ \text { Minor Street: }\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |
| Number of Approach Lanes (1/2) | 2 |
| Tee Intersection Configuration (Y/N) | Y |
| Flow Conditions (R/F) | R |
| Land Use West Side (I/C/R) | R |
| Land Use East Side (I/C/R) | R |
| PM Forecast Only (Y/N) | N |


| Time Period | MAJOR STREET |  |  |  |  |  | MINOR STREET |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mississauga Road |  |  |  |  |  | Royal West Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak Hour | 0 | 560 | 50 | 20 | 1011 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PM Peak Hour | 0 | 697 | 50 | 20 | 564 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Average Hourly Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOLUME | PM | SAT | AHV |
| 1A - All | 1,711 | 1,401 | 778 |
| 1B - Minor | 70 | 70 | 35 |
| 2A - Major | 1,641 | 1,331 | 743 |
| 2B - Cross | 20 | 20 | 10 |

WARRANT 1 - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME

| 1A | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | ALL APPROACHES | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 778 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 86\% |


| 1 B | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | MINOR STREET APPROACHES | 180 | 255 | 180 | 255 | 35 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 14\% |

WARRANT 2 - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC

| 2 A | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | MAJOR STREET <br> APPROACHES | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 743 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 83\% |


| 2B | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | TRAFFIC CROSSING MAJOR STREET | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 10 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 13\% |

[^4]
## Mississauga Road \& Beacon Hill

Main Road: Mississauga Road
Does Main Road Run N/S (Y or N)?
Side Road: Beacon Hill
Main Street Lanes =
T-Intersection (Y or N)? Y
Rural or Urban (R or U)? U

| Time Period | Side Road |  |  |  |  |  |  | Main Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | East Approach |  |  | Hill |  |  | Total | Mississauga Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Delayed Pedestrians |
|  |  |  |  | West Approach |  |  |  | North Approach |  |  | South Approach |  |  | Total | PedestriansCrossing |  |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 1383 | 0 | 0 | 649 | 7 | 2041 | 1 | 0 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 62 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 2 | 1402 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 6 | 1471 | 5 | 0 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 630 | 0 | 0 | 526 | 6 | 1166 | 6 | 0 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 714 | 0 | 0 | 588 | 7 | 1316 | 1 | 0 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 655 | 0 | 0 | 556 | 14 | 1229 | 4 | 0 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 681 | 0 | 0 | 944 | 10 | 1640 | 3 | 0 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 824 | 0 | 0 | 1678 | 20 | 2526 | 4 | 0 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 1789 | 26 | 2611 | 6 | 0 |
| Total | 163 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 36 | 7077 | 0 | 0 | 6791 | 96 |  |  |  |

Justification 1 - Minimum Vehicle Volume

| Time Period | Justification 1A |  | Justification 1B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Traffic | Compliance | Cross Traffic | Compliance |
| 7:00-8:00 | 2096 | 100\% | 55 | 22\% |
| 8:00-9:00 | 1537 | 100\% | 66 | 26\% |
| 11:00-12:00 | 1179 | 100\% | 13 | 5\% |
| 12:00-13:00 | 1337 | 100\% | 21 | 8\% |
| 13:00-14:00 | 1238 | 100\% | 9 | 4\% |
| 15:00-16:00 | 1650 | 100\% | 10 | 4\% |
| 16:00-17:00 | 2538 | 100\% | 12 | 5\% |
| 17:00-18:00 | 2615 | 100\% | 4 | 2\% |
| > $=100 \%$ |  | 8 |  | 0 |
| >=80\% |  | 8 |  | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { Compliance } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 100\% |  | 9\% |

Both 1 A and 1B $100 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 hours? No
Lesser of 1 A or 1 B at least $80 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 No Lesser of 1 A or 1 B at least $80 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 No

Justification 2 - Delay To Cross Traffic

| Time Period | Justification 2A |  | Justification 2B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Road Traffic | Compliance | Cross Traffic | Compliance |
| 7:00-8:00 | 2041 | 100\% | 52 | 69\% |
| 8:00-9:00 | 1471 | 100\% | 67 | 89\% |
| 11:00-12:00 | 1166 | 100\% | 15 | 20\% |
| 12:00-13:00 | 1316 | 100\% | 17 | 23\% |
| 13:00-14:00 | 1229 | 100\% | 13 | 17\% |
| 15:00-16:00 | 1640 | 100\% | 9 | 12\% |
| 16:00-17:00 | 2526 | 100\% | 10 | 13\% |
| 17:00-18:00 | 2611 | 100\% | 10 | 13\% |
| >=100\% |  | 8 |  | 0 |
| >=80\% |  | 8 |  | 1 |
| Average Compliance |  | 100\% |  | 32\% |
| Both 2A and 2B 100\% fulfilled each of 8 hours? Lesser of 2A or 2B at least $80 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 hours? |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Mississauga Road \& Ostrander / Adamsville

