

## **Draft and Final Modifications to Peel’s Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 24 (Growth Management, Employment Areas and Greenbelt)**

**Legend:**

“abc”: adopted policy wording

“abc”: new wording agreed to as a result of modifications

“abc”: Greenbelt Plan definitions

“abc”: added Greenbelt Plan definitions

“~~abc~~”: deletions of adopted policy wording from modifications

Note: The “final policy with modifications” reflect the agreed upon policy between the Region, area municipalities and the Province.

<b>Mod ifica tion #</b>	<b>Ame ndm ent #</b>	<b>ROP Secti on #</b>	<b>Adopted Policy</b>	<b>Draft MMAH Modification</b>	<b>Final Policy with Modifications</b>
	Non-Decision 1	Table 3		A non-decision is being made on the population and employment forecasts for the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon presented in Table 3: Population, Household and Employment Forecasts for Peel.	A land budget has been prepared to explain the population, household and employment forecasts.  A revised Table 3 is being included in ROPA 24.
	Non-Decision 2	Figure 15		A non-decision is being made on Figure 15 - Regional Employment Land Budget 2006 – 2031.	Delete Figure 15 from ROPA 24.
1	1	1.2	The <i>Region of Peel</i> Official Plan applies to the combined areas of the City of Brampton, the City of Mississauga, and the Town of Caledon within the municipal boundaries as they existed on December 31, 2009. In portions of <i>Peel</i> , such as the areas covered by the Growth Plan, the Niagara Escarpment Plan, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, the Greenbelt Plan, and the <i>Parkway Belt West Plan</i> , specific provincial plans are in effect. In the event of a conflict, these provincial plans take precedence over this Plan (see	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. replacing “December 31, 2009” with “January 1, 2010”;</li> <li>b. inserting “<i>Provincial Policy Statement, 2005</i>, Lake Simcoe Protection Plan,” after “areas covered by the”;</li> <li>c. inserting “for the Greater Golden Horseshoe” after “Growth Plan”; and</li> <li>d. inserting “and policies” after “a conflict, these provincial plans.”</li> </ul>	<b>Section 1.2:</b> “The <i>Region of Peel</i> Official Plan applies to the combined areas of the City of Brampton, the City of Mississauga, and the Town of Caledon <del>within the municipal boundaries as they existed on December 31, 2009</del> . In portions of <i>Peel</i> , such as the areas covered by the <u>Lake Simcoe Protection Plan</u> , Growth Plan <u>for the Greater Golden Horseshoe</u> , the Niagara Escarpment Plan, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, the Greenbelt Plan, and the <i>Parkway Belt West Plan</i> , specific provincial plans are in effect. In the event of a conflict, these provincial plans <u>and policies</u> take precedence over this Plan (see Figure 2 in the Appendix).”

**Without Prejudice**

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			Figure 2 in the Appendix).		
2	1	1.2	<p>The <i>Region of Peel</i> Official Plan applies to the combined areas of the City of Brampton, the City of Mississauga, and the Town of Caledon within the municipal boundaries as they existed on December 31, 2009. In portions of <i>Peel</i>, such as the areas covered by the Growth Plan, the Niagara Escarpment Plan, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, the Greenbelt Plan, and the <i>Parkway Belt West Plan</i>, specific provincial plans are in effect. In the event of a conflict, these provincial plans take precedence over this Plan (see Figure 2 in the Appendix).</p>	<p><b>Section 1.2</b> is modified by inserting “Peel Region recognizes that the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan, prepared in accordance with the <i>Lake Simcoe Protection Act, 2008</i>, came into effect on June 2, 2010. The Lake Simcoe Protection Plan is a watershed-based plan that provides a roadmap to help restore and protect the health of Lake Simcoe. Peel Region shall review the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan and incorporate policies to enable its implementation through a future Official Plan Amendment.” at the end of Section 1.2.</p>	<p><b>Section 1.2:</b>            “The <i>Region of Peel</i> Official Plan applies to the combined areas of the City of Brampton, the City of Mississauga, and the Town of Caledon <del>within the municipal boundaries as they existed on December 31, 2009</del>. In portions of <i>Peel</i>, such as the areas covered by the <u>Lake Simcoe Protection Plan</u>, Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, the Niagara Escarpment Plan, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, the Greenbelt Plan, and the <i>Parkway Belt West Plan</i>, specific provincial plans are in effect. In the event of a conflict, these provincial plans <u>and policies</u> take precedence over this Plan (see Figure 2 in the Appendix).”</p> <p><u>Peel Region recognizes that the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan, prepared in accordance with the <i>Lake Simcoe Protection Act, 2008</i>, came into effect on June 2, 2010. The Lake Simcoe Protection Plan is a watershed-based plan that provides a roadmap to help restore and protect the health of Lake Simcoe. Peel Region shall review the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan and incorporate policies to enable its implementation through a future Official Plan Amendment.</u>”</p> <p><b>Subsequent modification to Section 7.9.2.14:</b></p> <p>“Undertake <del>a comprehensive review</del> periodic reviews of this Plan as required by the <del>Planning Act</del> in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) ensure that policies remain realistic and responsive to changing environmental, social, economic, financial, technological and political circumstances;</li> <li>b) make policy adjustments to ensure that objectives and policies in this Plan are being met; and</li> <li>c) <u>ensure the Plan conforms with any provincial plans that are in effect, has regard to matters of provincial interest, and is consistent with provincial policy statements.</u>” </li></ul>
3	3	2.1.1	<p>One of the appropriate frameworks for these efforts is the establishment of a set of environmental policies in this Plan, to be supported and</p>	<p><b>Section 2.1.1</b> is modified by inserting “, the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan, the Parkway Belt West Plan,” after the words “the Greenbelt Plan.”</p>	<p><b>Section 2.1.1:</b>            “One of the appropriate frameworks for these efforts is the establishment of a set of environmental policies in this Plan, to be supported and supplemented by environmental policies in the three <i>area municipal official plans</i>, the Greenbelt Plan, <u>the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan and the Parkway Belt West Plan.</u>”</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			supplemented by environmental policies in the three <i>area municipal official plans</i> , the Greenbelt Plan.		
4	6	2.2.10	The Greenbelt Plan sets out three geographic specific policies that apply within the Protected Countryside: the Agricultural System, the Natural System and Settlement Areas. Each of these areas has its own set of geographic specific policies as well as general policies that apply. Within <i>Peel</i> , the Agricultural System includes prime agricultural and rural areas. The Natural System identifies lands that support both natural heritage and hydrologic features and functions. Both systems maintain connections to the broader agricultural and natural systems of southern Ontario. The settlement areas, which are identified as Villages and Hamlets, vary in size, diversity and intensity of uses, and are found throughout the Protected Countryside. While providing permanent agricultural and environmental protection, the Greenbelt also contains important natural resources and supports a wide range of recreational and tourism uses, areas and opportunities.	<b>Paragraph 2 of Section 2.2.10</b> is modified by inserting “that are mapped on Schedules B and D” after “agricultural and rural areas.”	<b>Paragraph 2 of Section 2.2.10:</b> “The Greenbelt Plan sets out three geographic specific policies that apply within the Protected Countryside: the Agricultural System, the Natural System and Settlement Areas. Each of these areas has its own set of geographic specific policies as well as general policies that apply. Within <del>Peel/Peel</del> , the Agricultural System <del>includes prime agricultural and rural areas</del> <u>comprises prime agricultural areas, which are shown on Schedule B, and rural areas, which are designated in the area municipal official plans.</u> The Natural System identifies lands that support both natural heritage and hydrologic features and functions. Both systems maintain connections to the broader agricultural and natural systems of southern Ontario. The settlement areas, which are identified as Villages and Hamlets, vary in size, diversity and intensity of uses, and are found throughout the Protected Countryside. While providing permanent agricultural and environmental protection, the Greenbelt also contains important natural resources and supports a wide range of recreational and tourism uses, areas and opportunities.”
5	6	2.2.10	<b>Adding new paragraph after the following paragraph:</b>	<b>Section 2.2.10</b> is modified by inserting the following paragraph after the fifth paragraph: “Within the Parkway Belt West Plan Area, the	No modification

