Alternatives	Do Nothing	Two-Lane Urban Cross-Section with Reduced Lane Widths, Wider Sidewalk on Both Sides, Provisional Width for Future Designated Cycling Facility, and Streetscaping between Parking Lay-bys from Caledon Trailway to Walker Road	Two-Lane Urban Cross-Section with Reduced Lane Widths, Sidewalk and On-Street Buffered Bike Lanes on Both Sides, and Streetscaping between Parking Lay-bys on West Side from Caledon Trailway to Walker Road	Two-Lane Urban Cross-Section with Reduced Lane Widths, Improved Sidewalk on East Side, Multi-use Path on West Side, and Streetscaping between Parking Lay-bys from Caledon Trailway to Walker Road	Two-Lane Urban Cross-Section with Reduced Lane Widths, Multi-Use Path on Both Sides, and Streetscaping between Parking Lay-bys on West Side from Caledon Trailway to Walker Road	Two-Lane Urban Cross-Section with Reduced Lane Widths, Sidewalk and Cycle Track on Both Sides, and Streetscaping between Parking Lay-bys on West Side from Caledon Trailway to Walker Road	
	Not Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	
Transportation	Not consistent with transportation planning policies and plans	Acceptable traffic operations with reduced lane widths to encourage slower traffic speeds, which in turn may encourage truck diversion	Acceptable traffic operations with reduced lane widths to encourage slower traffic speeds, which in turn may encourage truck diversion	Acceptable traffic operations with reduced lane widths to encourage slower traffic speeds, which in turn may encourage truck diversion	Acceptable traffic operations with reduced lane widths to encourage slower traffic speeds, which in turn may encourage truck diversion.	Acceptable traffic operations with reduced lane widths to encourage slower traffic speeds, which in turn may encourage truck diversion	
		Generally consistent with transportation planning policies and plans, most notably:	Generally consistent with transportation planning policies and plans, most notably:	Generally consistent with transportation planning policies and plans, most notably:	Generally consistent with transportation planning policies and plans, most notably:	Generally consistent with transportation planning policies and plans, most notably:	
		 The Region of Peel is pursuing a Vision Zero target for vulnerable road users (relevant to high traffic and trucks through Caledon East, pointing to protected or designated infrastructure) 	The Region of Peel Active Transportation Implementation Plan identifies bike lanes on Airport Road through Caledon East	A priority of the Region of Peel Sustainable Transportation Strategy is providing comfortable, continuous cycling facilities	A priority of the Region of Peel Sustainable Transportation Strategy is providing comfortable, continuous cycling facilities	A priority of the Region of Peel Sustainable Transportation Strategy is providing comfortable, continuous cycling facilities	
			The Town of Caledon Transportation Master Plan shows Airport Road with a future bike lane and additional connections with east-west on-road cycling routes using Old Church Road and Walker Road	Although the Town of Caledon Transportation Master Plan shows Airport Road with a future bike lane, the Town suggested consideration for (1) bike lanes that accommodate parking, and (2) a multi-use trail with appropriate crossing treatments at driveways and intersections Curb bulb-out designs may impact efficiency of emergency routes, although road	Although the Town of Caledon Transportation Master Plan shows Airport Road with a future bike lane, the Town suggested consideration for (1) bike lanes that accommodate parking, and (2) a multi-use trail with appropriate crossing treatments at driveways and intersections Curb bulb-out designs may impact efficiency of emergency routes, although road	The Region of Peel is pursuing a Vision Zero target for vulnerable road users (relevant to high traffic and trucks through Caledon East, pointing to protected or designated infrastructure) Curb bulb-out designs may impact efficiency of emergency routes, although road	
				design will accommodate emergency vehicles	design will accommodate emergency vehicles	design will accommodate emergency vehicles	
	Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	
Natural Environment	 Avoids negative impacts on natural heritage features and wildlife and wildlife habitat 	Encroaches into provincially significant wetland with minor tree removal and moderate extension to one culvert crossing	Encroaches into provincially significant wetland with minor tree removal and moderate extension to one culvert crossing	Encroaches into provincially significant wetland with minor tree removal and moderate extension to one culvert crossing	Encroaches into provincially significant wetland with minor tree removal and moderate extension to one culvert crossing	Encroaches into provincially significant wetland with minor tree removal and moderate extension to one culvert crossing	
	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	Preferred	
	No active transportation facilities	Long-term potential does not address short term needs for improved cycling facility	 Possibly more desirable than multi-use path(s) or cycle tracks for utilitarian cyclists (e.g., long-distance or commuter cyclists) and less for recreational cyclists due to difference in physical separation between on and off road users, and comfort 	Greater physical separation between pedestrians or cyclists (multi-use path) and roadway than alternatives with bike lanes	Greater physical separation between pedestrians or cyclists (multi-use path) and roadway than alternatives with bike lanes	 Improved cyclist comfort due to vertical and/or horizontal separation between pedestrians (sidewalk), cyclists and motorized traffic 	
Healthy Communities			Bike lanes are less preferred by design guidelines for roads with design speed greater than 50 km/h or designated for trucks	Considered a poor cycling facility due to high pedestrian activity, direct business frontages, frequent driveways, and parking activity (which can affect overall cyclist comfort)	Considered a poor cycling facility due to high pedestrian activity, direct business frontages, frequent driveways, and parking activity (which can affect overall cyclist comfort)		