Does Main Road Run N/S (Y or N)? Y
Side Road: Ostrander / Adamsville
Main Street Lanes =
T-Intersection (Y or N)? N
Rural or Urban (R or U)? U

| Time Period | Side Road |  |  |  |  |  |  | Main Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | East Approach Ostrander / Adamsville |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Mississauga Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Delayed Pedestrians |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | North Approach | South Approach |  |  | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Pedestrians } \\ \text { Crossing } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  | Left | Through | Right |  |  | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 7:00-8:00 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 21 | 0 | 4 |  | 57 | 5 | 1470 | 5 | 3 | 682 | 4 | 2169 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 1 | 10 | 56 | 6 | 1440 | 6 | 1 | 581 | 7 | 2041 | 1 | 0 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 515 | 3 | 9 | 437 | 10 | 982 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 25 | 4 | 453 | 4 | 6 | 528 | 7 | 1002 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 3 | 519 | 10 | 13 | 522 | 9 | 1076 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 3 | 687 | 7 | 14 | 983 | 12 | 1706 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 6 | 759 | 11 | 13 | 1336 | 23 | 2148 | 3 | 0 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 650 | 9 | 14 | 1323 | 16 | 2018 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 63 | 2 | 73 | 100 | 2 | 34 |  | 41 | 6493 | 55 | 73 | 6392 | 88 |  |  |  |

Justification 1 - Minimum Vehicle Volume

| Time Period | Justification 1A |  | Justification 1B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Traffic | Compliance | Cross Traffic | Compliance |
| 7:00-8:00 | 2226 | 100\% | 57 | 34\% |
| 8:00-9:00 | 2097 | 100\% | 56 | 33\% |
| 11:00-12:00 | 1014 | 100\% | 32 | 19\% |
| 12:00-13:00 | 1027 | 100\% | 25 | 15\% |
| 13:00-14:00 | 1104 | 100\% | 28 | 16\% |
| 15:00-16:00 | 1738 | 100\% | 32 | 19\% |
| 16:00-17:00 | 2173 | 100\% | 25 | 15\% |
| 17:00-18:00 | 2037 | 100\% | 19 | 11\% |
| >=100\% |  | 8 |  | 0 |
| >=80\% |  | 8 |  | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Compliance } \end{gathered}$ |  | 100\% |  | 20\% |

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
\text { Both 1A and 1B 100\% fulfilled each of } 8 \text { hours? } & \text { No } \\
\text { Lesser of 1A or 1B at least } 80 \% \text { fulfilled each of } 8 & \text { hours? } & \text { No }
\end{array}
$$

Justification 2 - Delay To Cross Traffic

| Time Period | Justification 2A |  | Justification 2B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Road Traffic | Compliance | Cross Traffic | Compliance |
| 7:00-8:00 | 2169 | 100\% | 35 | 47\% |
| 8:00-9:00 | 2041 | 100\% | 35 | 47\% |
| 11:00-12:00 | 982 | 100\% | 24 | 32\% |
| 12:00-13:00 | 1002 | 100\% | 12 | 16\% |
| 13:00-14:00 | 1076 | 100\% | 15 | 20\% |
| 15:00-16:00 | 1706 | 100\% | 21 | 28\% |
| 16:00-17:00 | 2148 | 100\% | 17 | 23\% |
| 17:00-18:00 | 2018 | 100\% | 12 | 16\% |
| > $=100 \%$ |  | 8 |  | 0 |
| >=80\% |  | 8 |  | 0 |
| Average Compliance |  | 100\% |  | 29\% |
| Both 2A and 2B 100\% fulfilled each of 8 hours? Lesser of $2 A$ or $2 B$ at least $80 \%$ fulfilled each of 8 |  |  |  | No |
|  |  |  |  | No |

#  <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Major Street: } & \text { Mississauga Road } \\ \text { Minor Street: } & \text { Royal West Drive }\end{array}$ PM Forecast Only (Y/N): N 