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			<p>Within the Niagara Escarpment Plan Area, the requirements of the Niagara Escarpment Plan, established under the Niagara Escarpment Planning and Development Act, continue to apply and the Greenbelt Plan policies do not apply with the exception of the policies related to parkland, open space and trails.</p>	<p>requirements of the Parkway Belt West Plan, established under the <i>Ontario Planning and Development Act, 1994</i> continue to apply and the Protected Countryside policies do not apply with the exception of Sections 3.2 and 3.3.”</p>	
6	6	2.2.10	<p>Municipal official plans and zoning bylaws must conform to the Greenbelt Plan. The policies must be read in conjunction with the detailed provisions of the Greenbelt Plan, all other applicable policies of this Plan, and the <i>area municipal official plans</i>. These policies work collectively to manage and guide land use within the Greenbelt. Where more specific provincial plans or regulations apply within the Greenbelt, the more specific plan or regulation prevails. Section 9 of the Greenbelt Act, 2005 allows municipalities to refine Greenbelt Plan policies to Regional and local circumstances. Further, it allows municipalities to be more restrictive than the Greenbelt Plan except with respect to agricultural uses, mineral aggregate operations, and wayside pits and quarries. Where a municipality has undertaken a comprehensive aggregate resource management</p>	<p><b>Paragraph 8 (should be paragraph 7) of Section 2.2.10</b> is modified by inserting “the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan, the Growth Plan, the <i>Provincial Policy Statement, 2005</i>,” after “provisions of the Greenbelt Plan,”.</p>	<p><b>Paragraph 7 of Section 2.2.10:</b> No modification</p> <p><b>Subsequent modification to Section 7.2.2.6:</b> “When interpreting the application of policy, the Regional Official Plan shall be read in its entirety, together with the policies of Provincial Plans that are in effect, including the Growth Plan, Niagara Escarpment Plan, Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, Greenbelt Plan, <u>Lake Simcoe Protection Plan</u> and Parkway Belt West Plan, and the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS). The Regional Official Plan has been amended to conform with the Growth Plan, Niagara Escarpment Plan, Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, Greenbelt Plan, and Parkway Belt West Plan and to be consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement. The Regional Official Plan implements provincial policy and may be more restrictive than the Provincial Plans and PPS, unless otherwise stated in the Provincial Plans or PPS. In the event of conflict, the Provincial Plans take precedence over the Regional Official Plan.”</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			study and has implemented the results into its official plan, such policies shall be deemed to conform to the Greenbelt Plan. A comprehensive aggregate resource management study has been completed by the Region and the Town of Caledon, and the recommended policy approaches have been incorporated into the Town of Caledon Official Plan.		
7	6	2.2.10	Same policy as above	<b>Paragraph 8 (should be paragraph 7) of Section 2.2.10</b> is modified by inserting “at the time of municipal conformity” after “and local circumstances” and “prior to December 16, 2004,” after “results into its official plan,”.	<b>Paragraph 7 of Section 2.2.10:</b> “Municipal official plans and zoning bylaws must conform to the Greenbelt Plan. The policies must be read in conjunction with the detailed provisions of the Greenbelt Plan, all other applicable policies of this Plan, and the <i>area municipal official plans</i> . These policies work collectively to manage and guide land use within the Greenbelt. Where more specific provincial plans or regulations apply within the Greenbelt, the more specific plan or regulation prevails. Section 9 of the Greenbelt Act, 2005 allows municipalities to refine Greenbelt Plan policies to Regional and local circumstances. Further, it allows municipalities to be more restrictive than the Greenbelt Plan except with respect to agricultural uses, mineral aggregate operations, and wayside pits and quarries. Where a municipality has undertaken a comprehensive aggregate resource management study and has implemented the results into its official plan prior to December 16, 2004, such policies shall be deemed to conform to the Greenbelt Plan. A comprehensive aggregate resource management study has been completed by the Region and the Town of Caledon, and the recommended policy approaches have been incorporated into the Town of Caledon Official Plan.”
8	6	2.2.10	A significant rural population resides in the Caledon portion of the Greenbelt Plan Protected Countryside. Together with the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon Official Plans and zoning by-laws, it is the intention of this Plan to recognize and protect the continuing presence of existing lawful uses, while considering their impacts on the long-term ecological integrity of the Natural	<b>Paragraph 9 (should be paragraph 8) of Section 2.2.10</b> is modified by replacing “considering” with “minimizing.”	Paragraph 8, Section 2.2.10 <del>“A significant rural population resides in the Caledon portion of the Greenbelt Plan Protected Countryside. Together with the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon Official Plans and zoning by-laws, it is the intention of this Plan to recognize and protect the continuing presence of existing lawful uses, while considering their impacts on the long-term ecological integrity of the Natural System of the Greenbelt Plan Area.”</del>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			System of the Greenbelt Plan Area.		
9	6	2.2.10	Applications, matters or proceedings that commenced on or after December 16, 2004 related to areas designated as Protected Countryside must conform to the Greenbelt Plan except as may be otherwise prescribed.	<b>Paragraph 10 of Section 2.2.10</b> is modified by inserting “by regulation or established through policies in the Greenbelt Plan,” after “may be otherwise prescribed.”	<b>Paragraph 10 of Section 2.2.10:</b> “Applications, matters or proceedings that commenced on or after December 16, 2004 related to areas designated as Protected Countryside must conform to the Greenbelt Plan except as may be otherwise prescribed <u>by regulation or established through policies in the Greenbelt Plan.</u> ”
10	6	2.2.10 .1	To implement the Greenbelt Plan by enhancing the urban and <u>rural areas</u> and overall quality of life within the Protected Countryside through: agriculture and environmental protection; conservation and protection of <u>cultural heritage resources</u> ; promotion of recreation and tourism opportunities; policies and programs that support the continued vitality of <u>settlement areas</u> ; maintenance and provision of <u>infrastructure</u> ; and the wise use and management of renewable natural resources.	<b>Section 2.2.10.1</b> is modified by replacing and “ <u>rural areas</u> ” with “rural and agricultural areas.”	<b>Section 2.2.10.1:</b> “To implement the Greenbelt Plan by enhancing the urban, <u>prime agricultural</u> and <u>rural areas</u> and overall quality of life within the Protected Countryside through: agriculture and environmental protection; conservation and protection of <u>cultural heritage resources</u> ; promotion of recreation and tourism opportunities; policies and programs that support the continued vitality of <u>settlement areas</u> ; maintenance and provision of <u>infrastructure</u> ; and the wise use and management of renewable natural resources.”
11	6	2.2.10 .3.4	Recognize that within the Greenbelt Plan Area all applications, matters or proceedings as defined under the Greenbelt Act, 2005, commenced on or after December 16, 2004 are required to conform to the provisions of the Greenbelt Plan.	<b>Section 2.2.10.3.4</b> is modified by replacing “as defined under the <i>Greenbelt Act, 2005</i> ,” with “made under the <i>Ontario Planning and Development Act, 1994</i> , the <i>Planning Act</i> , and the <i>Condominium Act, 1998</i> which were.”	<b>Section 2.2.10.3.4:</b> “Recognize that within the Greenbelt Plan Area all applications, matters or proceedings <del>as defined under the <i>Greenbelt Act, 2005</i></del> , made under the <i>Ontario Planning and Development Act, 1994</i> , the <i>Planning Act</i> , and the <i>Condominium Act, 1998</i> which were commenced on or after December 16, 2004 are required to conform to the provisions of the Greenbelt Plan.”
12	6	2.2.10 .3.6	Recognize the boundary of the Greenbelt Plan Area in <i>Peel</i> as shown on Schedule D3.	<b>Section 2.2.10.3.6</b> is modified by inserting “, as defined by Ontario Regulation 59/05,” after “Greenbelt Plan Area.”	<b>Section 2.2.10.3.6:</b> “Recognize the boundary of the Greenbelt Plan Area, <u>as defined by Ontario Regulation 59/05</u> , in <i>Peel</i> as shown on Schedule D3.”
13	6	2.2.10	The Agricultural System	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting “which	<b>Section 2.2.10.4:</b>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
		.4	comprises <i>prime agricultural areas</i> , and <i>rural areas</i> . In addition to the policies of Section 3.2 of this Plan the following specific policies of the Greenbelt Plan apply to the Protected Countryside:	are mapped on Schedules B and D respectively.” after “ <i>prime agricultural areas</i> , and <i>rural areas</i> .”	“The Agricultural System comprises <i>prime agricultural areas</i> , which are shown on Schedule B, and <i>rural areas</i> , which are designated in the area municipal official plans. In addition to the policies of Section 3.2 of this Plan, the following specific policies of the Greenbelt Plan apply to the Protected Countryside:”
14	6	2.2.10.4	New section inserted and subsequent sections renumbered accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.2</b> which reads “Other uses may be permitted subject to the general policies of Sections 4.2 to 4.6 of the Greenbelt Plan.” after Section 2.2.10.4.1. Renumber subsequent sections accordingly.	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.2:</b> “Other uses may be permitted subject to the general policies of 2.2.10.5.8 to 2.2.10.5.27.”  <b>New Section 2.2.10.4.10:</b> “Other uses may be permitted within <i>rural areas</i> in accordance with section 2.2.10.5 of this Plan.”
15	6	2.2.10.4.2 (2.2.10.4.3)	Prohibit the redesignation of <i>prime agricultural areas</i> for non-agricultural uses except for: a) refinements to the <i>prime agricultural</i> and <i>rural area</i> designations, subject to the criteria identified in the municipal implementation policies of Section 5.3 of the Greenbelt Plan; or b) <i>settlement area</i> expansions subject to the <i>settlement area</i> policies of Section 2.2.10.4 of this Plan and Section 3.4 of the Greenbelt Plan.	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.3</b> is modified by adding “at the time of conformity” after “ <i>prime agricultural</i> and <i>rural area</i> designations.”	<b>Policy 2.2.10.4.3:</b> deleted  <b>Revised Section 2.2.10.4.3 a):</b> “Prohibit the redesignation of <i>prime agricultural areas</i> for non-agricultural uses except for:  a) <u>minor</u> refinements to the <i>prime agricultural</i> and <i>rural areas</i> designations, <u>the rationalization of which shall be based on the Land Evaluation and Area Review (LEAR) to be completed by the Region in accordance with policy 7.6.2.17 of this Plan and implemented</u> subject to the criteria identified in the municipal implementation policies of Section 5.3 of the Greenbelt Plan; or”
16	-(6)	2.2.10.4.3	Consider the need for further review and refinement of <i>prime agricultural areas</i> through the completion of a Land Evaluation and Area Review in accordance with policy 7.6.2.17 of this Plan.	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.3</b> is deleted in its entirety. Renumber subsequent sections accordingly.	<b>Adopted Section 2.2.10.4.3:</b> deleted.
17	-(6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.4</b> which reads “New land uses and new or expanding livestock facilities shall comply with the	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.4:</b> “ <u>Direct the Town of Caledon to include policies in its official plan with respect to</u>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
				minimum distance separation formulae.” after Section 2.2.10.4.3. Renumber subsequent sections accordingly.	compliance with the minimum distance separation formulae for uses within the <u>prime agricultural areas</u> of the Protected Countryside.”
18	6	2.2.10.4.6  (2.2.10.4.7)	Direct the Town of Caledon to permit within the Protected Countryside <u>settlement area</u> expansions into <u>rural areas</u> , subject to the <u>settlement area</u> policies of the Greenbelt Plan and <u>settlement area</u> policies 2.2.10.4.21 to 2.2.10.4.26 of this Plan.	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.7</b> is modified by inserting “recognizing that an amendment to the Greenbelt Plan will be required before an expansion may be permitted” after “2.2.10.4.37 of this Plan” and replacing “policies 2.2.10.4.21 to 2.2.10.4.26” with “policies 2.2.10.4.31 to 2.2.10.4.36.”	<b>Re-numbered Section 2.2.10.4.7:</b>  “Direct the Town of Caledon to permit within the Protected Countryside <u>settlement area</u> expansions into <u>rural areas</u> , subject to the <u>settlement area</u> policies of the Greenbelt Plan and <u>settlement area</u> policies <del>2.2.10.4.21 to 2.2.10.4.26</del> 2.2.10.4.31 to 2.2.10.4.36 of this Plan.”
19	- (6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> , is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.9</b> which reads “New multiple units or multiple lots for residential dwellings shall not be permitted in rural areas.” after renumbered Section 2.2.10.4.8. Renumber subsequent policies accordingly.	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.9:</b> <i>“New multiple units or multiple lots for residential dwellings shall not be permitted in <u>rural areas</u>.”</i>
20	- (6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> , is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.10</b> which reads “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to identify key natural heritage features and key hydrologic features in their Official Plans.” after renumbered Section 2.2.10.4.9. Renumber subsequent policies accordingly.	Addressed in <b>New Section 2.2.10.4.24</b> .
21	6	2.2.10.4.10  (2.2.10.4.13)	Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans for <u>development</u> and <u>site alteration</u> in the Natural Heritage System to ensure that <u>key natural heritage features</u> , <u>key hydrologic features</u> and other natural features are evaluated and protected in accordance with the Greenbelt Plan. These policies should also include requirements for	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.13</b> is modified by: a. replacing “to include policies in their official plans” with “in developing official plan policies”; b. inserting “and” after “ <u>key natural heritage features</u> ”; and c. replacing “and other natural features” with “or their functions.”	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.13:</b> “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans for <u>development</u> and <u>site alteration</u> in the Natural Heritage System to ensure that <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> and their functions, and other natural features, are evaluated...”

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			natural heritage and hydrological evaluations and address how connectivity between <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> is to be maintained, or where possible enhanced.		
22	- (6)	2.2.1 0.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.14</b> which reads “New buildings or structures for agriculture, agricultural-related and secondary uses are subject to the Greenbelt policies related to key natural heritage features and key hydrologic features policies rather than policies related to the Natural Heritage System.” after renumbered Section 2.2.10.4.13.	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.15:</b> “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans to indicate that new buildings or structures for agriculture-related and secondary uses are subject to policies 2.2.10.4.21 to 2.2.10.4.26 related to <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> and the existing use policies of 2.2.10.5.26.”
23	- (6)	2.2.10 .4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.15</b> after the policy proposed above and renumber subsequent sections accordingly: New development or site alteration in the Natural Heritage System shall demonstrate that: a) there will be no negative effects on key natural heritage features or key hydrologic features or their functions; b) connectivity between key natural heritage features and key hydrologic features is maintained, or where possible, enhanced for the movement of native plants and animals across the landscape; c) the removal of other natural features not identified as key natural heritage features and key hydrologic features should be avoided; and d) the disturbed area of any site does not exceed 25 percent, and the impervious surface does not exceed 10 percent, of the total developable area except for recreational uses and non-renewable resources as identified in the Greenbelt Plan. For golf courses, the disturbed area shall not exceed 40 percent of the site.	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.16:</b> “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans to indicate that new <u>development</u> or <u>site alteration</u> in the Natural Heritage System shall demonstrate that:  a) there will be no negative effects on <u>key natural heritage features</u> or <u>key hydrologic features</u> or their functions;  b) connectivity between <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> is maintained, or where possible, enhanced for the movement of native plants and animals across the landscape;  c) the removal of other natural features not identified as <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> should be avoided; and  d) the disturbed area of any site does not exceed 25 percent, and the impervious surface does not exceed 10 percent, of the <u>total developable area</u> except for recreational uses and non-renewable resources as identified in the Greenbelt Plan. For golf courses, the disturbed area shall not exceed 40 percent of the site.”

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
24	- (6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.16</b> which reads “Prohibit an urban use in the Natural Heritage System which is planned to serve an adjacent urban use.” after the policy proposed above.</p> <p>The Province has also proposed alternative wording for consideration by the Region which would clarify that only uses that are planned as part of a settlement area are prohibited. The alternate wording is as follows:</p> <p>In keeping with Section 2.2.10.4.31 of this Plan, where the Natural Heritage System is adjacent to a settlement area, prohibit uses planned as part of the settlement area, including, but not limited to, schools, parks and ancillary uses, within the Natural Heritage System.”</p>	<p><b>New Section 2.2.10.4.17:</b>  <u>“Parkland dedication and school sites required as a condition of approval for development within an urban settlement area shall not be permitted within the Natural Heritage System of the Greenbelt Plan.”</u></p>
25	- (6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.18</b> which reads “Take a comprehensive, integrated and long-term approach to the protection, improvement and restoration of the quality and quantity of water through a systems approach.” before renumbered Section 2.2.10.4.19.</p>	<p><b>New Section 2.2.10.4.18:</b>  <u>“Take a comprehensive, integrated and long-term approach to the protection, improvement and restoration of the quality and quantity of water through a systems approach.”</u></p>
26	6	2.2.10.4.12 (2.2.10.4.19)	Promote watershed planning to guide planning and development decisions within the Protected Countryside in accordance with Section 2.2.4 of this Plan and requirements of the Greenbelt Plan.	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.4.19</b> is modified by replacing “Promote watershed planning to” with “Ensure watershed plans.”</p>	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.4.19:</b>  <del>Promote</del><u>Undertake</u> watershed planning to guide planning and development decisions...”</p>
27	6	2.2.10.4.13 (2.2.10.4.20)	Protect <u>vulnerable</u> surface and ground water areas from development that may adversely affect the quality and quantity of	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.4.20</b> is modified by inserting “, such as wellhead protection areas,” after “and ground water areas.”</p>	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.4.20:</b>  “Protect <u>vulnerable</u> surface and ground water areas, <u>such as wellhead protection areas</u>, from development that may adversely affect the quality and quantity of ground and surface water in accordance with provincial policy and the requirements of this Plan.”</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			ground and surface water in accordance with provincial policy and the requirements of this Plan.		
28	6	2.2.10.4.17 (2.2.10.4.24)	Direct the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon to identify <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> in accordance with criteria established by the Province where such identification is technically feasible, unless municipal criteria achieve the same objective in which case the municipal criteria will apply.	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.24</b> is modified by deleting “where such identification is technically feasible, unless municipal criteria achieve the same objective in which case the municipal criteria will apply” after “criteria established by the Province.”	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.24:</b> “Direct the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon to identify <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> in their official plans, in accordance with approved criteria established by the Province where such identification is technically feasible <del>unless municipal criteria achieve the same objective in which case the municipal criteria will apply.</del> The policies and criteria for the identification of Core Areas of the Greenlands System also apply in conjunction with provincial criteria provided that they achieve or exceed provincial objectives. Within the Greenbelt Plan Area, provincial criteria only apply to the identification of <u>key natural heritage features</u> within the Natural Heritage System and to <u>key hydrologic features</u> throughout the Protected Countryside, except within settlement areas. Within <u>settlement areas</u> in the Protected Countryside <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> shall be identified in accordance with municipal criteria. In the absence of approved provincial criteria municipal criteria will apply.”
29	6	2.2.10.4.18 (2.2.10.4.25)	Direct the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon to include <u>development</u> and <u>site alteration</u> policies for <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> in their official plans in accordance with the policies of this Plan, and in particular Section 2.3.2.5, including policies for any associated <u>vegetation protection zone</u> and requirements for natural heritage evaluation and hydrological evaluation, as detailed in the Greenbelt Plan.	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.25</b> is modified by replacing “Section 2.3.2.5” with “Sections 3.2.4.4 and 3.2.4.5 of the Greenbelt Plan and Section 2.3.2.5 of this Plan.”	No modification.
30	- (6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> , is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.26</b> which reads “New buildings and structures for agricultural uses will be required to provide a 30 metre vegetation protection zone from a key natural heritage feature or key hydrologic feature in accordance with the Greenbelt Plan.”	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.26:</b> “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans to indicate that new buildings and structures for agricultural uses will be required to provide a 30 metre wide vegetation protection zone from a <u>key natural heritage feature</u> or <u>key hydrologic feature</u> , but may be exempted from the requirement of establishing a condition of <u>natural self-sustaining vegetation</u> if the land is, and will continue to be, used