				Less sightlines for users exiting driveways and Caledon Trailway than provided in alternatives with bike lanes or cycle tracks; However, treatments at driveways with limited sightlines, such as speed bumps or mirrors, could be considered subject to property impacts	Less sightlines for users exiting driveways and Caledon Trailway than provided in alternatives with bike lanes or cycle tracks; However, treatments at driveways with limited sightlines, such as speed bumps or mirrors, could be considered subject to property impacts		
			Due to insufficient space h	Detween Hilltop Drive and Caledon Trailway, cyclists will be diverted to signed bike route	through east neighbourhood		
	Neutral	Neutral	Not Preferred	Preferred Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred	
	Avoids negative impacts and opportunities for improvements	Potential loss of on-street parking, subject to future cycling facility	Loss of on-street parking on east side of road with some loss on west side; Removal would affect parking utilization and streetscaping		Loss of on-street parking on east side of road with some loss on west side; Removal would affect parking utilization and streetscaping	Loss of on-street parking on east side of road with some loss on west side; Removal would affect parking utilization and streetscaping	
				 No impact on customer access to business frontages provided multi-use path is designed with some clearance to building frontage (e.g., 0.5-1.0m from building face) 			
Social, Cultural and Economic	c	Potential impacts to utilities and municipal infrastructure, subject to future cycling facility		Utility and municipal infrastructure to be relocated	Utility and municipal infrastructure to be relocated or buried underground to accommodate multi-use path on both sides	Utility and municipal infrastructure to be relocated or buried underground to accommodate cycle tracks	
				irport Road versus signed cycling detour in east neighbourhood would impact hydro pole		T	
		 Potential for moderate temporary traffic impact due to potential staging of storm sewers and bridge widening 	 Significant temporary traffic impact due to staging of storm sewers, burying hydro poles and bridge widening 	Moderate temporary traffic impact due to staging of storm sewers and bridge widening	Significant temporary traffic impact due to staging of storm sewers, burying nydro poles and bridge widening	Significant temporary traffic impact due to staging of storm sewers, burying hydro poles and bridge widening	
		Potential for high cost to construct due to full boulevard reconstruction with potential for moderate drainage, street lighting and material costs	High cost to construct due to full boulevard reconstruction with moderate drainage, street lighting, burying hydro poles and material costs			High cost to construct due to full boulevard reconstruction with moderate drainage, street lighting and material costs plus associated cost of burying hydro utility	
		Potential for similar ongoing cost to operate and maintain as do nothing	Similar cost to operate and maintain as do nothing, however maintenance needs may increase if bollards or physical barrier is used in bike lane buffer	Moderate ongoing cost to operate and maintain	Moderate ongoing cost to operate and maintain	Moderate ongoing cost to operate and maintain	
				Impacts to cultural heritage features can be avoided or mitigated			
Summary		Not Preferred in EA due to Preferred in EA due to Not Preferred in EA					
	Not Carried Forward	Not Preferred for existing and short-term conditions	less separation between bike and travel lanes, and loss of east side parking	greater separation between bike and travel lanes, and minimum loss of parking	(less preferred than multi-use path on one side) due to loss of east side parking	construction complexity, and loss of east side parking	
			(Note preferred from cycling perspective)	(Note not preferred from cycling perspective)	(Note not preferred from cycling perspective)	(Note preferred from cycling perspective if cost is acceptable)	
	Does not address problem and opportunity (included for comparison)	Provisional width of cross-section for long-term conditions does not fully support existing transportation policies or address existing opportunity for corridor improvements for vulnerable road users	Buffered bike lanes are consistent with existing transportation policy and provide continuity with local east-west on-road cycling routes and the Caledon Trailway. However, separation between bike lane and travel lane is less compared to other alternatives. Further, the buffer between the bike lane and travel lane is removed to provide door zone buffer at locations of parking layby.	More preferred than bike lanes due to more separation between recreational cyclists and motorized traffic, and less preferred than cycle tracks due to less comfort for recreational and utilitarian cyclists from high pe	More preferred than bike lanes due to more separation between recreational cyclists and motorized traffic, and less preferred than cycle tracks due to less comfort for recreational and utilitarian cyclists from high pedestrian activity, direct business frontages, frequent driveways, and parking activity.		
			Further, the Town of Caledon and some of the Public have indicated a preference to maintain on-street parking to support businesses and road character. Although on-	This alternative is preferred if parking removal on east side is not desired to accommodate multi-use paths or cycle tracks on both sides, and due to moderate construction complexity and cost. The Town of Caledon and some of the Public have	This alternative is not preferred if parking removal on east side is not desired to accommodate multi-use paths on both sides. The Town of Caledon and some of the Public have indicated a preference to maintain on-street parking to support businesses	Further, this alternative is not preferred if parking removal on east side is not desired to accommodate cycle tracks on both sides. The Town of Caledon and some of the habitan both sides of preferred to accommodate cycle tracks on the side of the sides of the side	