North / South (Y/N): $\qquad$ Y -

|  | Overall Warrant |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $150 \%$ Satisfied: | NO | Warrant for new intersections with forecast traffic |
| 120\% Satisfied: | NO | Warrant for existing intersections with forecast traffic |
| 100\% Satisfied: | NO | Warrant for existing intersections with existing traffic |


| Time Period | MAJOR STREET |  |  |  |  |  | MINOR STREET |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mississauga Road |  |  |  |  |  | Royal West Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak Hour | 0 | 1509 | 62 | 25 | 2881 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PM Peak Hour | 0 | 2709 | 62 | 25 | 1958 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Average Hourly Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOLUME | PM | SAT | AHV |
| 1A - All | 4,564 | 4,841 | 2,351 |
| 1B - Minor | 87 | 87 | 44 |
| 2A - Major | 4,477 | 4,754 | 2,308 |
| 2B - Cross | 25 | 25 | 13 |

WARRANT 1 - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME

| 1 A | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | ALL APPROACHES | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 2,351 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 261\% |


| 1 B | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | MINOR STREET APPROACHES | 180 | 255 | 180 | 255 | 44 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 17\% |

WARRANT 2 - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC

| 2 A | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | MAJOR STREET <br> APPROACHES | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 2,308 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 256\% |


| 2B | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | TRAFFIC CROSSING MAJOR STREET | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 13 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 17\% |

[^5]| Horizon Year: Future (2031) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Region/City/Township: | Region of Peel |  |  |
|  | Major Street: | Mississauga Road | North / South (Y/N): | Y | $\begin{aligned} \text { Number of Approach Lanes (1/2): } & 2 \\ \text { Tee Intersection Configuration (Y/N): } & \mathrm{N}\end{aligned}$ Flow Conditions (R/F) $\qquad$


|  |  | Overall Warrant |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $150 \%$ Satisfied: | NO | Warrant for new intersections with forecast traffic |
| 120\% Satisfied: | NO | Warrant for existing intersections with forecast traffic |
| 100\% Satisfied: | NO | Warrant for existing intersections with existing traffic |

Land Use West Side (I/C/R): Land Use East Side (I/C/R):

PM Forecast Only $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}): \quad \mathrm{N}$

| Time Period | MAJOR STREET |  |  |  |  |  | MINOR STREET |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mississauga Road |  |  |  |  |  | Ostrander/Adamsville |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NORTHBOUND |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak Hour | 1 | 1850 | 2 | 4 | 3895 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 12 |
| PM Peak Hour | 8 | 4104 | 22 | 6 | 2318 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 7 |


| Average Hourly Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOLUME | PM | SAT | AHV |
| 1A - All | 5,806 | 6,505 | 3,078 |
| 1B - Minor | 48 | 39 | 22 |
| 2A - Major | 5,758 | 6,466 | 3,056 |
| 2B - Cross | 31 | 26 | 14 |

WARRANT 1 - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME

| 1 A | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | ALL APPROACHES | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 3,078 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 342\% |


| 1B | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | MINOR STREET APPROACHES | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | 22 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 13\% |

WARRANT 2 - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC

| 2 A | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | MAJOR STREET APPROACHES | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | 3,056 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 340\% |


| 2B | APPROACH LANES | 1 |  | 2 OR MORE |  | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FLOW CONDITION | FREE | REST. | FREE | REST. | HOUR PERIOD |
|  |  | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW | FLOW |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | TRAFFIC CROSSING MAJOR STREET | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 14 |
|  |  | \% FULFILLED |  |  |  | 19\% |

1A - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME: Total vehicle volume on all approaches for average day
1B - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME: Total vehicle volume on minor streets
2 - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC: Total vehicle volume on major street for average day
2B - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC: Total vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing major street: comprising: (1) lefts from both minor street, (2) heaviest through from minor street, (3) $50 \%$ of heavier left turn from major street when following criteria met: (a)