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
				after renumbered Section 2.2.10.4.25. Renumber subsequent sections accordingly	for agricultural purposes. This policy applies to buildings and structures associated with new uses that require approval under the <i>Planning Act</i> . Existing uses are subject to the existing use policies of Section 2.3.2.5. Agricultural uses should pursue best management practices to protect and/or restore <u>key hydrologic features</u> and functions.”
31	- (6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.27</b> which reads “Promote planning and design that ensures the external connections identified on Schedule D3 are maintained and/or enhanced.” before renumbered Section 2.2.10.4.28. Renumber subsequent sections accordingly.	<b>New Section 2.2.10.4.27:</b> “ <u>Promote planning and design that ensures the external connections identified on Schedule D3 are maintained and/or enhanced.</u> ”
32	- (6)	2.2.10.4	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.4</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.4.29</b> which reads “Encourage stewardship, remediation and appropriate park and trail initiatives that strive to enhance the ecological features and functions found within valley systems.” after renumbered Section 2.2.10.4.28. Renumber subsequent sections accordingly.	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.29:</b> “ <u>Encourage stewardship, remediation and appropriate park and trail initiatives that strive to enhance the ecological features and functions found within valley systems.</u> ”
33	6	2.2.10.4.31	Direct the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon to include, in their official plans, policies to prohibit <u>settlement areas</u> outside the Greenbelt to expand into the Greenbelt.	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.31</b> is modified by replacing “Direct the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon to include, in their official plans, policies to prohibit <u>settlement areas</u> outside the Greenbelt to expand into the Greenbelt.” with “Prohibit <u>settlement areas</u> outside the Greenbelt to expand into the Greenbelt.”	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.31:</b> “ <u>Prohibit settlement areas</u> outside the Greenbelt from expanding into the Greenbelt.”
34	6	2.2.10.4.23 (2.2.10.4.33)	Permit at the 10-year Greenbelt Plan review period, modest <u>settlement area</u> expansions for Villages within the Protected Countryside, provided the proposed growth:	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.33</b> is modified by replacing “Permit” with “Subject to a Greenbelt Plan Amendment and a <i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i> , consider.”	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.33:</b> “ <del>Permit at</del> At the 10-year Greenbelt Plan review period, modest <u>settlement area</u> expansions for Villages within the Protected Countryside <u>may be possible</u> , provided the proposed growth:...”
35	6	2.2.10.4.25 (2.2.1	Permit minor rounding out of Hamlet boundaries at the time of municipal conformity in keeping	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.35</b> is modified by inserting “to the Greenbelt Plan” after “at the time of municipal conformity.”	<b>Section 2.2.10.4.35:</b> “Permit minor rounding out of Hamlet boundaries at the time of municipal conformity <u>to the Greenbelt Plan</u> in keeping with the character of the Hamlet, and subject to the

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
		0.4.35 )	with the character of the Hamlet, and subject to the <i>infrastructure</i> policies in 2.2.10.5 of this Plan.		<i>infrastructure</i> policies in 2.2.10.5 of this Plan.”
36	6	2.2.10.5.4	Direct the area municipalities to include in their official plans policies for recreational uses that are consistent with the Greenbelt Plan. These policies should include: a) restrictions on residential dwelling units that are not for employees associated with the recreational use; and b) requirements for the establishment or expansion of major recreational uses.	<b>Section 2.2.10.5.4</b> is modified by deleting Section 2.2.10.5.4 in its entirety and replacing it with the following: 2.2.10.5.4 Prohibit residential dwelling units in association with recreational uses unless the dwelling units are intended for an employee of the proposed use. 2.2.10.5.5 Require an application to establish or expand a major recreational use in the Natural Heritage System be accompanied by a Vegetation Enhancement Plan in accordance with the Greenbelt Plan. 2.2.10.5.6 Require an application to expand or establish a major recreational use be accompanied by a conservation plan demonstrating how water use and nutrient and biocide will be kept to a minimum, including the establishment and monitoring of targets. 2.2.10.5.7 Permit the establishment of small-scale structures for recreational uses (such as boardwalks, footbridges, fences, docks and picnic facilities) within key natural heritage features and key hydrologic features.	<b>Section 2.2.10.5.4:</b> <del>Direct the area municipalities to include in their official plans policies for recreational uses that are consistent with the Greenbelt Plan. These policies should include:</del> <del>a) restrictions on residential dwelling units that are not for employees associated with the recreational use; and</del> <del>b) requirements for the establishment or expansion of major recreational uses.</del>  “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans that prohibit residential dwelling units in association with recreational uses unless the dwelling units are intended for an employee of the proposed use.”  <b>New Section 2.2.10.5.5:</b> “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans that require an application to establish or expand a <i>major recreational use</i> in the Natural Heritage System be accompanied by a Vegetation Enhancement Plan in accordance with the Greenbelt Plan.”  <b>New Section 2.2.10.5.6:</b> “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans that require an application to expand or establish a <i>major recreational use</i> be accompanied by a conservation plan demonstrating how water use and nutrient and biocide will be kept to a minimum, including the establishment and monitoring of targets.”  <b>New Section 2.2.10.5.7:</b> “Direct the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton to include policies in their official plans that small-scale structures for recreational uses may be permitted (such as boardwalks, footbridges, fences, docks and picnic facilities) within <i>key natural heritage features</i> and <i>key hydrologic features</i> subject to demonstrating how impacts will be minimized.”
37	6	2.2.10.5.7  (2.2.10.5.10 )	Permit certain elements of infrastructure serving the agricultural sector, such as agricultural irrigation systems, to locate within a <i>key natural heritage feature</i> or <i>key hydrologic feature</i> and their associated	<b>Section 2.2.10.5.10</b> is modified by replacing “a <i>key natural heritage feature</i> or <i>key hydrologic feature</i> and their associated <i>vegetation protection zones</i> ” with “the vegetation protection zone of a <i>key natural heritage feature</i> or <i>key hydrologic feature</i> .”	No modification

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			<p><u>vegetation protection zones</u> in accordance with section 4.2.1.3 of the Greenbelt Plan and section 2.3.2.5 of this Plan. In such instances, these elements may be established within the feature itself or its associated <u>vegetation protection zone</u>, but all reasonable efforts shall be made to keep such infrastructure out of <u>key natural heritage features</u> or <u>key hydrologic features</u> and their associated <u>vegetation protection zones</u>.</p>		
38	- (6)	2.2.10.5	<p>Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly</p>	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.5</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.5.11</b> which reads “Carry out renewable natural resource activities within <u>key natural heritage features</u> or <u>key hydrologic features</u> in a manner that maintains or, where possible, improves these features and their functions. Renewable resources are those nonagriculture-based natural resources that support uses and activities such as forestry, water taking, fisheries, conservation and wildlife management.” After Section 2.2.10.5.10. Renumber subsequent sections accordingly.</p>	<p><b>New Section 2.2.10.5.11:</b>  <u>“Carry out renewable natural resource activities within <u>key natural heritage features</u> or <u>key hydrologic features</u> in a manner that maintains or, where possible, improves these features and their functions. Renewable resources are those non agriculture-based natural resources that support uses and activities such as forestry, water taking, fisheries, conservation and wildlife management.”</u></p>
39	6	2.2.10.5.10 (2.2.10.5.14)	<p>Support the extension and expansion of Great Lake based water and/or sewage services, to service growth within an approved settlement boundary, if the settlements currently have, or have approvals for such services. Where only Great Lake water exists or has been approved, corresponding <u>municipal sewage service</u> shall be required in order for any expansion of the current</p>	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.5.14</b> is modified by replacing “have approvals for such services” with “had approvals for such services as of December 16, 2004.”</p>	<p><b>Re-numbered Section 2.2.10.5.14:</b>  “Support the extension and expansion of Great Lake based water and/or sewage services, to service growth within an approved settlement boundary, if the settlements currently have, or <del>have approvals for such services</del> <u>had approvals for such services as of December 16, 2004</u>. Where only Great Lake water exists or has been approved, corresponding <u>municipal sewage service</u> shall be required in order for any expansion of the current settlement boundary where such expansion would be permitted by the Greenbelt Plan.”</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			settlement boundary where such expansion would be permitted by the Greenbelt Plan.		
40	6	2.2.10 .5.13  (2.2.1 0.5.17 )	Permit only in the following circumstances new or expanded partial servicing, if site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services: a) where such servicing is necessary to address failed individual on-site sewage or water services serving existing <i>development</i> ; or b) to allow for infilling and <i>intensification</i> within <i>settlement areas</i> served by partial services as of the date the Greenbelt Plan came into effect.	<b>Section 2.2.10.5.17</b> is modified by replacing “the date the Greenbelt Plan came into effect” with “December 16, 2004.”	<b>Re-numbered Section 2.2.10.5.17:</b> “Permit only in the following circumstances new or expanded partial servicing, if site conditions are suitable for the long-term provision of such services: a) where such servicing is necessary to address failed individual on-site sewage or water services serving existing <i>development</i> ; or b) to allow for infilling and <i>intensification</i> within <i>settlement areas</i> served by partial services as of the date the Greenbelt Plan came into effect December 16, 2004.”
41	6	2.2.10 .5.18  (2.2.1 0.5.22 )	Prohibit <i>mineral aggregate operations</i> or wayside pits within the Protected Countryside of the Greenbelt; unless the appropriate requirements identified in Section 4.3.2 of the Greenbelt Plan, and the applicable policies of this Plan and the City of Brampton and Town of Caledon official plans, have been addressed to the satisfaction of the <i>Region of Peel</i> , the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon, in consultation with the applicable conservation authority and the Ministry of Natural Resources.	<b>Section 2.2.10.5.22</b> is modified by: a. replacing “Prohibit <i>mineral aggregate operations</i> or wayside pits within the Protected Countryside of the Greenbelt; unless the appropriate requirements” with “ <i>Mineral aggregate operations</i> or wayside pits within the Protected Countryside of the Greenbelt are permitted provided they meet the requirements”; and b. deleting “, have been addressed to the satisfaction of the Region of Peel, the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon, in consultation with the applicable conservation authority and the Ministry of Natural Resources.” after “Town of Caledon official plans.”	<b>Re-numbered Section 2.2.10.5.22:</b> “ <del>Prohibit Permit <i>mineral aggregate operations</i> or wayside pits within the Protected Countryside of the Greenbelt; unless the appropriate requirements identified in in accordance with Section 4.3.2 of the Greenbelt Plan, and the applicable policies of this Plan and the City of Brampton and Town of Caledon official plans. , have been addressed to the satisfaction of the Region of Peel, the City of Brampton and the Town of Caledon, in consultation with the applicable conservation authority and the Ministry of Natural Resources.</del> ”
42	- (6)	2.2.10 .5	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 2.2.10.5</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 2.2.10.5.24</b> which reads “Where new licences will be undertaking rehabilitation of mineral aggregate operation sites in the Protected Countryside they	<b>Addressed in Re-numbered Section 2.2.10.5.23:</b> “Require that operators undertaking rehabilitation of <i>mineral aggregate operation</i> sites in the Protected Countryside do so <del>in a manner consistent with the requirements of the Greenbelt Plan</del> in accordance with Section 4.3.2.5 of the Greenbelt Plan.”

