MA-04-1



LEGISLATIVE SERVICES				
COPY TO:		FOR:		
Chair	V	2	Committee	Γ
CAO	~			L
Corporate Services	٧		Council	Γ
Public Works			ADVILLS	Γ
Employee and Susiness Services				Γ
Hesith Services			File 4	Γ
Human Bervicas				I
Peel Living				

March 30, 2010

Sent via email

SEE DISTRIBUTION LIST

At Authority Meeting #1/10, of Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), held on February 26, 2010 the attached correspondence from Jerry Ouellette, MPP, and response from Dick Hibma, Chair, Conservation Ontario, was received.

The Authority directed TRCA staff to forward the correspondence to TRCA's municipal partners for your information and any action deemed necessary. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the undersigned at 416-661-6600 extension 5264 (kstranks@trca.on.ca).

Sincerely	
Kathy	Sharke)

Kathy Stranks

Manager, Chair and CAO's Office

/Encl.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Jeffrey Abrams, City Clerk, City of Vaughan

Martin de Rond, Clerk, Town of Ajax

Peter Fay, City Clerk, Clerk's Department, City of Brampton

Crystal Greer, Clerk, Clerk's Department, City of Mississauga

Barb Kane, Clerk and Deputy Treasurer, Township of Adjala-Tosorontio

Denis Kelly, Regional Clerk, Corporate Services, Regional Municipality of York (Sent via mail)

Michele Kennedy, Clerk, Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville

Kimberley Kitteringham, Town Clerk, Town of Markham

Karen Landry, Town Clerk, Administration, Town of Caledon

John Leach, Town Clerk, Corporate Services Department, Town of Aurora

Debbie Leroux, Clerk, Township of Uxbridge

Patricia Madill, Regional Clerk, Regional Municipality of Durham

Donna McLarty, Clerk, Town of Richmond Hill

Keith McNenly, Chief Administrative Officer/Clerk-Treasurer, Town of Mono

Carol Reid, Regional Clerk and Director of Clerks, Regional Municipality of Peel

Debbie Shields, Acting City Clerk, City of Pickering

Chris Somerville, Clerk, Township of King

Ulli S. Watkiss, City Clerk, City Manager's Office, City of Toronto

REFERRAL TO	
RECOMMENDED	
DIRECTION REQUIRED	
RECEIPT RECOMMEND	ED V

CORRESPONDENCE AUTH6.1



JERRY J. OUELLETTE, M.P.P.

February 8, 2010

Gerri Lynn O'Connor
Chair
Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
5 Shoreham Drive
Downsview ON M3N 1S4

RECEIVED
FEB 1 0 2010

CAO'S OFFICE

Queen's Park Office: Rm. 428 Legislative Building Toronto, Ontario M7A 1A8

Constituency Office: 170 Alhol St. E. Oshawa, Ontario 11H 1K1

Tel. (416) 325-2147 Fax (416) 325-2169 Tel. (905) 723-2411 Fax (905) 723-1054

E-mail: Jerry.ouellette@pc.bla.org Website: www.oshawampp.com

Dear Gerri Lynn

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you and all the Conservation Authorities in Ontario for your valuable work and dedication to ensuring the successful management of watershed-based ecosystem resources, services and land-use.

I understand the importance of consulting with the public and gathering expertise from various organizations and professionals to achieve a balance of the ecological and social impacts that reflect the concerns of all resource users. As former Minister of Natural Resources, I also recognize the challenges of balancing resource user groups in a fair and transparent manner and utilizing the best available science to develop effective land-use policies.

In order to move forward with the most effective land use strategies, we need to ensure that the legislation and regulations governing conservation authorities is the most up-to-date, streamlined and practical as possible. In addition, there needs to be a clear, transparent and decisive protocol to follow.

In an effort to continuously improve and uphold the best practices of our Conservation Authorities, I would appreciate your informed feedback and comments concerning legislation, regulations and the organizational responsibilities of the Conservation Authorities, including the good aspects of the organizations as well as what needs to be changed and how these changes need to implemented.

Thank you in advance for your careful consideration and valuable input

Jullit

Yours Sincerely,

Jerry J. Quellette, MPP Oshawa

Official Opposition Critic, Natural Resources



February 17, 2010

Jerry J. Oullette, MPP Oshawa Official Opposition Critic, Natural Resources Room 428, Queen's Park Legislative Building Toronto, Ontario M7A 1A8

Dear Mr. Quellette:

As you know, Conservation Ontario works on behalf of Ontario's 36 Conservation Authorities. Our CA members have shared your recent letter to them with us and we are responding on their behalf, although we note that some may choose to provide additional comments. We know that you have written to municipal officials as well and expect that those who choose to will respond directly to your inquiry.

Let me begin by thanking you for acknowledging the valuable work done by Conservation Authorities in ensuring the successful management of watershed-based ecosystem resources, services and land-use. Conservation Authorities play a critical role in developing the science which underpins our understanding of the complex interactions of the physical components of the watershed – the land, the water and the living organisms.