## Appendix D

Future (2031) Intersection Operation Reports

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | 「 | 个4＊ | 「 | 7 | 个4＊ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 807 | 105 | 1485 | 289 | 125 | 2846 |  |
| Future Volume（vph） | 807 | 105 | 1485 | 289 | 125 | 2846 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 |  |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 6.7 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1785 | 1439 | 4768 | 1536 | 1785 | 4768 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1785 | 1439 | 4768 | 1536 | 191 | 4768 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 807 | 105 | 1485 | 289 | 125 | 2846 |  |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 75 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 807 | 30 | 1485 | 142 | 125 | 2846 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 11\％ | 10\％ | 4\％ | 0\％ | 10\％ |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 33.8 | 33.8 | 58.9 | 58.9 | 73.3 | 73.3 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 33.8 | 33.8 | 58.9 | 58.9 | 73.3 | 73.3 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.61 | 0.61 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 6.7 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 502 | 405 | 2340 | 753 | 248 | 2912 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  | 0.31 |  | 0.04 | c0．60 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | c0．45 | 0.02 |  | 0.09 | 0.27 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.61 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.50 | 0.98 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 43.1 | 31.6 | 22.6 | 17.1 | 13.9 | 22.5 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 282.6 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 12.2 |  |
| Delay（s） | 325.7 | 31.8 | 23.9 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 34.7 |  |
| Level of Service | F | C | C | B | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 291.8 |  | 22.9 |  |  | 34.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 72.1 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 1.23 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 120.0 |  | Sum of lost | time（s） | 17.4 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 110．4\％ | ICU Level of Service |  |  | H |
| Analysis Period（min） |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  | 7 | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | F | * | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1878 | 12 | 0 | 4460 | 8 |
| Future Volume (yph) | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1878 | 12 | 0 | 4460 | 8 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |  | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1785 | 1633 |  | 1342 |  |  | 1668 | 4445 | 1426 |  | 4995 | 1117 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1879 | 1633 |  | 1413 |  |  | 68 | 4445 | 1426 |  | 4995 | 1117 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1878 | 12 | 0 | 4460 | 8 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1878 | 10 | 0 | 4460 | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 18\% | 12\% | 0\% | 5\% | 43\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 1.8 | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 103.5 | 103.5 | 103.5 |  | 103.5 | 103.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 1.8 | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 103.5 | 103.5 | 103.5 |  | 103.5 | 103.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |  | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 28 | 24 |  | 21 |  |  | 58 | 3833 | 1229 |  | 4308 | 963 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | c0.89 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.00 |  |  | c0.00 |  |  | 0.28 |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.00 |  | 0.14 |  |  | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.01 |  | 1.04 | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 58.3 | 58.2 |  | 58.3 |  |  | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 |  | 8.2 | 1.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.3 | 0.1 |  | 6.5 |  |  | 14.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 |  | 24.0 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 60.5 | 58.3 |  | 64.8 |  |  | 16.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 |  | 32.2 | 1.2 |
| Level of Service | E | E |  | E |  |  | B | A | A |  | C | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 59.0 |  |  | 64.8 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 32.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 23.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.02 | Cum of lost time (s) | 14.7 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | G |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $105.1 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  | ＊ | 个44 | 「 | \％ | 个虾 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 21 | 0 | 155 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1815 | 5 | 2 | 3673 | 7 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 21 | 0 | 155 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1815 | 5 | 2 | 3673 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1583 |  | 1785 | 1455 |  |  | 4601 | 1479 | 1566 | 4561 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.76 | 1.00 |  | 0.52 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1407 | 1583 |  | 968 | 1455 |  |  | 4601 | 1479 | 168 | 4561 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 21 | 0 | 155 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1815 | 5 | 2 | 3673 | 7 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 21 | 132 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1815 | 4 | 2 | 3673 | 5 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 11\％ | 2\％ | 14\％ | 8\％ | 14\％ | 15\％ | 2\％ |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |  | 91.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |  | 91.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.14 |  |  | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 199 | 224 |  | 137 | 206 |  |  | 3489 | 1121 | 127 | 3458 | 1200 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | c0．08 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  | c0．81 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.59 |  | 0.37 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.06 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 44.9 | 48.2 |  | 46.7 | 44.2 |  |  | 5.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 14.5 | 3.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.5 | 6.0 |  | 3.5 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 35.1 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 45.4 | 54.2 |  | 50.2 | 44.2 |  |  | 6.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 49.6 | 3.5 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | D | D |  |  | A | A | A | D | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 53.2 |  |  | 49.8 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 49.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 35.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.99 |  | 12.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $99.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 11 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1850 | 2 | 4 | 3895 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 11 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1850 | 2 | 4 | 3895 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 250 | - | - | - | - | 360 | 700 |  | 350 | 550 | - | 550 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1850 | 2 | 4 | 3895 | 6 |