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
				will do so in accordance with Section 4.3.2.5 of the Greenbelt Plan." after renumbered Section 2.2.10.5.23.	
43	6	2.2.10.5.22 (2.2.10.5.27)	<p>Permit within the Protected Countryside:</p> <p>a) all <u>existing uses</u> lawfully used for such purposes on the day before the Greenbelt Plan came into force;</p> <p>b) single dwellings on existing lots of record, provided they were zoned for such as of the date the Greenbelt Plan came into force, or where an application for an amendment to a zoning by-law is required as a condition of a severance granted prior to December 14, 2003 but which application did not proceed;</p> <p>c) Outside of <u>settlement areas</u>, expansions to <u>existing buildings and structures</u>, accessory structures and uses, and/or conversions of legally <u>existing uses</u> which bring the use more into conformity with this Plan, an <i>area municipal official plan</i> and the Greenbelt Plan, subject to a demonstration of the following:</p> <p>i) notwithstanding section 4.2.2.6 of the Greenbelt Plan, new municipal services are not required; and</p> <p>ii) the use does not expand into <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u>, unless in accordance with section 2.3.2.5 of this Plan.</p>	<p><b>Section 2.2.10.5.27</b> is modified by:</p> <p>a. replacing "on the day before the Greenbelt Plan came into force" with "on December 15, 2004" in a);</p> <p>b. replacing "the date the Greenbelt Plan came into force" with "December 16, 2004" in b);</p> <p>c. replacing "Outside" with "outside" in c); and</p> <p>d. inserting "may be considered" after "structures to both" in d).</p>	<p><b>Re-numbered Section 2.2.10.5.26:</b></p> <p>"Permit within the Protected Countryside:</p> <p>a) all <u>existing uses</u> lawfully used for such purposes <del>on the day before the Greenbelt Plan came into force</del> <u>on December 15, 2004</u>;</p> <p>b) single dwellings on existing lots of record, provided they were zoned for such as of <del>the date the Greenbelt Plan came into force</del> <u>December 16, 2004</u>, or where an application for an amendment to a zoning by-law is required as a condition of a severance granted prior to December 14, 2003 but which application did not proceed;</p> <p>c) <del>Outside</del> <u>outside of settlement areas</u>, expansions to <u>existing buildings and structures</u>, accessory structures and uses, and/or conversions of legally <u>existing uses</u> which bring the use more into conformity with this Plan, an <i>area municipal official plan</i> and the Greenbelt Plan, subject to a demonstration of the following:</p> <p>i) notwithstanding section 4.2.2.6 of the Greenbelt Plan, new municipal services are not required; and</p> <p>ii) the use does not expand into <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u>, unless in accordance with section 2.3.2.5 of this Plan.</p> <p>d) expansions to existing agricultural buildings and structures, residential dwellings, and accessory uses, buildings and structures to both; within <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> in accordance with <del>section 2.3.2.5</del> <u>policies 2.3.2.5 i and ii</u> of this Plan; and</p> <p>e) expansion, maintenance and/or replacement of existing <u>infrastructure</u> <del>is permitted</del>, subject to the <u>infrastructure</u> policies of section 4.2 of the Greenbelt Plan.</p> <p><b>Subsequent modifications to Section 2.3.2.5:</b></p> <p><u>"When developing policies for permitted exceptions, the area municipalities are directed to:</u></p> <p><del>i The area municipalities are directed to</del> <u>adopt appropriate policies to allow the exceptions subject to it being demonstrated that there is no reasonable alternative location outside of the Core Area and the use, development or site alteration is directed away from the Core Area feature to the greatest extent possible; and the impact to the Core Area feature is minimized and any impact to the feature or its functions that cannot be avoided is mitigated through restoration or enhancement to the greatest extent possible; and</u></p> <p><del>ii When developing policies to allow the exceptions, the area municipalities shall give consideration to</del> <u>consider appropriate implementation tools and mechanisms including the</u></p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			<p>d) expansions to existing agricultural buildings and structures, residential dwellings, and accessory uses, buildings and structures to both, within <u>key natural heritage features</u> and <u>key hydrologic features</u> in accordance with section 2.3.2.5 of this Plan; and</p> <p>e) expansion, maintenance and/or replacement of existing <u>infrastructure</u> is permitted, subject to the <u>infrastructure</u> policies of section 4.2 of the Greenbelt Plan.</p>		<p>existing tools and mechanisms of other agencies <del>when developing policies to allow the exceptions.</del></p>
44	21	3.5.2.6	<p><i>Support</i> initiatives to establish trail systems in the Greenbelt as well as the Culham Trail, Cataract/Elora Trail, the Caledon Rail Trail, Credit Valley Trail, equestrian trails and other trails in <i>the region</i>.</p>	<p><b>Section 3.5.2.6</b> is modified by not deleting the words “on the Oak Ridges Moraine” and adding the words “in the Greenbelt.”</p>	<p><b>Section 3.5.2.6:</b> “<i>Support</i> initiatives to establish trail systems <u>on the Oak Ridges Moraine and</u> in the Greenbelt as well as the Culham Trail, Cataract/Elora Trail, the Caledon Rail Trail, Credit Valley Trail, equestrian trails and other trails in <i>the region</i>.”</p>
45	23	3.2.2.11	<p>This Policy may not be used to address a proposal that has the effect of adjusting the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary, or the 2031 boundary for the Caledon East Rural Service Centre, or the 2021 boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres.</p>	<p><b>Section 3.2.2.11</b> is modified by inserting “, or any other settlement areas in the Town of Caledon.” after “Bolton Rural Service Centres.”</p>	<p><b>Section 3.2.2.11:</b> “This Policy may not be used to address a proposal that has the effect of adjusting the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary, or the 2031 boundary for the Caledon East Rural Service Centre, or the 2021 boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres.”</p>
46	25	4.1.1 (Par. 5)	<p>This chapter of the Plan also recognizes that the population, household and employment forecasts and related provincial policy directions need to be monitored, reviewed and evaluated at least every five years, based on the most recent</p>	<p><b>Section 4.1.1, Purpose, Paragraph 4</b> is modified by replacing “, changing provincial policy framework or Census of Canada results” with “and changing provincial policy framework.”</p>	<p><b>Section 4.1.1, Purpose, Paragraph 4:</b> “This chapter of the Plan also recognizes that the population, household and employment forecasts and related provincial policy directions need to be monitored, reviewed and evaluated at least every five years, based on the most recent Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan, <del>changing provincial policy framework or Census of Canada results.</del> <u>and changing provincial policy framework.</u>”</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan, changing provincial policy framework or Census of Canada results.		
47	28	4.2.2.1 and 4.2.2.2	4.2.2.1 Use the population, household and employment forecasts shown in Table 3 as the basis for this Plan. 4.2.2.2 Require an amendment to this Plan for changes to the population, household and employment forecasts shown in Table 3.	<b>Section 4.2.2.2</b> is modified by adding “of Section 4.2.2.1 and by deleting Section 4.2.2.2 in its entirety.” after “in line one.”	<b>Section 4.2.2.2:</b> “Require an amendment to this Plan <u>initiated by the Region</u> for changes to the population, household and employment forecasts shown in Table 3.”
48	- (29a)	4.2.2.5	Direct the area municipalities to use the population and employment forecasts shown in Table 3 for determining land and housing requirements to accommodate future growth.	<b>Section 4.2.2.5</b> is modified by replacing “Direct the area municipalities to use” with “Use.” Re-number subsequent amendments accordingly.	<b>Section 4.2.2.5:</b> “ <del>Direct the area municipalities to use</del> <u>Use</u> the population and employment forecasts shown in Table 3 for determining land and housing requirements to accommodate future growth.”
49	30	4.2.2.6	Review and update <i>jointly</i> with the area municipalities, the population, household and employment forecasts shown in Table 3.	<b>Section 4.2.2.6</b> is modified by: a. inserting “Province and” after “jointly with the”; and b. inserting “at least every five years” after “in Table 3.”	<b>Section 4.2.2.6:</b> “Review <u>the Table 3 forecasts jointly with the Province and</u> the area municipalities <u>at least every five years.</u> <u>Update the Table 3 forecasts and municipal allocations jointly with the area municipalities when the Province amends the regional forecasts.</u> ”
50	- (30a)	4.2.2.7	None.	<b>Section 4.2.2.7</b> is modified by inserting “Monitor, in cooperation with the area municipalities, residential and employment growth on an annual basis to ensure the intensification, density and housing targets identified in this Plan are met.” after renumbered Section 4.2.2.6.	<b>Section 4.2.2.7 :</b> “ <u>Monitor, in cooperation with the area municipalities, residential and employment growth on an annual basis to ensure the intensification, density and housing targets identified in this Plan are met.</u> ”
51	31	Table 3	See Table 3	<b>Table 3</b> is modified by replacing 2011 Total Peel Population = 1,323,000 2011 Total Peel Employment = 665,000 2031 Total Peel Population = 1,641,000	<b>Table 3:</b> Deletion of Table 3 to be replaced with new Table 3 as shown in the attached document.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
				with 2011 Total Peel Population = 1,320,000 2011 Total Peel Employment = 730,000 2031 Total Peel Population = 1,640,000.	
52	37	5.2.1. 3	To phase urban <i>development</i> within the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary to ensure <i>development</i> occurs in a well planned and cost effective manner and contributes to achieving the Growth Plan intensification and density targets, and at the same time allowing agricultural activities to continue as long as possible.	<b>Section 5.2.1.3</b> is modified by inserting “of this Plan” after “intensification and density targets.”	<b>Section 5.2.1.3:</b> “To phase urban <i>development</i> within the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary to ensure <i>development</i> occurs in a well planned and cost effective manner and contributes to achieving the <del>Growth Plan intensification and density targets, and at the same time allowing agricultural activities to continue as long as possible.</del> <u>goals, objectives and targets of this Plan.</u> ”
53	38	5.2.2. 1	Direct the area municipalities, in cooperation with <i>the Region</i> , to prepare growth management and phasing strategies for lands within the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary. These growth management and phasing strategies will address and incorporate issues such as the improvement of live-work relationships, appropriate levels of industrial-commercial to total assessment ratio, the timing and efficient provision and financing of necessary Regional and area municipal <i>services</i> , fiscal impacts to <i>the Region</i> and the area municipalities, staged build-out and logical extensions to <i>development</i> , priority areas for <i>development</i> , the Growth Plan policies and targets, prolonging agricultural uses, and the <i>sustainable</i> rate of employment	<b>Section 5.2.2.1</b> is modified by replacing “the Growth Plan policies and targets” with “the policies and targets of this Plan.”	<b>Section 5.2.2.1:</b> “Direct the area municipalities, in cooperation with <i>the Region</i> , to prepare growth management and phasing strategies for lands within the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary. These growth management and phasing strategies will address and incorporate issues such as the improvement of live-work relationships, appropriate levels of industrial-commercial to total assessment ratio, the timing and efficient provision and financing of necessary Regional and area municipal <i>services</i> , fiscal impacts to <i>the Region</i> and the area municipalities, staged build-out and logical extensions to <i>development</i> , priority areas for <i>development</i> , <del>the Growth Plan policies and targets,</del> <u>the policies and targets of this Plan,</u> prolonging agricultural uses, and the <i>sustainable</i> rate of employment growth related to population growth.”