Conservation Authorities play a singular role in flood protection and the Province relies heavily on CAs to ensure public safety in relation to natural hazards, making them a critical part of land use planning and development. Our study on the *Business Case for Investing in Flood Prevention and Control* shows that through the public investment of \$2.7 billion in infrastructure and through ongoing regulation of flood prone and hazardous areas, Conservation Authority flood plain management programs, including regulation, save the taxpayers in excess of \$100 million annually.

P.O. Box 11, 120 Bayview Parkway Newmarket Ontario L3Y 4W3
Tel: (905) 895-0716 Fax: (905) 895-0751 Email: info@conservationontario.ca

1

MA-04-4

The expertise that CAs bring to the table is a vital component in the development of source protection plans across the Province. Adapting to Climate Change in Ontario, a recent report by the Expert Panel on Climate Change, outlines in clear detail the threats to water quality and supply, the potential for increased flooding and erosion due to more frequent extreme weather events, as well as increased drought, and degraded biodiversity. These findings make very clear that Conservation Authorities are – and will continue to be — essential to the protection of Ontario's water and land resources.

As Section 20 of the Conservation Authorities Act states: "The objects of an authority are to establish and undertake, in the area over which it has jurisdiction, a program designed to further the conservation, restoration, development and management of natural resources other than gas, oil, coal and minerals." Conservation Authorities take this mandate very seriously.

Most importantly, it allows a Conservation Authority to develop a program that responds to the unique conditions and pressures within a particular watershed. This is critical to the successful management that you noted.

Conservation Authorities use a variety of tools to meet their mandate. These include education, stewardship, the provision of science-based advice to municipalities as part of the land use planning process, and the regulation of flood plains, hazardous areas, and wetlands that are key to the function of watershed hydrology.

More than 144,000 hectares of forests, wetlands, flood plains and other environmentally significant lands have been acquired by CAs, providing protection and public access for education and recreation, currently serving more than 5 million visitors annually, contributing greatly to Ontarian's quality of life and economic well being.

As we enter the second decade of this millennium, with greater awareness than ever of the linkages between a healthy environment, a healthy economy and a healthy society, it is ironic yet not surprising that the art of balancing the public interest with individual interests continues to be a focus of discussion and in some cases, outright conflict. How best to manage these apparently competing interests is a challenge for all of society. There should be no debate about the value of this process to our quality of life. But who pays for a healthy environment and how environmental programs should be funded, remains at issue. There is tension from user and permit fees, municipal levies, and provincial funding sources. Despite realizing the benefits, no party wishes to bear more of the costs, particularly in difficult economic times.

Your questions are relevant and timely concerning legislation, regulations and organizational responsibilities of Conservation Authorities. As you know, the Ministry of Natural Resources recently posted on the EBR, a draft of the proposed "Policies and Procedures for Conservation Authority Plan Review and Permitting Activities" (Posted Nov25/09 EBR #010-8243).

This proposed policy resulted from a two year multi-stakeholder consultation involving representatives of the Province, municipalities, the development industry, ENGOs and Conservation Authorities. The consultation was a response to criticism by the development industry that Conservation Authorities were extending their influence beyond their mandate. The

MA-D4-5

expectation of the participants is that this policy, if approved will provide necessary guidance, rationale and timelines to improve the parties understanding of roles and the process and provide improved transparency and accountability.

It should be noted that the ENGO community position in the review was that Conservation Authorities needed a stronger mandate to protect the environment; this was ultimately reflected in passage of the *Lake Simcoe Protection Act*. Indeed, this position is shared by a number of municipalities.

Conservation Authorities are established under the *Conservation Authorities Act* by a resolution of the majority of municipalities within a watershed. The *Act* has been changed only slightly over its 60 year history, and is still considered a visionary piece of legislation. Conservation Ontario has identified a number of amendments to the *Act* which would bring certain aspects into better alignment with, for example, the municipal election cycle; the ability of staff to issue permits; and a consistent limitation for laying charges under the Section 28 regulations.

As you know, the *Act* was amended in 1996 to eliminate the appointment of Provincial representatives on CA Boards, a move that was seen as being consistent with the diminished level of Provincial financial support which took place at that time. As noted above, tensions continue and stakeholders' positions range from wholesale "dissolution" of Authorities to severely curtailing the role and mandate, to broadening their scope and jurisdiction.

I want to be clear that Conservation Authorities are quite open to any dialogue with the Province, municipalities and other stakeholders which would improve the effectiveness and efficiency of watershed and natural resources management; indeed, we have consistently pursued such discussions over the years. We submit that improvements to the current system can, and should be made in the interest of increasing efficient, effective, transparent and accountable management of our water and natural heritage.

We would be happy to speak in more detail about any specific issues which you may wish to discuss.

Yours truly,

Dick Hibma,

Chair, Conservation Ontario