102: MIssissauga Rd \& Williams Pkwy

|  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SBL | SBT |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group | 807 | 105 | 1485 | 289 | 125 | 2846 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1.61 | 0.22 | 0.64 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.98 |
| v/c Ratio | 313.4 | 7.3 | 24.2 | 2.9 | 16.7 | 35.1 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 313.4 | 7.3 | 24.2 | 2.9 | 16.7 | 35.1 |
| Total Delay | -286.6 | 0.0 | 97.6 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 234.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | $\# 363.9$ | 13.5 | 114.1 | 14.5 | 21.4 | $\# 290.5$ |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 461.7 |  | 324.0 |  |  | 457.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 150.0 |  | 300.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 502 | 480 | 2338 | 900 | 258 | 2912 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 1.61 | 0.22 | 0.64 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.98 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

103: MIssissauga Rd \& Commercial Dwy


104: MIssissauga Rd \& River Rd/Queen St W

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $P$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 743 | 5 | 95 | 2 | 1753 | 476 | 106 | 3839 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 1.40 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.91 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 1.50 |
| Control Delay | 26.7 | 227.1 | 26.4 | 5.5 | 33.0 | 47.1 | 19.7 | 51.3 | 257.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 26.7 | 227.1 | 26.4 | 5.5 | 33.0 | 47.1 | 19.7 | 51.3 | 257.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 3.6 | ~289.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 174.5 | 55.1 | 15.8 | $\sim 567.8$ |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.3 | \#367.9 | 3.9 | 11.6 | 2.8 | 197.6 | 94.3 | \#44.9 | \#585.7 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) | 27.8 |  | 347.9 |  |  | 418.5 |  |  | 530.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 50.0 | 65.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 655 | 529 | 599 | 662 | 27 | 1923 | 763 | 149 | 2551 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 1.40 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.91 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 1.50 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

105: MIssissauga Rd \& Embleton Rd

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 478 | 17 | 1861 | 4599 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.92 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 1.55 |
| Control Delay | 74.0 | 13.5 | 19.6 | 274.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 74.0 | 13.5 | 19.6 | 274.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 139.6 | 1.9 | 133.5 | $\sim 738.9$ |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#203.4 | 5.2 | 149.1 | \#785.2 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) | 267.7 |  | 1007.3 | 418.5 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 545 | 115 | 2945 | 2973 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 1.55 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longerQueue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

106: MIssissauga Rd \& Lionhead GC

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 2 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 1878 | 12 | 4460 | 8 |
| V/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.93 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 52.0 | 0.2 | 52.7 | 15.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.0 | 0.2 | 52.7 | 15.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 3.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 8.8 | 54.9 | 0.0 | \#482.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 37.9 |  |  | 349.7 |  | 1007.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  | 65.0 |  | 55.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 454 | 424 | 341 | 65 | 4269 | 1371 | 4797 | 1074 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.93 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\dagger$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 1 | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 21 | 155 | 51 | 4 | 1815 | 5 | 2 | 3673 | 7 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.02 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.06 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 43.4 | 50.4 | 52.7 | 0.2 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 51.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 43.4 | 50.4 | 52.7 | 0.2 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 51.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 4.6 | 30.2 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | ~367.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 11.8 | 50.1 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | \#413.9 | 0.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 89.2 |  | 165.4 | 283.5 |  |  | 290.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 50.0 |  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 351 | 416 | 242 | 384 | 3489 | 1128 | 127 | 3458 | 1206 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.06 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | * | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 17 | 0 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4305 | 4 | 1 | 2380 | 2 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 17 | 0 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4305 | 4 | 1 | 2380 | 2 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1785 | 1445 |  | 1750 |  |  | 1785 | 4948 | 1597 | 1785 | 4768 | 1597 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.76 | 1.00 |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1423 | 1445 |  | 1371 |  |  | 99 | 4948 | 1597 | 78 | 4768 | 1597 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 17 | 0 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4305 | 4 | 1 | 2380 | 2 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 17 | 2 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4305 | 3 | 1 | 2380 | 2 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 9.5 |  |  | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 9.5 |  |  | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 112 | 114 |  | 108 |  |  | 79 | 3950 | 1274 | 62 | 3806 | 1274 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.87 |  |  | 0.50 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |  |  | c0.03 |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.00 | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.01 |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.05 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.63 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 51.5 | 50.9 |  | 52.5 |  |  | 2.5 | 12.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  | 4.6 |  |  | 1.2 | 45.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 52.8 | 51.0 |  | 57.1 |  |  | 3.8 | 57.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 2.4 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | E |  |  | A | E | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 51.9 |  |  | 57.1 |  |  | 57.4 |  |  | 5.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 39.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.03 |  | 14.7 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | G |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $104.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | F |  | \% | ¢个¢ | 7 | 7 | ¢ $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 130 | 0 | 103 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3920 | 13 | 3 | 2234 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 130 | 0 | 103 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3920 | 13 | 3 | 2234 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 |  | 1785 | 1214 |  |  | 4948 | 1597 | 1785 | 4561 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.76 | 1.00 |  | 0.69 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1408 | 1583 |  | 1297 | 1214 |  |  | 4948 | 1597 | 93 | 4561 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 130 | 0 | 103 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3920 | 13 | 3 | 2234 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 130 | 78 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3920 | 10 | 3 | 2234 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 33\% | 2\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 17.3 | 17.3 |  | 17.3 | 17.3 |  |  | 80.7 | 80.7 | 80.7 | 80.7 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 17.3 | 17.3 |  | 17.3 | 17.3 |  |  | 80.7 | 80.7 | 80.7 | 80.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  |  | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 221 | 248 |  | 203 | 190 |  |  | 3630 | 1171 | 68 | 3346 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | c0.79 |  |  | 0.49 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.09 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.31 |  | 0.01 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.67 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 43.0 | 41.1 |  | 39.2 | 39.1 |  |  | 14.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 7.6 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.63 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 6.1 | 1.5 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  | 41.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |  |
| Delay (s) | 49.1 | 42.6 |  | 39.2 | 39.1 |  |  | 56.4 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 5.8 |  |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | D | D |  |  | E | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 46.2 |  |  | 39.1 |  |  | 56.2 |  |  | 5.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 38.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.99 |  | 12.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 110.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $99.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 4104 | 22 | 6 | 2318 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 4104 | 22 | 6 | 2318 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 250 | - | - | - | - | 360 | 700 |  | 350 | 550 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 4104 | 22 | 6 | 2318 | 8 |