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			growth related to population growth.		
54	-	5.2.2.2	Require an amendment to this Plan for changes to the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary, as shown on Schedule D, except for minor adjustments as specified in Section 7.2 of this Plan.	<b>Section 5.2.2.2</b> is modified by deleting it in its entirety and renumbering the subsequent sections accordingly.	<b>Section 5.2.2.2:</b> (no modification) "Require an amendment to this Plan for changes to the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary, as shown on Schedule D, except for minor adjustments as specified in Section 7.2 of this Plan."
55	39	5.2.2.3 (5.2.2.2)	Consider any change to the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary only in the context of a review of this Plan and subject to a municipal comprehensive review as identified in Section 7.9 of this Plan.	<b>Section 5.2.2.2</b> is modified by: a. replacing "Consider any" with "Any"; and b. replacing "only in the context of a review of this Plan and subject to a municipal comprehensive review" with "will only be undertaken by the Region once a <i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i> has been undertaken."	<b>Section 5.2.2.2 (5.2.2.3):</b> <del>"Consider any change-</del> <u>An expansion</u> to the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary <u>will only in the context of a review of this Plan and subject to a municipal comprehensive review be undertaken by the Region once a <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> has been completed,</u> as identified in Section 7.9 of this Plan."
56	-(48)	5.3.2	None.	<b>Section 5.3.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.3.2.2</b> which reads "Notwithstanding Section 5.3.2.1, lands within the City of Brampton that are subject to the policies of the Greenbelt Plan are not part of the <i>Region's</i> Urban System." after Section 5.3.2.1 and renumbering the subsequent sections accordingly.	No modification.
57	45 (49)	5.3.2.4 (5.3.2.5)	Require <i>development</i> and <i>redevelopment</i> in the Urban System to proceed according to growth management and phasing strategies and the planned provision of necessary <i>services</i> .	<b>Section 5.3.2.5</b> is modified by inserting "the" after "proceed according to" and "policies of this Plan" after "management and phasing."	<b>Section 5.3.2.5:</b> "Require <i>development</i> and <i>redevelopment</i> in the Urban System to proceed according to <u>the</u> growth management and phasing <del>strategies</del> <u>policies of this Plan</u> , and the planned provision of necessary <i>services</i> ."
58	50	5.3.3.2.1	Direct the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga to designate, in accordance with the Growth Plan requirements, urban growth centres, as shown conceptually on Schedule D, to provide opportunities for <i>compact forms</i> of urban <i>development</i> and <i>redevelopment</i> with commercial,	<b>Section 5.3.3.2.1</b> is modified by inserting "and delineate the boundaries of urban growth centres" after "Mississauga to designate" and "employment," after " <i>development</i> and <i>redevelopment</i> with."	<b>Section 5.3.3.2.1 :</b> "Direct the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga to designate <u>and delineate the boundaries of urban growth centres</u> , in accordance with the Growth Plan requirements, <del>urban growth centres</del> , as shown conceptually on Schedule D, to provide opportunities for <i>compact forms</i> of urban <i>development</i> and <i>redevelopment</i> with <u>high density employment uses such as:</u> commercial, <del>office,</del> <u>office and</u> major institutional - as designated and/or defined in <i>area municipal official plans</i> - residential, recreational, cultural and civic activities that offer a wide range of goods and <i>services</i> to the residents and workers of <i>Peel Region</i> and other residents of the <i>Greater Toronto Area and Hamilton</i>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			office, major institutional - as designated and/or defined in <i>area municipal official plans</i> - residential, recreational, cultural and civic activities that offer a wide range of goods and services to the residents and workers of <i>Peel Region</i> and other residents of the <i>Greater Toronto Area and Hamilton (GTHA)</i> .		<i>(GTHA)</i> .”
59	- (56)	5.3.3.2	None.	<b>Section 5.3.3.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.3.3.2.4</b> which reads “Work cooperatively with the Cities of Brampton and Mississauga to ensure any development that is proposed within the urban growth centres is consistent with the policies of this Plan as well as the applicable <i>area municipal official plans</i> and Secondary Plan.” after Section 5.3.3.2.3.	No modification.
60	52	5.3.3.2.5	Support the area municipalities to identify in their official plans as focus areas for <i>intensification</i> : intensification corridors, <i>major transit station areas</i> and other appropriate urban nodes, in addition to the urban growth centres identified in this Plan.	<b>Section 5.3.3.2.6</b> is modified by replacing “Support the area municipalities to identify in their official plans as focus areas for <i>intensification</i> : intensification corridors, <i>major transit station areas</i> and other appropriate urban nodes, in addition to the urban growth centres identified in this Plan.” with “Require the area municipalities to identify intensification corridors, major transit station areas and other major intensification opportunities including infill, redevelopment, brownfield sites, the expansion or conversion of existing buildings and greyfields in their official plans and support increased residential and employment densities within these areas to ensure the viability of transit and a mix of residential, office, institutional and commercial development where appropriate.”	<b>Section 5.3.3.2.5:</b> <del>“Support</del> <u>Require</u> the area municipalities to identify, <u>where appropriate, in their official plans as focus areas for intensification:</u> intensification corridors, <i>major transit station areas</i> and other appropriate urban nodes, in addition to the urban growth centres <del>identified in this Plan.</del> <u>major intensification opportunities such as infill, redevelopment, brownfield sites, the expansion or conversion of existing buildings and greyfields in their official plans and support increased residential and employment densities within these areas to ensure the viability of transit and a mix of residential, office, institutional and commercial development.”</u>
61	- (59)	5.3.3.2	None. Inserted new section;	<b>Section 5.3.3.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.3.3.2.10</b> which reads “Floodplain <i>special policy</i>	No modification.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<i>areas</i> should not be planned for intensification beyond the level of development that is currently provided for in the local municipal official plan, unless it has been demonstrated that no other alternatives exist outside the floodplain. Any change in the level of development within the <i>special policy area</i> must be comprehensively assessed by the municipality.” after Section 5.3.3.2.9 and renumbering the subsequent sections and amendments accordingly.	
	(53a)	5.3.4	None.		<b>Section 5.3.4</b> “The North West Brampton Urban Development Area within the Urban System comprises approximately 2,194 hectares (5421 acres) bounded by Mayfield Road to the north, the Greenbelt to the south, Winston Churchill Boulevard to the west, and irregular portions of McLaughlin, Creditview and Mississauga Roads to the east, as shown on Schedule D. ...”
62	57 (63)	5.4.2.1	Define the Rural System, as shown on Schedule D, to include all lands outside the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary including the Protected Countryside as identified in the Greenbelt Plan, lands identified and <i>protected</i> as part of the natural environment and resources in the preceding chapters of this Plan, the Brampton Flying Club, the three Rural Service Centres, the Palgrave Estate Residential Community, other rural settlements as identified in <i>area municipal official plans</i> , the Niagara Escarpment Plan and the Greenbelt Plan and the remaining rural area that makes up the balance of the Rural System.	<b>Section 5.4.2.1</b> is modified by inserting “, Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, Lake Simcoe Protection Plan” after “the Niagara Escarpment Plan” and “The Rural System does not include <i>prime agricultural areas</i> identified on Schedule B. The Palgrave Estate Residential Community is not a settlement area as defined by the Growth Plan and the <i>PPS, 2005</i> .” after “balance of the Rural System.”	<b>Section 5.4.2.1:</b> “ <u>Define the Rural System, as shown on Schedule D, to include all lands outside the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary. The Rural System includes the three Rural Service Centres, the Palgrave Estate Residential Community and the Brampton Flying Club identified on Schedule D and the Rural Settlements shown on Figure 16. The Rural System also includes lands identified and protected in the Natural Environment and Resources sections of this Plan including the Core Areas of the Greenlands System as shown on Schedule A, the Prime Agricultural Area as shown on Schedule B and the High Potential Mineral Aggregate Resource Areas as shown on Schedule C. The lands outside of the Prime Agricultural Area which also form part of the Rural System are identified as Rural Area in the Town of Caledon Official Plan and Greenbelt in the City of Brampton Official Plan. Figure 2 shows the area within the Rural System that is subject to provincial policies including the Greenbelt Plan Area, the Niagara Escarpment Plan Area, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan Area, and the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan Area.</u> ”
63	62	5.4.3	The Rural Service Centres in the	<b>Section 5.4.3</b> is modified by replacing “municipal	<b>Section 5.4.3:</b>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			<p>Rural System designated in this Plan are Mayfield West, Bolton and Caledon East in the Town of Caledon. These three Rural Service Centres serve as the primary foci for growth within the Rural System. The 2031 settlement area boundary for the Caledon East Rural Service Centre and the 2021 settlement area boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres are designated in this Plan, which indicate where growth is planned to occur in a phased manner subject to the financial capabilities of <i>the Region</i>. The 2031 settlement area boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres will be designated on the basis of a municipal comprehensive review and will require an amendment to this Plan. Growth within these three Rural Service Centres will take place in a timely and orderly manner, making the most effective and efficient use of available and planned services.</p>	<p>comprehensive review” with “<i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i>.”</p>	<p>“The Rural Service Centres in the Rural System designated in this Plan are Mayfield West, Bolton and Caledon East in the Town of Caledon. These three Rural Service Centres serve as the primary foci for growth within the Rural System. The 2031 settlement area boundary for the Caledon East Rural Service Centre and the 2021 settlement area boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres are designated in this Plan, which indicate where growth is planned to occur in a phased manner subject to the financial capabilities of <i>the Region</i>. The 2031 settlement area boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres will be designated on the basis of a <del>municipal comprehensive review</del> <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> and will require an amendment to this Plan. Growth within these three Rural Service Centres will take place in a timely and orderly manner, making the most effective and efficient use of available and planned services.”</p>
64	63 (69)	5.4.3.2.2	<p>Show on Schedule D the 2031 boundary for the Caledon East Rural Service Centre and the 2021 boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres. The 2031 boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres will be designated on the basis of a municipal</p>	<p><b>Section 5.4.3.2.2</b> is modified by: a. replacing “municipal comprehensive review” with “<i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i>”; and b. inserting “<i>the Region, working with</i>” before “the Town of Caledon.”</p>	<p><b>Section 5.4.3.2.2:</b> “Show on Schedule D the 2031 boundary for the Caledon East Rural Service Centre and the 2021 boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres. The 2031 boundaries for the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres will be designated on the basis of a <del>municipal comprehensive review</del> <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> and will be consistent with Sections 5.4.7, 5.5 and 7.9 of this Plan and will require an amendment to this Plan. Accordingly, <u>the Region, working with</u> the Town of Caledon, will <del>determine and</del> designate the 2031 boundaries of the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres.”</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			comprehensive review and will be consistent with Sections 5.4.7, 5.5 and 7.9 of this Plan and will require an amendment to this Plan. Accordingly, the Town of Caledon will determine and designate the 2031 boundaries of the Mayfield West and Bolton Rural Service Centres.		
65	69	5.4.3.2.7	The Study Area shown on Schedule D around the Mayfield West Rural Service Centre and designated in the legend "Settlement Study Area" is the area within which additional growth for Mayfield West beyond the 2021 population target is anticipated to occur. If additional growth to meet future population targets is allocated to the Mayfield West study area, Council will direct it west of Highway 10 within the study area boundary. Studies to confirm the exact land requirements and to confirm compliance with requirements at that time including such things as the Provincial Policy Statement, the Places to Grow Plan and the provisions of Section 7.9.2.12 of this plan, will be completed prior to adoption of any Official Plan Amendment to designate said lands in the Rural Service Centre of Mayfield West.	<b>Section 5.4.3.2.7</b> is modified by deleting Section 5.4.3.2.7 in its entirety and renumbering the subsequent sections accordingly.	Amendment #69 was proposed to be deleted.
66	75	5.4.5.2.4	Direct the Town of Caledon to include policies in its Official Plan which require that a municipal	<b>Section 5.4.5.2.4</b> is modified by replacing "require that a municipal comprehensive review be undertaken prior to the consideration of an	<b>Section 5.4.5.2.4:</b> "Direct the Town of Caledon to include policies in its Official Plan which require that a <del>municipal comprehensive review</del> <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> be undertaken prior to

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			<p>comprehensive review be undertaken prior to the consideration of an expansion to the boundary of an existing rural settlement area. An amendment to the Town of Caledon official plan shall be required which demonstrates that the requirements of Section 7.9.2.12, as determined <i>jointly</i> by the Town of Caledon and <i>the Region</i>, have been met. Regional approval of an <i>area municipal official plan</i> amendment will be required if it is demonstrated that such expansion will adversely impact the ability to achieve the regional <i>greenfield density target</i>.</p>	<p>expansion to the boundary of an existing rural settlement area. An amendment to the Town of Caledon official plan shall be required which demonstrates that the requirements of Section 7.9.2.12, as determined jointly by the Town of Caledon and <i>the Region</i>, have been met. Regional approval of an <i>area municipal official plan</i> amendment will be required if it is demonstrated that such expansion will adversely impact the ability to achieve the regional <i>greenfield density target</i>." with "state that a <i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i> needs to be undertaken prior to the municipalities considering an expansion to the boundary of an existing rural settlement area."</p>	<p>the consideration of an expansion to the boundary of an existing rural settlement area. An amendment to the Town of Caledon official plan shall be required which demonstrates that the requirements of Section 7.9.2.12, as determined by <del>the Town of Caledon and the Region</del>, have been met. <del>Regional approval of an area municipal official plan amendment will be required if it is demonstrated that such expansion will adversely impact the ability to achieve the regional greenfield density target.</del>"</p>
67	78 (84)	5.4.6. 2.1	<p>f) review <i>development</i> proposals in the rural area based on: - the need and demand for the type and scale of the <i>development</i> proposed; -the protection of the natural environment and resources; - the impact on the existing rural character and <i>landscape</i> of the rural area; - the potential impact on the character and heritage of the rural area; - the long-term suitability of the site(s) for public communal <i>services</i> or individual onsite systems; - the adequacy of municipal <i>services</i> and related municipal financial costs;</p>	<p><b>clause f of Section 5.4.6.2.1</b> is modified by deleting the word "and" after "the Greenbelt Plan" and inserting "the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan" after "the Greenbelt Plan."</p>	<p><b>Clause f of Section 5.4.6.2.1:</b> "f) review <i>development</i> proposals in the rural area based on: - the need and demand for the type and scale of the <i>development</i> proposed; -the protection of the natural environment and resources; - the impact on the existing rural character and <i>landscape</i> of the rural area; - the potential impact on the character and heritage of the rural area; - the long-term suitability of the site(s) for public communal <i>services</i> or individual onsite systems; - the adequacy of municipal <i>services</i> and related municipal financial costs; - the provisions of the Niagara Escarpment Plan, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, the Greenbelt Plan <del>and/or, the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan</del> or the Growth Plan if applicable); and - existing <i>subwatershed</i> studies as detailed in Chapters 2 and 7 of this Plan."</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			<p>- the provisions of the Niagara Escarpment Plan, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, the Greenbelt Plan and/or the Growth Plan if applicable); and - existing <i>subwatershed</i> studies as detailed in Chapters 2 and 7 of this Plan.</p>		
68	79	5.4.7	<p>To provide a framework to manage long term growth in the Rural System, three <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> (Study Areas) have been identified on Schedule D. The three Study Areas are broadly defined around Mayfield West, Tullamore and Bolton. Bolton and Mayfield West are established Rural Service Centres that are planned to accommodate steady, managed growth and are currently identified in this Plan. Tullamore is the largest Industrial/Commercial Centre identified in the Caledon Official Plan. The <i>Settlement Study Area</i> around Tullamore has been identified because its function will be reviewed by the Town of Caledon to determine whether it should become a more significant employment node within the Rural System as part of a municipal comprehensive review regarding its function within the <i>Regional Structure</i> and in particular within the Rural System. Any future expansions to settlement area boundaries within the <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> will</p>	<p><b>Section 5.4.7</b> is modified by deleting Section 5.4.7 Settlement Study Areas in its entirety and renumbering subsequent sections accordingly.</p>	<p><b>Section 5.4.7:</b>  <del>“To provide a framework to manage long term growth in the Rural System, three <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> (Study Areas) have been identified on Schedule D. The three Study Areas are broadly defined around Mayfield West, Tullamore and Bolton. Bolton and Mayfield West are established Rural Service Centres that are planned to accommodate steady, managed growth and are currently identified in this Plan. Tullamore is the largest Industrial/Commercial Centre identified in the Caledon Official Plan. The <i>Settlement Study Area</i> around Tullamore has been identified because its function will be reviewed by the Town of Caledon to determine whether it should become a more significant employment node within the Rural System as part of a municipal comprehensive review regarding its function within the <i>Regional Structure</i> and in particular within the Rural System. Any future expansions to settlement area boundaries within the <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> will require a Regional Official Plan Amendment (ROPA) and ensure that the proposal will not contravene the <i>Strategic Infrastructure Study Area (SISA)</i> and the GTA West transportation corridor protection policies in this Plan or predetermine the outcome of the GTA West Environmental Assessment (EA). The <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> do not define the boundaries of future expansions but the areas that will be subject to future studies.</del></p> <p><u>“To study areas for settlement expansion to accommodate the population, household and employment forecasts shown in Table 3 to 2031 recognizing a settlement area boundary expansion may only occur as part of a <i>municipal comprehensive review</i>.”</u></p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			require a Regional Official Plan Amendment (ROPA) and ensure that the proposal will not contravene the <i>Strategic Infrastructure Study Area (SISA)</i> and the GTA West transportation corridor protection policies in this Plan or predetermine the outcome of the GTA West Environmental Assessment (EA). The <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> do not define the boundaries of future expansions but the areas that will be subject to future studies.		
69	79 (86)	5.5.1.1	To optimize the use of the existing land supply of <i>the Region</i> by directing the majority of growth to lands within the 2031 Urban Boundary and Rural Service Centres.	<b>Section 5.5.1.1</b> is modified by replacing “lands within the 2031 Urban Boundary and Rural Service Centres” with “the built-up areas through intensification particularly the urban growth centres, intensification corridors and major transit service areas.”	<b>Section 5.5.1.1:</b> “To optimize the use of the existing land supply of <i>the Region</i> by directing <del>the majority a significant portion</del> of growth to <del>lands within the 2031 Urban Boundary and Rural Service Centres</del> <u>the built-up areas through intensification particularly the urban growth centres, intensification corridors and major transit service areas.</u> ”
70	79 (86)	5.5.1.4	To achieve the <i>intensification</i> targets while providing for sufficient greenfield growth to satisfy the land need to accommodate the population and employment forecasts in this Plan.	<b>Section 5.5.1.4</b> is modified by replacing “while providing for sufficient greenfield growth to satisfy the land need to accommodate the population and employment forecasts in this Plan” with “of this Plan through existing <i>intensification</i> and greenfield development opportunities.”	<b>Section 5.5.1.4:</b> (no modification) “To achieve the <i>intensification</i> targets while providing for sufficient greenfield growth to satisfy the land need to accommodate the population and employment forecasts in this Plan.”
71	79 (86)	5.5.1.6	To support planning for complete communities in <i>Peel</i> that are well-designed, offer transportation choices, accommodate people at all stages of life and have an appropriate mix of housing, a good range of jobs, and easy access to retail and <i>services</i> to meet daily needs.	<b>Section 5.5.1.6</b> is modified by inserting “compact,” after “in <i>Peel</i> that are”; “transit-oriented,” after “well-designed,”; “include a diverse mix of land uses,” after “offer transportation choices,”; and “high quality open space,” after “good range of jobs.”	<b>Section 5.5.1.6:</b> “To support planning for complete communities in <i>Peel</i> that are <u>compact</u> , well-designed, <u>transit-oriented</u> , offer transportation choices, <u>include a diverse mix of land uses</u> , accommodate people at all stages of life and have an appropriate mix of housing, a good range of jobs, <u>high quality open space</u> and easy access to retail and <i>services</i> to meet daily needs.”
72	79 (86)	5.5.2.1	Direct the area municipalities to incorporate official plan policies to	<b>Section 5.5.2.1</b> is modified by inserting “compact,”	<b>Section 5.5.2.1:</b> “Direct the area municipalities to incorporate official plan policies

