

## SUSTAINABLE FINANCING OF GROWTH WORKSHOP



### “Growth Financing Options”

Friday, September 5<sup>th</sup>, 2014

# Summary of Problem

- Timing of 'growth-related' works based in part on creating capacity for population and employment forecasts
- Fiscal capacity of Region is limited
- Risk of development not occurring as anticipated is substantial

# Summary of Options

1. Delay capital works
2. Debt finance capital works
3. Require landowners to 'front-end' capital works

# Option 1

## Delay Capital Works

- To delay works, Region could:
  - investigate engineering solutions to reduce costs and/or phase construction
  - restructure servicing allocation process in Peel
  - maintain balanced cashflow when setting DC rates (i.e. not commit to DC projects unless DC cash is on hand), **BUT...**
- ...cashflow problem will likely remain for costly water and wastewater works—these are required in order to allow development to proceed

# Option 2

## Debt Finance Capital Works

- Region would have to take on substantial debt to fully finance growth-related works
- Recently, York Region had to ask Province for higher debt limit
- Debt payments are financed by DCs—substantial risk if growth does not occur as planned

# Option 3 ('Front-Ending')

## DC Act Options

DCA Option	Arrangements
Credit Agreements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allows landowners to build or pay for infrastructure ahead of schedule</li> <li>• Region gives landowners credits towards future DCs equal to growth-related cost of works</li> <li>• Landowners use credits to offset related service component of DC when it is due</li> </ul>
Pre-Payment Agreements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides for payment of all or a portion of a DC before the normal payment date</li> <li>• Usually relates to funding of specific capital project or service</li> <li>• Used effectively by a number of municipalities to front-end finance infrastructure</li> <li>• Doesn't require all land owners to participate (can establish a minimum threshold of monies to be raised)</li> <li>• Agreement can provide for future "top-up"</li> </ul>
Front-Ending Agreements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One or more developers agree to provide all, or a share, of the costs of a piece of infrastructure</li> <li>• Formal process regulated by DC Act</li> <li>• Allows for "tiering" (developers joining as front-enders after the fact)</li> <li>• Onerous process means municipalities have avoided using them</li> </ul>
Payment at Subdivision Agreement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DC payment currently triggered is by building permit issuance</li> <li>• Region can require payment of hard service DCs at time of subdivision agreement</li> <li>• Might give Region greater ability to construct works prior to construction and on time</li> </ul>
Area-Specific DCs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Locally aligns costs and benefits of development</li> </ul>

# Option 3 ('Front Ending')

## Other Options

Option	Arrangements
Municipal Act s.110 Voluntary Contributions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Region asks for “voluntary contributions” at time of DC payment to pay for non-DC eligible capital (e.g. 10% deduction; service level increases)</li><li>• Used in Halton Region and elsewhere</li><li>• Concerns have been raised by developers</li></ul>
Developer Cost Sharing Agreements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Cost sharing agreements are where a group of landowners collectively agree to fund/construct the infrastructure necessary to allow a “new” development area to be opened-up</li><li>• Usually done for large greenfield-related projects</li><li>• Requires the facilitation of the Region, though Region does not need to be a signatory</li></ul>

# Options Are Not Mutually Exclusive

- Could delay some (non-utility) works
- Could debt finance works for systems/service areas where rate of development is sufficient to fund debt payments
- Likely still need to require front-ending of costs for some projects through various mechanisms