102: MIssissauga Rd \& Williams Pkwy

|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 305 | 84 | 2698 | 859 | 151 | 1865 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.20 | 0.98 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.56 |
| Control Delay | 59.2 | 8.7 | 42.0 | 4.2 | 46.7 | 12.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.2 | 8.7 | 42.0 | 4.2 | 46.7 | 12.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 77.7 | 0.0 | 253.9 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 87.5 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 106.7 | 13.1 | \#302.5 | 18.4 | \#68.1 | 112.6 |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) | 461.7 |  | 324.0 |  |  | 457.6 |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) |  | 150.0 |  | 300.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 464 | 477 | 2747 | 1251 | 210 | 3337 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.98 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.56 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

103: MIssissauga Rd \& Commercial Dwy

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 110 | 30 | 3509 | 266 | 52 | 2087 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.99 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.54 |
| Control Delay | 51.6 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 11.0 | 6.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 51.6 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 11.0 | 6.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 23.5 | 0.2 | -218.7 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 60.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 40.2 | 8.9 | m72.1 | m5.6 | \#7.0 | 82.8 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 90.9 |  | 290.0 |  |  | 324.0 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 50.0 | 150.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 292 | 285 | 3556 | 1160 | 157 | 3854 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.99 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.54 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 6 | 426 | 5 | 146 | 3 | 4007 | 733 | 120 | 2219 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 1.15 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 1.45 | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.74 |
| Control Delay | 28.2 | 136.2 | 32.8 | 18.9 | 7.7 | 231.8 | 20.4 | 73.5 | 19.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 28.2 | 136.2 | 32.8 | 18.9 | 7.7 | 231.8 | 20.4 | 73.5 | 19.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.7 | $\sim 124.5$ | 0.9 | 13.0 | 0.3 | $\sim 496.4$ | 95.7 | 13.0 | 129.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 4.3 | \#188.9 | 4.3 | 31.4 | 1.4 | \#517.6 | 152.2 | \#52.0 | 182.8 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 27.8 |  | 347.9 |  |  | 418.5 |  |  | 530.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  | 65.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 474 | 369 | 505 | 469 | 162 | 2758 | 956 | 135 | 2993 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 1.15 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 1.45 | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.74 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

105: MIssissauga Rd \& Embleton Rd

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 573 | 122 | 4200 | 2647 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.22 | 0.73 | 1.30 | 1.00 |
| Control Delay | 158.4 | 48.5 | 159.8 | 45.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 158.4 | 48.5 | 159.8 | 45.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | -190.0 | 15.7 | -529.1 | 249.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#263.4 | \#46.5 | \#546.5 | \#297.3 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) | 267.7 |  | 1007.3 | 418.5 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 469 | 166 | 3243 | 2659 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.22 | 0.73 | 1.30 | 1.00 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longerQueue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