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			develop complete communities with a diverse mix of land uses, a range and mix of employment and housing types, high quality public open space and easy access to retail and services.	after “complete communities that are”; “transit-oriented,” after “well-designed,”; “include a diverse mix of land uses,” after “offer transportation choices,”; and “high quality open space,” after “good range of jobs.”	to develop complete communities that are compact, well-designed, transit-oriented, offer transportation choices, include with a diverse mix of land uses, a range and mix of employment and housing types, accommodate people at all stages of life and have an appropriate mix of housing, a good range of jobs, high quality open space, and easy access to retail and services to meet daily needs.”
73	79 (86)	5.5.3.1.6	To explore all <i>intensification</i> opportunities across <i>the Region</i> .	<b>Section 5.5.3.1.6</b> is modified by: a. replacing “explore” with “optimize”; and b. inserting “before considering additional boundary expansions” after “across <i>the Region</i> .”	<b>Section 5.5.3.1.6:</b> “To <del>explore</del> <u>optimize</u> all <i>intensification</i> opportunities across <i>the Region</i> .”
74	- (86)	5.5.3.1	None. Inserted new section;	<b>Section 5.5.3.1</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.5.3.1.7</b> which reads “To intensify <i>employment areas</i> to reduce the need for long distance commuting and to ensure sufficient land for forecasted growth.” after Section 5.5.3.1.6.	<b>Section 5.5.3.1.7:</b> “To intensify <i>employment areas</i> to optimize lands for future growth.”
75	- (86)	5.5.3.1	None. Inserted new section;	<b>Section 5.5.3.1</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.5.3.1.8</b> which reads “To achieve a diverse and compatible mix of land uses including residential and employment uses to support vibrant neighbourhoods.” after Section 5.5.3.1.7.	<b>Section 5.5.3.1.8:</b> “To achieve a diverse and compatible mix of land uses including residential and employment uses to support vibrant neighbourhoods.”
76	79 (86)	5.5.3.2.5	Require that by 2026 and for each year thereafter, a minimum of 50 per cent of <i>the Region’s residential development</i> occurring annually will be within the built-up area.	<b>Section 5.5.3.2.5</b> is modified by inserting the following text after “within the built-up area”: To 2031, residential development within the built-up area shall be allocated as follows: City of Mississauga XX units City of Brampton XX units Town of Caledon XX units	<b>Section 5.5.3.2.5:</b> “Require that by 2026 and for each year thereafter, a minimum of 50 per cent of <i>the Region’s residential development</i> occurring annually will be within the built-up area.  <u>To 2031, the minimum amount of residential development allocated within the built-up area shall be as follows:</u> <u>City of Brampton: 26,500 units;</u> <u>Town of Caledon: 1,500 units; and</u> <u>City of Mississauga: 52,000 units.”</u>
77	- (86)	5.5.3.2	None.	<b>Section 5.5.3.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.5.3.2.6</b> which reads “Monitor, in cooperation with the area municipalities, growth within the built-up area on an annual basis to ensure the unit targets identified in Section 5.5.3.2.5 are achieved.” after Section 5.5.3.2.5 and renumbering the subsequent sections accordingly.	<b>New Section 5.5.3.2.6:</b> “Monitor, in cooperation with the area municipalities, growth within the built-up area on an annual basis to ensure that the intensification targets established in this Plan are achieved.”
78	79 (86)	5.5.3.2.6	Require the area municipalities to develop <i>intensification</i> strategies that, among other things, identify	<b>Section 5.5.3.2.7</b> is modified by replacing “achievement of the <i>intensification</i> objectives.” with “a mix of residential, employment, office,	<b>Re-numbered Section 5.5.3.2.7:</b> “Require the area municipalities to develop <i>intensification</i> strategies that, among other things, identify <i>intensification</i> areas such as urban growth centres, intensification

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
		(5.5.3.2.7)	<i>intensification</i> areas such as urban growth centres, intensification corridors, urban nodes, major transit station areas and other <i>intensification</i> areas to support achievement of the <i>intensification</i> objectives.	institutional and commercial development and to ensure development of a viable transit system.”	corridors, urban nodes, major transit station areas and other <i>intensification</i> areas to support <del>achievement of the <i>intensification</i> objectives</del> a mix of residential, employment, office, institutional and commercial development where appropriate, and to ensure development of a viable transit system.”
79	- (86)	5.5.3.2	None. Inserted new section;	<b>Section 5.5.3.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.5.3.2.8</b> which reads “Require the area municipalities to identify and establish minimum density targets for intensification corridors, urban nodes, major transit station areas.” After renumbered Section 5.5.3.2.7 and renumbering the subsequent sections accordingly.	<b>New Section 5.5.3.2.8:</b> “Require the area municipalities to identify and establish minimum density targets for intensification areas which may include urban growth centres, intensification corridors, and major transit station areas.”
80	79 (86)	5.5.4.1.1	To plan greenfields to contribute to complete communities.	<b>Section 5.5.4.1.1</b> is modified by inserting “and designate” after “To plan.”	<b>Section 5.5.4.1.1:</b> “To plan and designate greenfields to contribute to complete communities.”
81	79 (86)	5.5.4.1.2	To achieve compact urban forms within the designated greenfield area.	<b>Section 5.5.4.1.2</b> is modified by inserting “that support walking, cycling and the early integration and sustained viability of transit services” after “designated greenfield area.”	<b>Section 5.5.4.1.2 :</b> “To achieve compact urban forms within the designated greenfield area that support walking, cycling and the early integration and sustained viability of transit services.”
82	79 (86)	5.5.4.2.1	Plan to achieve a minimum <i>greenfield density target</i> of 50 people and jobs combined per hectare by 2031, to be measured over <i>Peel's</i> designated greenfield area excluding <i>major environmental features</i> as defined by the Growth Plan or comparable Regional methodology.	<b>Section 5.5.4.2.1</b> is modified by deleting “or comparable Regional methodology.”	<b>Section 5.5.4.2.1:</b> “Plan to achieve a minimum <i>greenfield density target</i> of 50 people and jobs combined per hectare by 2031, to be measured over <i>Peel's</i> designated greenfield area excluding <i>major environmental features</i> as defined by the Growth Plan or comparable Regional methodology.”
83	- (86)	5.5.4.2	Inserted new section; renumbered subsequent policies accordingly	<b>Section 5.5.4.2</b> is modified by inserting the following text after Section 5.5.4.2.1 and renumbering the subsequent sections accordingly: <b>Section 5.5.4.2.2</b> Development within the designated greenfield areas shall be designed to meet or exceed the following minimum densities: City of Mississauga XX City of Brampton XX Town of Caledon XX	<b>New Section 5.5.4.2.2:</b> “Development within the designated greenfield areas shall be designed to meet or exceed the following minimum densities:  City of Mississauga: 77 residents and jobs combined per hectare (applicable to existing designated greenfield areas as shown on Figure 16);

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
					<p><u>Should additional designated greenfield areas be added to Mississauga, the combined density for all designated greenfield areas in Mississauga shall be revised.</u></p> <p><u>City of Brampton: 51 residents and jobs combined per hectare; and</u></p> <p><u>Town of Caledon: 42 residents and jobs combined per hectare.”</u></p>
84	79 (86)	5.5.4.2.2  (5.5.4.2.3)	Complete an analysis in collaboration with the area municipalities within 6 to 12 months from the date of adoption of Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 24, to demonstrate how allocation of the Regional Forecasts included in Table 3 will be planned at the municipal level, to contribute to the achievement of the minimum combined Regional <i>greenfield density target</i> .	<b>Section 5.5.4.2.3</b> is modified by replacing “Complete an analysis in collaboration with the area municipalities within 6 to 12 months from the date of adoption of Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 24, to demonstrate how allocation of the Regional Forecasts included in Table 3 will be planned at the municipal level, to contribute to the achievement of the minimum combined Regional <i>greenfield density target</i> .” with the following text: Settlement area boundary expansions shall not be permitted until the following are completed: · an analysis to demonstrate how allocation of the Regional Forecasts included in Table 3 will be planned at the municipal level to contribute to the achievement of the minimum intensification and combined regional <i>greenfield density target</i> of this Plan; and a Regional Official Plan Amendment.	Adopted policies 5.5.4.2.2 and 5.5.4.2.3 were proposed to be deleted.
85	79 (86)	5.5.4.2.4  (5.5.4.2.5)	Not support the expansion of the 2031 Urban Boundary or the Rural Service Centres or any other settlement area unless such expansion contributes to the achievement of a minimum <i>greenfield density target</i> of 50 residents and jobs combined per hectare across <i>the Region</i> by 2031 and is consistent with Section 7.9 of this Plan	<b>Section 5.5.4.2.5</b> is modified by replacing “such expansion contributes to the achievement of a minimum <i>greenfield density target</i> of 50 residents and jobs combined per hectare across <i>the Region</i> by 2031 and is consistent with Section 7.9 of this Plan.” with “the greenfield density target has been met and it has been demonstrated, through a <i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i> , that sufficient opportunities to accommodate forecasted growth, through intensification and in designated greenfield areas, are not available.”	<b>Re-numbered Section 5.5.4.2.3</b> (adopted section 5.5.4.2.4) “Not support the expansion of the 2031 Urban Boundary or the Rural Service Centres or any other settlement area unless <del>such expansion contributes to the achievement of a minimum <i>greenfield density target</i> of 50 residents and jobs combined per hectare across <i>the Region</i> by 2031 and is consistent with Section 7.9 of this Plan</del> <u>a <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> as set out in section 7.9.2.12 demonstrates the ability to meet the density and intensification targets established in this Plan.</u> ”

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
86	- (86)	5.5.4.2	None. Inserted new section;	<b>Section 5.5.4.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.5.4.2.8</b> which reads “Direct the area municipalities to incorporate official plan policies to plan for complete communities within designated greenfield areas that create high quality public open spaces with site design and urban design standards that support opportunities for transit, walking and cycling.” after Section 5.5.4.2.7.	<b>New Section 5.5.4.2.6</b> “Direct the area municipalities to incorporate official plan policies to plan for complete communities within designated greenfield areas that create high quality public open spaces with site design and urban design standards that support opportunities for transit, walking and cycling.”
87	- (86)	5.5.4.2	None. Inserted new section;	<b>Section 5.5.4.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.5.4.2.9</b> which reads “Municipalities will direct where development in designated greenfield areas will be phased in order to achieve the intensification and density targets of this Plan.” after Section 5.5.4.2.8.	<b>New Section 5.5.4.2.7:</b> “Municipalities will direct where development in designated greenfield areas will occur in order to achieve the goals, objectives and targets of this Plan.”
88	79 (86)	5.6, Emplo yment Areas	<i>The Region</i> is forecasted to accommodate 340,000 new jobs and 611,000 additional residents by 2031, compared to 2001. This will bring the total number of jobs to 870,000 and total population to 1,641,000.	<b>Section 5.6, Employment Areas, Paragraph 1</b> is modified by replacing “611,000” with “610,000” and “1,641,000” with “1,640,000.”	<b>Section 5.6, Employment Areas, Paragraph 1:</b> “ <i>The Region</i> is forecasted to accommodate 340,000 new jobs and <del>611,000</del> <u>610,000</u> additional residents by 2031, compared to 2001. This will bring the total number of jobs to 870,000 and total population to <del>1,641,000</del> <u>1,640,000</u> .”
89	79 (86)	5.6.1.6	To protect <i>employment areas</i> for employment uses.	<b>Section 5.6.1.6</b> is modified by replacing “To protect” with “To plan for, protect and preserve.”	<b>Section 5.6.1.6 :</b> “ <del>To protect</del> <u>To plan for, protect and preserve</u> <i>employment areas</i> for employment uses.”
90	79 (86)	5.6.2.3	Use the employment forecasts in Table 3 for employment land use planning in <i>the Region</i> to 2031 using Figure 15 of this Plan as a guideline. The employment land need numbers in Tables E and F of Figure 15 are subject to refinement following detailed area municipal studies that will evaluate further opportunities for <i>intensification</i> and determine the type and density of employment uses in new and existing <i>employment areas</i> . Any changes resulting from these studies will not require a revision to Figure	<b>Section 5.6.2.3</b> is modified by replacing “Use the employment forecasts in Table 3 for employment land use planning in <i>the Region</i> using Figure 15 of this Plan as a guideline. The employment land need numbers in Tables E and F of Figure 15 are subject to refinement following detailed area municipal studies that will evaluate further opportunities for <i>intensification</i> and determine the type and density of employment uses in new and existing <i>employment areas</i> . Any changes resulting from these studies will not require a revision to Figure 15.” with “Use the employment forecasts in Table 3 for employment land use planning in <i>the Region</i> . Figure 15 shall inform Table 3.”	<b>Section 5.6.2.3:</b> <del>Use the employment forecasts in Table 3 for employment land use planning in <i>the Region</i> to 2031 using Figure 15 of this Plan as a guideline. The employment land need numbers in Tables E and F of Figure 15 are subject to refinement following detailed area municipal studies that will evaluate further opportunities for <i>intensification</i> and determine the type and density of employment uses in new and existing <i>employment areas</i>. Any changes resulting from these studies will not require a revision to Figure 15.</del>  “Use the employment forecasts in Table 3 for employment land use planning in <i>the Region</i> .”

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			15.		
91	- (86)	5.6.2.4	Direct the Town of Caledon to study the possibility of addressing the 2031 employment land needs within the three <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> identified on Schedule D in accordance with Section 5.4.7 Study Areas.	<b>Section 5.6.2.4</b> is modified by replacing the existing policy in its entirety and replacing it with "Monitor, in cooperation with the area municipalities, the supply of employment lands on an annual basis to ensure the employment forecasts in Table 3 are achieved."	<b>Section 5.6.2.4:</b> <del>Direct the Town of Caledon to study the possibility of addressing the 2031 employment land needs within the three <i>Settlement Study Areas</i> identified on Schedule D in accordance with Section 5.4.7 Study Areas.</del>  <u>"Monitor, in cooperation with the area municipalities, the supply of <i>employment lands</i> on an annual basis to determine if adequate supply exists to accommodate forecasts in Table 3."</u>
92	79 (86)	5.6.2.6	Protect and support <i>employment areas</i> for employment uses, as defined or designated in <i>area municipal official plans</i> .	<b>Section 5.6.2.6</b> is modified by replacing "as defined or designated in <i>area municipal official plans</i> ." with the following: For the purpose of this policy: a) employment uses are XXX; and b) uses not permitted in employment areas include XXX.	<b>Section 5.6.2.6:</b> "Protect and support <i>employment areas</i> , for employment uses, as defined <del>or</del> <u>and</u> designated in <i>area municipal official plans</i> .  <u>For the purposes of this policy, <i>employment areas</i> are those that contain lands designated:</u>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>In Brampton: Office, Industrial and certain Business Corridor lands, as further defined in the Brampton Official Plan.</u></li> <li>• <u>In Caledon: Prestige Industrial, General Industrial and Dry Industrial.</u></li> <li>• <u>In Mississauga: Business Employment, Industrial, Institutional or Office within the Airport Corporate, Gateway, Meadowvale Business Park, and Sheridan Park Corporate Centres; and the Churchill Meadows, Clarkson, Dixie, Gateway, Lakeview, Mavis-Erindale, Northeast, Southdown and Western Business Park <i>Employment Areas</i>.</u></li> </ul> <b>Subsequent modification to Section 5.6.2.8</b> <del>"Review and consider approval of an area municipal official plan amendment to convert employment land to non-employment uses only where a municipal comprehensive review has been undertaken and such review demonstrates that:</del>  <u>Permit conversion of lands within <i>employment areas</i>, to non-employment uses, only through a <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> that demonstrates:</u>  i. There is a need for the conversion; ii. The Region and area municipality will continue to meet the employment forecasts of this Plan; iii. The conversion does not affect the overall viability of the <i>employment area</i> and the

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
					<p>achievement of intensification and density targets;</p> <p>iv. There is existing or planned infrastructure to accommodate the proposed conversion;</p> <p>v. The lands are not required over the long-term for employment purposes;</p> <p>vi. The lands do not fulfill the criteria for provincially significant <i>employment lands</i>;</p> <p>vii. The lands do not affect the operations or viability of existing or permitted employment uses on nearby lands; and</p> <p>viii. Cross-jurisdictional issues have been considered.</p> <p><del>Major Retail</del> For the purposes of this policy, major retail, residential and non-<i>ancillary</i> uses are not considered employment uses <del>for the purposes of this policy</del> in addition to those uses not permitted in the designations identified in Section 5.6.2.6.</p> <p><i>Employment land conversions may be defined in area municipal official plans.</i></p>
93	79 (86)	5.6.2. 7 & 5.6.2. 12	<p>5.6.2.7 Protect and support existing and future <i>employment areas</i> in the vicinity of the Toronto Pearson International Airport, major highway interchanges and rail yards, where appropriate.</p> <p>5.6.2.12 Support the study and protection of the <i>Strategic Infrastructure Study Area</i> for potential <i>infrastructure</i> and <i>employment areas</i> needs in consultation with the Province, area municipalities, other applicable regions, municipalities and agencies.</p>	<p><b>Section 5.6.2.7</b> is modified by inserting “for manufacturing, warehousing and associated retail, office and ancillary facilities” after “interchanges and rail yards.”</p>	<p><b>Section 5.6.2.7:</b> “Protect and support existing and future <i>employment areas</i> in the vicinity of the Toronto Pearson International Airport, major highway interchanges and rail yards <u>for manufacturing, warehousing and associated retail, office and <i>ancillary</i> facilities</u>, where appropriate.”</p> <p><b>Section 5.6.2.12:</b> “Support the study and protection of the <i>Strategic Infrastructure Study Area</i> for potential <i>infrastructure and employment areas</i> needs in consultation with the Province, area municipalities, other applicable regions, municipalities and agencies.”</p>
94	79 (86)	5.6.2. 8	<p>Review and consider approval of an <i>area municipal official plan</i> amendment to convert <i>employment land</i> to non-employment uses only where a municipal comprehensive review has been undertaken and such review demonstrates that:</p>	<p><b>Section 5.6.2.8</b> is modified by replacing “Review and consider approval of an <i>area municipal official plan</i> amendment to convert <i>employment land</i> to non-employment uses only where a municipal comprehensive review has been undertaken and such review demonstrates that:” with “Permit conversion of lands within employment areas, to non-employment uses, only through a <i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i> that</p>	<p><b>Section 5.6.2.8:</b> <del>“Review and consider approval of an area municipal official plan amendment to convert employment land to non-employment uses only where a municipal comprehensive review has been undertaken and such review demonstrates that:</del></p> <p><u>Permit conversion of lands within <i>employment areas</i>, to non-employment uses, only through a <i>municipal comprehensive review</i> that demonstrates:</u></p> <p>i. There is a need for the conversion;</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
				demonstrates:"	<p>ii. The Region and area municipality will continue to meet the employment forecasts of this Plan;</p> <p>iii. The conversion does not affect the overall viability of the <i>employment area</i> and the achievement of intensification and density targets;</p> <p>iv. There is existing or planned infrastructure to accommodate the proposed conversion;</p> <p>v. The lands are not required over the long-term for employment purposes;</p> <p>vi. The lands do not fulfill the criteria for provincially significant <i>employment lands</i>;</p> <p>vii. The lands do not affect the operations or viability of existing or permitted employment uses on nearby lands; and</p> <p>viii. Cross-jurisdictional issues have been considered.</p> <p><u>Major Retail</u> For the purposes of this policy, major retail, residential and non-<i>ancillary</i> uses are not considered employment uses <del>for the purposes of this policy</del> <u>in addition to those uses not permitted in the designations identified in Section 5.6.2.6.</u></p> <p><i>Employment land conversions may be defined in area municipal official plans."</i></p>
95	79 (86)	5.6.2.10	Encourage high density employment uses such as office and major institutional <i>development</i> to locate in urban growth centres, in proximity to major transit station areas, <i>mobility hubs</i> and other appropriate locations for growth such as nodes and intensification corridors and other areas planned for office and major institutional uses as designated and/or defined in <i>area municipal official plans</i> .	<b>Section 5.6.2.10</b> is modified by a. inserting "major" after "employment uses such as"; b. inserting "appropriate" before "major institutional"; and c. replacing "other appropriate locations for growth such as nodes and intensification corridors and other areas planned for office and major institutional uses as designated and/or defined in <i>area municipal official plans</i> ." With "areas with existing frequent transit service or existing or planned higher order transit service.	<b>Section 5.6.2.10:</b> "Encourage high density employment uses such as <u>major</u> office and <u>appropriate</u> major institutional <i>development</i> to locate in urban growth centres, in proximity to major transit station areas, <i>mobility hubs</i> and <del>other appropriate locations for growth such as nodes and intensification corridors and other areas planned for office and major institutional uses as designated and/or defined in <i>area municipal official plans</i>.</del> <u>areas with existing frequent transit service or existing or planned higher order transit service."</u>
96	- (86)	5.6.2	None. Inserted new section;	<b>Section 5.6.2</b> is modified by inserting <b>Section 5.6.2.14</b> which reads "Direct the City of Mississauga to plan for, protect and preserve employment areas in the vicinity of the Toronto Pearson International Airport." after Section 5.6.2.13.	No modification.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
97	85 (92)	7.9.2. 12	<p>Consider an expansion to the 2031 Urban Boundary, 2021 or 2031 Rural Service Centre boundary or the boundary for the Palgrave Estate Residential Community only through a Regional Official Plan Amendment which is based on a municipal comprehensive review which demonstrates the following:</p> <p>a) that the proposed expansion is based on the population, household and employment growth forecasts contained in Table 3;</p> <p>b) that sufficient opportunities are not available in the area municipality to accommodate forecasted growth contained in Table 3, through <i>intensification</i> and in designated greenfield areas;</p> <p>c) that the proposed expansion contributes to the achievement of the regional <i>intensification</i> targets in Figure 18 and the Regional <i>greenfield density target</i> established for 2031;</p> <p>d) that the proposed expansion makes available sufficient lands for a time horizon not exceeding 2031;</p> <p>e) conformity with the Regional Official Plan ;</p> <p>f) environmental and resource protection and enhancement in accordance with the policies of this Plan;</p> <p>g) that there are no reasonable</p>	<p><b>Section 7.9.2.12</b> is modified by:</p> <p>a. replacing “the 2031 Urban Boundary, 2021 or 2031 Rural Service Centre boundary or the boundary for the Palgrave Estate Residential Community” with “any settlement area boundary”;</p> <p>b. replacing “municipal comprehensive review” with “<i>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review</i>”;</p> <p>c. inserting “and regional market area” after “in the area municipality” in subsection b);</p> <p>d. replacing “that the proposed expansion contributes to the achievement of the regional <i>intensification</i> targets in Figure 18 and the Regional <i>Greenfield density target</i> established for</p>	<p><b>Section 7.9.2.12:</b></p> <p>“Consider an expansion to the 2031 Urban Boundary, 2021 or 2031 Rural Service Centre boundary <del>or the boundary for the Palgrave Estate Residential Community</del> only through a Regional Official Plan Amendment which is based on a <del>municipal comprehensive review</del> <u>municipal comprehensive review</u> which demonstrates the following:</p> <p>a) that the proposed expansion is based on the population, household and employment growth forecasts contained in Table 3;</p> <p>b) that sufficient opportunities, <u>as determined by the Region</u>, are not available in the area municipality to accommodate forecasted growth <u>for the area municipality</u> contained in Table 3, through intensification and in designated greenfield areas;</p> <p><del>c) that the proposed expansion contributes to the achievement of the regional intensification targets in Figure 18 and the Regional greenfield density target established for 2031;</del></p> <p><u>c) the timing of the expansion and the phasing of development within the designated greenfield area will not adversely affect the achievement of the intensification and density targets of this Plan;</u></p> <p>d) that the proposed expansion makes available sufficient lands for a time horizon not exceeding 2031;</p> <p>e) conformity with the Regional Official Plan ;</p> <p>f) environmental and resource protection and enhancement <u>including the identification of a natural heritage system</u> in accordance with the policies of this Plan;</p> <p>g) that there are no reasonable alternative locations which avoid the Prime Agricultural Areas <del>and no reasonable alternative locations with lower priority agricultural lands;</del></p> <p><u>h) within Prime Agricultural Areas there are no reasonable alternative locations on lower priority agricultural lands;</u></p> <p><del>h) impacts of a proposed settlement area boundary expansion on agricultural operations which are adjacent or close to the settlement area, and if impacts are identified, the analysis is to identify mitigation of the impacts to the greatest extent feasible;</del></p> <p>i) impacts from expanding settlement areas on agricultural operations are mitigated to the</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			<p>alternative locations which avoid the <i>Prime Agricultural Areas</i> and no reasonable alternative locations with lower priority agricultural lands;</p> <p>h) impacts of a proposed settlement area boundary expansion on agricultural operations which are adjacent or close to the settlement area, and if impacts are identified, the analysis is to identify mitigation of the impacts to the greatest extent feasible;</p> <p>i) compliance with the minimum distance separation formulae;</p> <p>j) a fiscal impact analysis;</p> <p>k) the ability to provide the necessary Regional <i>infrastructure</i> and <i>services</i>, including Regional and local transportation <i>infrastructure</i>, water and wastewater servicing, in a financially and environmentally <i>sustainable</i> manner;</p> <p>l) the <i>sustainable development</i> imperatives in Section 1.3.5 have been addressed;</p> <p>m) other relevant Regional interests as may be confirmed through pre-consultation.</p>	<p>2031;" with "the timing of the expansion and the phasing of development within the designated greenfield area will not adversely affect the achievement of the intensification and density targets of this Plan;" in subsection c);</p> <p>e. inserting "including the identification of Natural Heritage Systems" after "protection and enhancement" in subsection f);</p> <p>f. deleting "and no reasonable alternative locations with lower priority agricultural lands" in subsection g);</p> <p>g. inserting "within <i>Prime Agricultural Areas</i> there are no reasonable alternative locations on lower priority agricultural lands" as subsection h); and</p> <p>h. inserting the following three subsections:</p> <p>o) proposed expansion will meet the requirements of the Greenbelt Plan, Niagara Escarpment Plan, Lake Simcoe Protection Plan and the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan;</p> <p>p) in determining the most appropriate location for expansions to the boundaries of settlement areas the policies of Sections 2 and 3 of the <i>Provincial Policy Statement, 2005</i> are applied; and</p> <p>q) impacts from expanding settlement areas on agricultural operations are mitigated to the extent feasible.</p>	<p><u>greatest extent feasible;</u></p> <p>↳ <u>j) compliance with the <i>minimum distance separation formulae</i>;</u></p> <p>↳ <u>k) a fiscal impact analysis;</u></p> <p>↳ <u>l) the ability to provide the necessary Regional infrastructure and services, including Regional and local transportation infrastructure, water and wastewater servicing, in a financially and environmentally sustainable manner;</u></p> <p>↳ <u>m) the sustainable development imperatives in Section 1.3.5 have been addressed;</u></p> <p>↳ <u>n) other relevant Regional interests as may be confirmed through pre-consultation.</u></p> <p><u>o) proposed expansion will meet the requirements of the Greenbelt Plan, Niagara Escarpment Plan, Lake Simcoe Protection Plan and the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan; and</u></p> <p><u>p) in determining the most appropriate location for expansions to the boundaries of settlement areas the policies of Sections 2 and 3 of the <i>Provincial Policy Statement, 2005</i> are applied. <del>and</del></u></p>
98	86 (93)	7.9.2.13	7.9.2.13 Consider the designation of a new urban growth centre or new <i>Regional Intensification Corridor</i> on the basis of a municipal comprehensive review	<p><b>Implementation, Section 7.9.2.13</b> is modified by:</p> <p>a. deleting "urban growth centre or" after "Consider the designation of a";</p> <p>b. replacing "municipal comprehensive review" with "<i>Regional</i></p>	<p><b>Section 7.9.2.13:</b></p> <p>"Consider the designation of a <del>new urban growth centre or new</del> <i>Regional Intensification Corridor</i> on the basis of a <del>municipal comprehensive review</del> <u>municipal comprehensive review</u> and a Regional Official Plan Amendment. In considering such designations, the <u>municipal comprehensive review</u> and Regional Official Plan Amendment must</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
			and a Regional Official Plan Amendment. In considering such designations, the municipal comprehensive review and Regional Official Plan Amendment must demonstrate that the applicable requirements of Section 7.9.2.12, as determined <i>jointly</i> by the area municipality and <i>the Region</i> , have been met.	<i>Municipal Comprehensive Review</i> ” after “on the basis of a” and after “such designations, the”; and c. replacing “, as determined jointly by the area municipality and <i>the Region</i> ,” with “have been met.”	demonstrate that the applicable requirements of Section 7.9.2.12, <del>as determined jointly by the area municipality and the Region</del> , have been met.”
99	87 (94)	Glossary	<b>Employment Area/Areas:</b> lands that are designated in <i>area municipal official plans</i> for clusters of business and economic activities including, but not limited to, manufacturing, warehousing, offices, and associated retail and ancillary facilities. <i>Employment areas</i> are designated in <i>area municipal official plans</i> .	<b>Employment Area/Areas, Glossary</b> is modified by replacing “lands” with “areas.”	<b>Glossary:</b> <b>“Employment Area/Areas:</b> lands <u>areas</u> that are designated in <i>area municipal official plans</i> for clusters of business and economic activities including, but not limited to, manufacturing, warehousing, offices, and associated retail and ancillary facilities. <i>Employment areas</i> are designated in <i>area municipal official plans</i> <u>as described in Section 5.6.2.6 of this Plan.</u> ”  <b>New Glossary Term:</b> <b>“Ancillary:</b> <u>uses that primarily serve the business functions on <i>employment land</i>.</u> ”
100	87 (94)	Glossary	<b>Major Environmental Features:</b> Environmental features excluded from being subject to the <i>greenfield density target</i> where the features are both identified in any applicable official plan or provincial plan, and where the applicable provincial plan or policy statement prohibits development (such as wetlands, coastal wetlands, woodlands, valley lands, areas of natural and scientific interest, habitat of endangered species and threatened species, wildlife habitat, and fish habitat).	<b>Major Environmental Features, Glossary</b> is modified by replacing “(such as wetlands, coastal wetlands, woodlands, valley lands, areas of natural and scientific interest, habitat of endangered species and threatened species, wildlife habitat, and fish habitat)” with “in wetlands, coastal wetlands, woodlands, valley lands, areas of natural and scientific interest, habitat of endangered species and threatened species, wildlife habitat, and fish habitat.”	<b>Glossary:</b> <b>“Major Environmental Features:</b> Environmental features excluded from being subject to the <i>greenfield density target</i> where the features are both identified in any applicable official plan or provincial plan, and where the applicable provincial plan or policy statement prohibits development <del>(such as wetlands, coastal wetlands, woodlands, valley lands, areas of natural and scientific interest, habitat of endangered species and threatened species, wildlife habitat, and fish habitat)</del> <u>in wetlands, coastal wetlands, woodlands, valley lands, areas of natural and scientific interest, habitat of endangered species and threatened species, wildlife habitat, and fish habitat.</u> ”