106: MIssissauga Rd \& Lionhead GC

|  | 4 | - | $t$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 17 | 20 | 41 | 4 | 4305 | 4 | 1 | 2380 | 2 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.00 |
| Control Delay | 50.8 | 6.5 | 57.0 | 5.0 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.8 | 6.5 | 57.0 | 5.0 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 3.9 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0.2 | $\sim 445.6$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 75.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 11.2 | 3.4 | 21.0 | 1.3 | \#479.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 103.6 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 37.9 |  |  | 349.7 |  |  | 007.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 343 | 378 | 331 | 80 | 4072 | 1321 | 65 | 3924 | 1321 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.00 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\dagger$ |  | $\dagger$ | $>$ | , | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 130 | 103 | 3 | 3 | 3920 | 13 | 3 | 2234 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.67 |
| Control Delay | 53.1 | 31.9 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 58.7 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 53.1 | 31.9 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 58.7 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 27.6 | 14.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | ~363.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 44.8 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 44.9 | 29.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 | \#411.7 | 0.8 | m0.2 | 48.9 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 96.0 |  | 165.4 | 283.5 |  |  | 290.0 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 50.0 |  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 384 | 453 | 353 | 352 | 3630 | 1179 | 68 | 3346 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.67 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E

Future (2031) Intersection Operation Reports with Improvements

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢个¢ | 7 | \％ | 个个¢ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 3 | 6 | 11 | 743 | 5 | 95 | 2 | 1753 | 476 | 106 | 3839 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 3 | 6 | 11 | 743 | 5 | 95 | 2 | 1753 | 476 | 106 | 3839 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.99 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1700 |  | 3362 | 1537 | 1551 | 892 | 4683 | 1521 | 1513 | 4995 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.99 |  | 0.74 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1700 |  | 2634 | 1537 | 1551 | 62 | 4683 | 1521 | 97 | 4995 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 3 | 6 | 11 | 743 | 5 | 95 | 2 | 1753 | 476 | 106 | 3839 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 15 | 0 | 743 | 5 | 28 | 2 | 1753 | 338 | 106 | 3839 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 25\％ | 3\％ | 100\％ | 12\％ | 5\％ | 18\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 5.8 |  | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 75.5 | 75.5 |  |
| Effective Green， g （s） |  | 5.8 |  | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 75.5 | 75.5 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.04 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.52 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 68 |  | 770 | 449 | 453 | 26 | 1970 | 639 | 148 | 2600 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | c0．01 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.37 |  | 0.05 | c0．77 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | c0． 28 |  | 0.02 | 0.03 |  | 0.22 | 0.32 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.22 |  | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.89 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 1.48 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 67.4 |  | 50.6 | 36.4 | 37.0 | 25.1 | 38.9 | 31.3 | 31.2 | 34.8 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 3.5 |  | 24.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 18.5 | 216.6 |  |
| Delay（s） |  | 70.9 |  | 74.9 | 36.4 | 37.1 | 30.8 | 45.4 | 34.4 | 49.7 | 251.3 |  |
| Level of Service |  | E |  | E | D | D | C | D | C | D | F |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 70.9 |  |  | 70.4 |  |  | 43.0 |  |  | 245.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 160.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.29 |  | 25.8 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 145.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $127.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