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
101	87 (94)	Glossary	<b>Regional Intensification Corridor:</b> regionally-significant, multi-functional, linear concentrations of urban <i>development</i> providing a range and mix of commercial, office, major institutional, residential, recreational and cultural services or facilities that is transit-supportive and that may link urban growth centres together.	<b>Regional Intensification Corridor, Glossary</b> is modified by replacing “is transit supportive and that may link” with “supports higher-order transit service and links.”	<b>Glossary:</b> “ <b>Regional Intensification Corridor:</b> regionally-significant, multi-functional, linear concentrations of urban <i>development</i> providing a range and mix of commercial, office, major institutional, residential, recreational and cultural services or facilities that is transit supportive and that may link supports higher-order transit service and links urban growth centres together.”
102	- (94)	Glossary	New glossary term	<b>Glossary</b> is modified by inserting the following: <b>Regional Municipal Comprehensive Review:</b> An official plan review which is undertaken by the Region, or an official plan amendment which is undertaken by the Region, in consultation with the respective area municipalities, which comprehensively applies the schedules and policies of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe.	<b>Glossary:</b> “ <b>Municipal Comprehensive Review:</b> An official plan review or an official plan amendment, initiated by a municipality that comprehensively applies the policies and schedules of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2006.”
103	- (94)	Glossary	New glossary term	<b>Glossary</b> is modified by inserting the following: <b>Urban Node:</b> XXXX	No modification.
104	87 (94)	Glossary	<b>Settlement Study Area:</b> an area that delineates the general geographic extent within which the Town of Caledon will plan to accommodate the majority of its long-term population and employment growth if determined by a municipal comprehensive review.	<b>Settlement Study Area, Glossary</b> is modified by deleting the definition of <i>Settlement Study Area</i> .	<b>Glossary:</b> <del><b>Settlement Study Area:</b> an area that delineates the general geographic extent within which the Town of Caledon will plan to accommodate the majority of its long-term population and employment growth if determined by a municipal comprehensive review.</del>
105	88 (95)	Schedule D		<b>Schedule D</b> is modified by: a. removing the Settlement Study Area around Mayfield, Tullamore and Bolton; b. amending the North West Brampton Urban	a. See Schedule D  b. <b>Revisions to the North West Brampton Urban Development Area</b>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
				<p>Development Area boundary to reflect Schedule 1 of the Brampton Official Plan; and</p>	<p>- delete the words “2,428 hectares (6,000 acres)” and “Credit River” and replace them with “2,194 hectares (5421 acres)” and “Greenbelt” in section 5.3.4 so that it reads as follows:</p> <p><b>“5.3.4 North West Brampton Urban Development Area</b> The North West Brampton Urban Development Area within the Urban System comprise approximately <del>2,428 hectares (6,000 acres)</del> 2,194 hectares (5421 acres) of land bounded by Mayfield Road to the north, the <del>Credit River</del> <u>Greenbelt</u> to the south, Winston Churchill Boulevard to the west, and irregular portions of McLaughlin, Creditview and Mississauga Roads to the east, as shown on Schedule D.”</p> <p><b>Revisions to the Rural System General Policies (See Modification #62)</b> - add the words “and Greenbelt in the City of Brampton Official Plan” after “Rural Area in the Town of Caledon Official Plan” in policy 5.4.2.1 so that it reads as follows: “5.4.2.1 Define the Rural System, as shown on Schedule D, to include all lands outside the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary. The Rural System includes the three Rural Service Centres, the Palgrave Estate Residential Community and the Brampton Flying Club identified on Schedule D and the Rural Settlements shown on Figure 16. The Rural System also includes lands identified and protected in the Natural Environment and Resources sections of this Plan including the Core Areas of the Greenlands System as shown on Schedule A, the Prime Agricultural Area as shown on Schedule B and the High Potential Mineral Aggregate Resource Areas as shown on Schedule C. The lands outside of the Prime Agricultural Area which also form part of the Rural System are identified as Rural Area in the Town of Caledon Official Plan and <u>Greenbelt in the City of Brampton Official Plan</u>. Figure 2 shows the area within the Rural System that is subject to provincial policies including the Greenbelt Plan Area, the Niagara Escarpment Plan Area, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan Area, and the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan Area.”</p> <p><b>Revisions to the Rural Area Policies</b> - add the words “and the City of Brampton” after “Direct the Town of Caledon” in policy 5.4.6.2.1 so that it reads: “5.4.6.2. Policies It is the policy of Regional Council to: 5.4.6.2.1 Direct the Town of Caledon <u>and the City of Brampton</u> to:”</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Modification #	Amendment #	ROP Section #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
				<p>c. distinguishing between the prime agricultural area and the rural area and amending the legend accordingly.</p>	<p><b>Schedule A Core Greenlands</b> Revisions to Schedule A will be brought forward for consideration in the Minister's Decision on ROPA 21B.</p> <p><b>Transportation Schedules &amp; Figures</b> Schedules E, F, G and H, and Figures 6 and 11 will be modified to update the 2031 Regional Urban Boundary through a separate amendment.</p> <p>c. No modification.</p>
106	89 (96)	Schedule D3		<p><b>Schedule D3</b> is modified by:</p> <p>a. identifying all existing hamlets;</p> <p>b. deleting the hamlet shown at the intersection between King Street and Kennedy Road; and</p> <p>c. mapping the Lake Simcoe Watershed Boundary.</p>	<p><b>Schedule D3 is amended and replaced with new Schedule D3.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- identifies hamlets &amp; villages</li> <li>- identifies Rural Service Centres</li> <li>- identifies Industrial/Commercial Centres</li> </ul> <p><b>Figure 2 is amended and replaced with new Figure 2:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- identifies "Lake Simcoe Protection Plan Area"</li> </ul>
107	90 (97)	Figure 4		<p><b>Figure 4</b> is modified by:</p> <p>a. amending the 2011 Total Peel Population to read 1,320,000, the 2011 Total Peel Employment to read 730,000 and the 2031 Total Peel Population to read 1,640,000; and</p> <p>b. including Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan as a source.</p>	<p><b>Figure 4:</b></p> <p>a. Deletion of 2011 figures 2031 population figure is 1,640,000</p> <p>b. included Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan as a source.</p> <p>See land budget</p>
108	91 (98)	Figure 16		<p><b>Figure 16</b> is modified by:</p> <p>a. identifying lands within the built-up area as such and lands within the designated greenfield area as such with respect to Palgrave Estates Residential Community; and</p> <p>b. distinguishing between the prime agricultural area and the rural area and amend the legend accordingly.</p>	<p><b>Figure 16:</b></p> <p>a. Figure 16 has been modified to remove Palgrave Estate Residential Community from Undelineated Built Boundary.</p> <p>b. no modification.</p> <p>see land budget</p>

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NUMBER 24 (ROPA 24)

Mod ifica tion #	Ame ndm ent #	ROP Secti on #	Adopted Policy	Draft MMAH Modification	Final Policy with Modifications
109	- (99)			Wellhead protection areas are to be mapped.	<p><b>Figure 13 is amended and replaced with new Figure 13:</b> - identified wellhead protection areas currently designated in the Town of Caledon Official Plan.</p> <p>Subsequent modification to <b>Section 3.4.2.5:</b> <u>"Wellhead protection areas in the Region of Peel are identified on Figure 13."</u></p>
110	- (100)	Sched ule B		<b>Schedule B</b> – Prime Agricultural Area is modified by identifying all lands designated 'Prime Agricultural Area' in Peel Region.	No modification.

August 11, 2011

Appendix B PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE REGION OF PEEL AND THE PROVINCE REGARDING REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT

Proposed Settlement Between the Region of Peel and the Province Regarding Regional Official Plan Amendment

Number 24 (ROPA 24)

August 11, 2011

<b>Table 3: Population, Household and Employment Forecasts for Peel<sup>1</sup></b>						
<b>Municipality</b>	<b>2021</b>			<b>2031</b>		
	<b>Population<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Households</b>	<b>Employment</b>	<b>Population<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Households</b>	<b>Employment</b>
Brampton	635,000	184,000	280,000	727,000	214,500	314,000
Caledon	87,000	28,000	40,000	108,000	33,500	46,000
Mississauga	768,000	253,000	500,000	805,000	270,000	510,000
<b>Peel</b>	<b>1,490,000</b>	<b>465,000</b>	<b>820,000</b>	<b>1,640,000</b>	<b>518,000</b>	<b>870,000</b>

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> The Province is reviewing the forecasts included in Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan. After Schedule 3 is amended, Table 3 will also need to be amended.

<sup>2</sup> Population figures include the 2001 Census undercount of 4.2%.