102: MIssissauga Rd \& Williams Pkwy


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 743 | 5 | 95 | 2 | 1753 | 476 | 106 | 3839 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.70 | 1.42 |
| Control Delay | 56.1 | 75.3 | 42.0 | 4.8 | 31.5 | 41.1 | 17.7 | 50.8 | 220.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 56.1 | 75.3 | 42.0 | 4.8 | 31.5 | 41.1 | 17.7 | 50.8 | 220.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 4.4 | -132.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 171.4 | 52.9 | 16.4 | $\sim 571.0$ |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 13.5 | \#179.2 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 2.8 | 193.0 | 90.6 | \#44.4 | \#587.8 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 27.8 |  | 347.9 |  |  | 418.5 |  |  | 530.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 50.0 | 65.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 128 | 769 | 448 | 535 | 27 | 2066 | 804 | 152 | 2704 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.70 | 1.42 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  | ${ }^{17}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个44 | 「 | ＊ | 蚔 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1 | 3 | 2 | 426 | 5 | 146 | 3 | 4007 | 733 | 120 | 2219 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1 | 3 | 2 | 426 | 5 | 146 | 3 | 4007 | 733 | 120 | 2219 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.99 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1819 |  | 3429 | 1921 | 1566 | 1785 | 5092 | 1566 | 1750 | 4948 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.99 |  | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1819 |  | 2720 | 1921 | 1566 | 112 | 5092 | 1566 | 102 | 4948 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 1 | 3 | 2 | 426 | 5 | 146 | 3 | 4007 | 733 | 120 | 2219 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 4 | 0 | 426 | 5 | 30 | 3 | 4007 | 638 | 120 | 2219 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 6\％ | 0\％ |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 1.6 |  | 27.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 68.7 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 84.5 | 80.2 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  | 1.6 |  | 27.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 68.7 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 84.5 | 80.2 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.01 |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.59 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 6.5 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 21 |  | 556 | 392 | 320 | 73 | 2542 | 781 | 217 | 2939 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | c0．00 |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | c0．79 |  | c0．05 | c0．45 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | c0．16 |  | 0.02 | 0.02 |  | 0.41 | 0.29 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.19 |  | 0.77 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 1.58 | 0.82 | 0.55 | 0.76 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 66.1 |  | 50.7 | 42.8 | 43.6 | 18.8 | 33.8 | 28.6 | 32.6 | 20.2 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 9.1 |  | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 261.3 | 9.3 | 5.1 | 1.9 |  |
| Delay（s） |  | 75.2 |  | 58.0 | 42.9 | 43.8 | 19.3 | 295.1 | 37.9 | 37.7 | 22.0 |  |
| Level of Service |  | E |  | E | D | D | B | F | D | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 75.2 |  |  | 54.3 |  |  | 255.1 |  |  | 22.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  | F |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 169.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.25 |  | 25.8 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 135.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $118.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

102: MIssissauga Rd \& Williams Pkwy

|  | $\checkmark$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 305 | 84 | 2698 | 859 | 151 | 1865 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.44 | 0.22 | 0.98 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.54 |
| Control Delay | 48.0 | 10.2 | 42.0 | 4.2 | 34.7 | 10.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.0 | 10.2 | 42.0 | 4.2 | 34.7 | 10.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 37.5 | 0.0 | 253.9 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 80.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 52.2 | 14.3 | \#302.5 | 18.4 | 44.6 | 91.0 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) | 461.7 |  | 324.0 |  |  | 457.6 |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) |  | 150.0 |  | 300.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 900 | 477 | 2747 | 1251 | 257 | 3467 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.18 | 0.98 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.54 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 6 | 426 | 5 | 146 | 3 | 4007 | 733 | 120 | 2219 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 1.45 | 0.78 | 0.54 | 0.68 |
| Control Delay | 52.3 | 60.1 | 40.8 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 232.3 | 25.9 | 30.7 | 18.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.3 | 60.1 | 40.8 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 232.3 | 25.9 | 30.7 | 18.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.1 | 58.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | $\sim 559.9$ | 121.9 | 13.2 | 120.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 6.0 | 76.5 | 4.9 | 18.1 | 1.8 | \#606.4 | \#208.4 | \#62.9 | 230.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 27.8 |  | 347.9 |  |  | 418.5 |  |  | 530.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  | 65.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 109 | 612 | 432 | 465 | 160 | 2762 | 936 | 223 | 3242 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 1.45 | 0.78 | 0.54 | 0.68 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


[^0]:    1 The Halton/Peel Freeway and the north-south connection are now part of the GTA West Transportation Corridor pursuant to the GTA West EA Study TDS released in November 2012.

[^1]:    2 Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12, Ministry of Transportation of Ontario, July 2001

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ MTO Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways, Chapter E, Queens Printer for Ontario, 1981

[^3]:    4 OTM Book 11 - Pavement, Hazard and Delineation Markings, March 2000, Section 2.1.
    5 OTM Book 12 - Traffic Signals, March 2012, Section 4.

[^4]:    A - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME. Total venicle volume on all approaches for average day
    B - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME: Total vehicle volume on minor streets
    A - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC: Total vehicle volume on major street for average day
    2B - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC: Total vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing major street: comprising: (1) lefts from both minor street, (2) heaviest through from minor street, (3) $50 \%$ of heavier left turn from major street when following criteria met: (a)

[^5]:    AA - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME: Total vehicle volume on all approaches for average day
    1B - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME: Total vehicle volume on minor streets
    B - DELAY TO CROSS TRAFFIC: Total vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing major street: comprising: (1) lefts from both minor street, (2) heaviest through from minor street, (3) $50 \%$ of heavier left turn from major street when following criteria met: (a)

